



# **MINUTES**

## **Glenorchy City Council Meeting**

**held at the Council Chambers**

**on Monday, 25 May 2026**

**at 3:30 pm**



- Present (in Chambers):** Alderman Sue Hickey (Mayor), Aldermen Shane Alderton, Josh Cockshutt, Steven King, Tim Marks, Peter Ridler, Stuart Slade, Justin Stringer and Russell Yaxley (Deputy Mayor) and Councillor Molly Kendall
- Present (by video link):** None
- In attendance (in Chambers):** Emilio Reale (Chief Executive Officer), Tracey Ehrlich (Director Community and Corporate Services), Luke Chiu (Director Environmental Services), Patrick Marshall (Director Infrastructure and Development), Christine Lane (Manager Stakeholder and Executive), Tim Douglass (Coordinator Executive and Strategy), Evan Brown (Contract Manager, Sustainability and Environment)
- In attendance (by video link):** Mandy Henderson (Executive Officer to the Chief Executive Officer and Mayor)
- Leave of Absence:** None

**Workshops held since last Council meeting:****Date:** Monday, 4 May 2026**Purpose:** To present and discuss:

- Annual Plan Actions 2026-27

**Date:** Monday, 11 May 2026**Purpose:** To present and discuss:

- Connected Community Plan
- Prosperous Glenorchy Plan
- Final Draft Budget Review

**Date:** Monday, 18 May 2026**Purpose:** To present and discuss:Open Council workshop

- Road Safety
  - Peter Frazer, SARAH Group
  - Inspector Klug and Inspector Castle
- Operations and Maintenance Program

Closed Council workshop

- SGS Economics & Planning Pty Ltd
- Funding Insights

The Council meeting was live streamed on Council's website, Facebook page and YouTube channel. The peak number of viewers watching the live stream was 23 viewers and two members of the public attended in person.

The Chair opened the meeting at 3:30 pm.

The Chair acknowledged and paid respect to the Tasmanian Aboriginal Community as the original and traditional owners and continuing custodians of the land and their elders, past and present.

*We acknowledge the muwinina people as the traditional owners of this land. We recognise the Tasmanian Aboriginal people as the original owners and continuing custodians of the land, sky and country and waters of this island, Lutruwita. We pay our respect to Aboriginal Elders, past and present. We commit to working in a way that welcomes and respects all Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders.*

The Chair read a statement noting that the meeting would be recorded and live streamed to members of the public, and about work health and safety at the Council meeting.

## 1 APOLOGIES

## 2 CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES (OPEN MEETING)

### **Resolution:**

Ald. Marks/Ald. Yaxley

That the minutes of the Council meeting held on Monday, 27 April 2026 be confirmed.

The motion was put.

**FOR:** Ald. Hickey, Ald. Yaxley, Ald. Alderton, Ald. Cockshutt, Ald. King, Ald. Marks, Ald. Ridler, Ald. Slade, Ald. Stringer and Cr. Kendall

**AGAINST:** Nil

**ABSTAINED:** Nil

The motion was CARRIED.

### 3 ANNOUNCEMENTS BY THE CHAIR

#### **Glenorchy Pool**

- Significant progress has been made across all areas of the project with the head contract at over 80% complete.
- The change room building is nearing completion, with the building ready for the final occupancy certification.
- Works on the pool structure are progressing well, with key elements including the accessible ramp, structural repairs and surface preparation largely complete. Testing and final preparations for pool painting are now underway.
- In the plant room, critical infrastructure has been installed, including the new switchboard, ventilation, sewer systems and pumps, supporting the future operation of the facility.
- Across the broader site, grandstand and services works are complete or well advanced, alongside installation of water heating systems and solar infrastructure.
- The Changing Places facility has been delivered, with access works and parking now underway following approval of a temporary occupancy permit.
- Overall, the project remains on track for completion of the head contract within this financial year. Following that, Council will continue with final site works and landscaping to ensure the facility is ready to open at the start of the season on 1 October 2026.

#### **Youth Hub / Outdoor Court**

- The Thursday afternoon drop-in sessions are getting good attendance by young people after school between 3pm and 5pm. This arrangement will run through until the end of June while we get our operating procedures in place and fully tested.
- From 1 July the hub will be open five days a week from 3 – 5pm and we are currently recruiting youth engagement officers to staff the hub.
- The outdoor multi-use court, just outside the hub, has been installed and is ready for use.

### **Cooper Street Mural**

- The mural being painted by Jarmin and Luke Emmerton is almost complete on the wall at Glenorchy Central in Cooper Street.
- I think you will agree, it is looking fabulous.

### **Road Safety Week Events**

- Last week, Council acknowledged Road Safety Week by lighting up Chambers in yellow, promoting road safety messages and by hosting two events.
- On Wednesday we partnered with Glenorchy Police at a pop-up stall at Northgate Shopping Centre where the public had an opportunity to speak with the police and council staff about how to stay safe on our roads.
- The police brought along one of their motor bikes, which was very popular with adults and children alike.
- On Friday we hosted a National Road Safety Week BBQ on the front lawns of Council from 2:30pm-3:30pm where community joined us for a free BBQ and some road safety activities and give aways.

### **Graffiti removal at Wilkinsons Point Pavillion**

- The Work's Team have been busy removing graffiti from the pavilion at Wilkinsons Point.
- It is very disappointing that people deface our public assets, but I am grateful to the team who work tirelessly to remove this senseless vandalism.

### **National Volunteer Week Lunch**

- Last week Alderman Marks and I joined various volunteer groups working across Glenorchy at a thank you BBQ lunch at Chigwell Community Garden.
- At this event, participants were invited to plant some winter veggies, spread some kindness by making a B Kinder card, and to get creative and divert waste by painting CD scarecrows.

### **CCTV Cameras**

- Installation of CCTV along the inter-city cycleway has commenced across a number of hotspots identified by the Police.
- The first installation site between the Granada and Claremont Service Station is almost complete and will be followed by the installation of cameras on the cycleway at Moonah and Glenorchy.
- Like our other cameras, these will be linked to Glenorchy Police Station's Operation Centre so they have real-time footage of what is happening across Glenorchy and the ability to review stored data from the cameras.

## **4 PECUNIARY INTEREST NOTIFICATION**

The Chairperson asked if any Elected Members had or were likely to have a pecuniary interest in any items on the Agenda.

There were no declarations of pecuniary interest.

## **5 RESPONSE TO PREVIOUS PUBLIC QUESTIONS TAKEN ON NOTICE**

None.

## 6 PUBLIC QUESTION TIME (15 MINUTES)

### **Please note:**

The Council Meeting is a formal meeting of the Elected Members elected by the Glenorchy community. It is chaired by the Mayor. Public question time is an opportunity in the formal meeting for the public to ask questions of their elected Council representatives about the matters that affect ratepayers and citizens.

In accordance with regulation 31(2) and (3) (Public question time) Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2025, Council will allocate 15 minutes during each Council Meeting to invite members of the public to ask questions relating to the activities of Council.

The following rules and procedures apply to Public Question Time:

1. questions must relate to the activities of Council
2. members of the public are to announce their name and residential address before asking a question (which will be recorded in the minutes)
3. questions are to be put succinctly and in the form of a question, not a comment
4. questions must not be inflammatory, abusive, defamatory, contain a personal attack or otherwise breach any rules of the meeting which have been explained by the Chairperson
5. the Chairperson may limit the number of questions asked by each member of the public in order to ensure that all members of the public wishing to ask questions are given the opportunity within the allocated time
6. the Chairperson will decide the order in which questions are to be asked and may rotate the order between different members of the public if individuals have more than one question to ask
7. the Chairperson may, in their absolute discretion:
  - a) refuse to answer a question if the Chairperson deems that it is inappropriate or does not comply with these rules or the rules of the Council meeting, or
  - b) take a question 'on notice', in which case the answer will be provided in writing prior the next Council meeting and included on the agenda for the next Council meeting
8. if a question is taken on notice, the Chairperson may request that the member of the public submit their question in writing and may refuse to provide a response if the question is not provided as requested, and
9. the 15 minutes allocated for Public Question Time may be extended at the discretion of the Chairperson at the conclusion of the time period. Council is to publish information relating to Public Question Time, including any additional rules and procedures, on Council's website.

**Question with notice – Morris Malone**

Received Monday, 4 May 2026

Response sent by email on Tuesday, 19 May 2026

**Q1: What reviews has Council undertaken to identify high volume traffic corridors that ought to consider No Standing signage and/or implementing yellow lines on roads? It could be observed that an increase in on-street parking is creating visibility hazards at intersections and inhibiting cars to safely pass one another without stopping to give way. Examples include Second Avenue in West Moonah and the Furneaux and O'Grady Avenue intersection in Lutana.**

Response:

Council undertakes ongoing monitoring and assessment of the road network to identify locations where traffic volumes, parking demand, and safety risks may warrant additional controls such as No Stopping restrictions or line marking.

These assessments consider a range of factors, including:

- traffic volume and road classification
- crash history and reported safety concerns
- sight distance at intersections, driveways, and corners
- available road width and the ability for vehicles to pass
- community feedback and requests

It is important to note that many residential streets are designed with carriageway widths that accommodate parking on both sides while operating as a single effective travel lane. This requires drivers to slow down and give way to oncoming vehicles. This is typical of residential roads, balancing the needs of road users and on-street parking for properties, as opposed to higher-order collector and arterial roads, which are designed to support two-lane traffic flow without obstruction.

In addition, existing road rules impose parking restrictions that support road safety. For example, parking is prohibited within 10 metres of an intersection, and a minimum clear width of 3 metres must be maintained for the passage of vehicles past a solid white line, obstruction, or another parked vehicle.

Second Avenue, Furneaux Avenue and O'Grady Avenue are all residential streets where parking is permitted on both sides of the road, except where required to comply with road rules and existing parking restrictions, such as on Corinda Grove at the junction with Second Avenue and on the O'Grady Avenue bend opposite Athol Street.

Council acknowledges that increased on-street parking can, in some cases, affect visibility and traffic flow, and encourages residents to report specific concerns so they can be investigated. Any changes to parking controls consider the safety of road users and the function of the road within the network.

**Question without Notice - Mala Crew, Glenorchy**

**Q1: Does Council propose having gender neutral toilets? I ask this question after “Tickle versus Giggle”, the test case. My concern is about having an exclusive safe place for women.**

A1: [Mayor] We do have all gender toilets, designed with shared hand washing areas where people can wait in a visible space, then enter the toilet cubicle, which each has locked doors. They are accepted pretty well in all modern facilities now. I am not certain if we have a Council policy on it.

[CEO] We do not have a specific policy on it. Some of our older toilets are still male and female, but the newer ones cater for gender neutral, like the Moonah Arts Centre.

**Q2: Will the new Glenorchy pool change rooms have exclusive change rooms for females and physiologically females? It does open the door to predators coming in and saying they are trans, that they are transitioning.**

A2: [Mayor] I don't think that being trans makes you a predator. We do have separate change rooms for males, females and all genders.

[Director Environmental Services] There are separate male, female and also a range of family and accessible change rooms, which can be locked independently.

With regards to our public toilets, whilst they are gender neutral, the toilets are each lockable. As well as being inclusive, it provides a much broader range of toilets for anyone to use. They are more efficient with separate hand washing areas in a visible spot.

[Mala Crew] I am not saying that trans people are predators, I am just saying it gives predators an opportunity. It has happened at a pub across the road where a man walked into the female toilet and said “I'm transitioning”.

[Mayor] Can you please send me a link to the article or case you spoke about (Tickle versus Giggle).

[Mala Crew} Yes.

**Question without Notice - Eddy Steenbergen, Rosetta**

**Q1: What was the closed workshop about that was conducted after the last open workshop? I gather it was conducted by an external firm of consultants and the description here is funding insights. I am curious about what it was about, can you provide further details on the topic?**

A1: [Mayor] It was Hobart City Council data, that is why it was in Closed. The data was about funding for Clark as opposed to other political regions. I did ask if it could be shared in Open, but because we do not own the data, we cannot share it.

[CEO] The data will be made public in the near future.

**Q2: In the list of Activities of the Mayor, why is the "Uni Revue" listed?**

A2: [Mayor] I believe that other politicians and mayors were given a free ticket, so it was accounted for on our gift register. It is all documented. It is supporting the arts and was quite informative.

**Q3: In the Economic Development Strategy, there is a mention of the Berriedale Caravan Park Development. I would like to understand more about that and what is the hold up?**

A3: [CEO] There was some work done in the repairing or trying to eliminate the odour coming from the treatment plant. That work was done, but it didn't remove it all, so there is still a certain amount of odour coming from the plant. To fix it, will incur a considerable cost, a capital expenditure of around \$10 million.

TasWater's PSP5 was put to the economic regulator and would have had a component of funding to fix that. Because the economic regulator reduced the amount of capital spending that they were proposing, they are having to reprioritise and regroup as to what will be done and the odour repairs project is in that mix. We are still unaware of whether it is going to get priority funding in the next financial years.

There is a willingness to try and sort it out and fix it, so that the development can progress. At this point in time, we are still unsure whether it will move forward.

**Q4: Is that the only outstanding issue?**

A4: [CEO] Yes, but bearing in mind there is probably the need for a new development application to go through the process. There will be a few other follow on things that need to be completed if they do happen to upgrade it.

## 7 PETITIONS/ADDRESSING COUNCIL MEETING

None.

# COMMUNITY

## *Community Goal – Making Lives Better*

### **8.1 ACTIVITIES OF THE MAYOR**

File Reference:           Activities of the Mayor

Ald. King left the meeting at 3:54 pm and was not present for the vote.

#### **Resolution:**

Ald. Alderton/Ald. Marks

That Council:

1.     RECEIVE and NOTE the report about the activities of Mayor Hickey during the period from Monday, 13 April 2026 to Sunday, 10 May 2026.

The motion was put.

**FOR:**                   Ald. Hickey, Ald. Yaxley, Ald. Alderton, Ald. Cockshutt, Ald. Marks, Ald. Ridler, Ald. Slade, Ald. Stringer and Cr. Kendall

**AGAINST:**            Nil

**ABSTAINED:**        Nil

The motion was CARRIED.

Ald. King returned to the meeting at 3:57 pm

# ECONOMIC

## *Community Goal – Open for Business*

### **9.1 2020-25 ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT STRATEGY REVIEW**

File Reference: 25-26 Action Plan - Priority Action 3.1.1.1 2020-25 Economic Development Strategy Review

#### **Executive Summary**

Glenorchy City Council's Annual Plan (Council) 2025-26 includes Priority Actions one of which is (3.1.1.1) to "Review the Glenorchy Economic Development Strategy 2020-25" with the aim of reflecting on the Strategy's strengths and areas for improvement.

The review at **Attachment 1** revealed that the Strategy included five objectives and 50 actions, the delivery of which were significantly impacted by COVID-19 disruptions and changed in Council's staffing and governance arrangements.

As a result of these impacts, Council pivoted to four practical pillars that were delivered by business units across Council rather than from a stand-alone economic development function. These pillars include Infrastructure Investment, Job Creation, Proactive Regulatory Approach and City Marketing.

The review included an analysis of the status of the specific actions outlined in the 2020-25 Strategy. Of the 50 actions, 12 have been completed; 22 are still underway or have been reviewed to better fit current community need or the capacity of Council and the market; and 16 have not proceeded either due to a lack of market interest or financial and/or development constraints.

One key feature of the review was identifying economic development initiatives, strategies and plans that are now outdated or no longer relevant and that should be rescinded or archived following the adoption of a new economic development plan.

The results of the review were subsequently considered during the development of an updated economic development plan for the next period – 2026-31. This new plan, Prosperous Glenorchy will be presented to Council for consideration and approval at the 29 June 2026 Council Meeting.

**Resolution:**

Ald. Marks/Ald. Slade

That Council:

1. ENDORSE the report at **Attachment 1** on the 2020-25 Economic Development Strategy Review.
2. NOTE that this review fulfills Priority Action 3.1.1.1 of the 2025-26 Annual Plan to “Review the 2020-25 Glenorchy Economic Development Strategy”.
3. NOTE that there are several economic development-related initiatives, strategies and plans that have been superseded, are now outdated, or are no longer relevant.
4. APPROVE the formal repositioning, rescinding or archiving of those materials identified through the review and in section 24 in the body of this report that includes:
  - (a) GCC Economic Development Strategy 2020–25
  - (b) Growing Glenorchy Program (and sub-initiatives)
  - (c) Economic Recovery Program
  - (d) Activity City
  - (e) Hobart City Deal
  - (f) Greater Glenorchy Plan and Related Precinct Planning Documents:
    - (i) Greater Glenorchy Plan
    - (ii) Beyond the Curtain – Master Story
    - (iii) Glenorchy Identity Development and Precinct Planning
    - (iv) Glenorchy Park Masterplan (Draft, November 2021)
    - (v) Corridor of Modern Art (COMA)
  - (g) Economic Recovery / Stimulus Projects including:
    - (i) Making Berriedale Caravan Park Development Ready
    - (ii) Regional Cycling Hub
    - (iii) CityScape
    - (iv) Marine and Innovation Precinct
5. NOTE that future economic development activity will be guided by the Prosperous Glenorchy 2026-31 Economic Development Plan being presented to the 29 June 2026 Council Meeting.

**Procedural Motion:**

Ald. Alderton/Cr. Kendall

That Council:

1. DEFER the substantive motion to the 29 June 2026 Council meeting.

The procedural motion was put.

**FOR:** Cr. Kendall and Ald. Alderton

**AGAINST:** Ald. Hickey, Ald. Yaxley, Ald. Cockshutt, Ald. King, Ald. Marks,  
Ald. Ridler, Ald. Slade and Ald. Stringer

**ABSTAINED:** Nil

The procedural motion was LOST.

The substantive motion was put.

**FOR:** Ald. Hickey, Ald. Yaxley, Ald. Cockshutt, Ald. King, Ald. Marks,  
Ald. Ridler, Ald. Slade and Ald. Stringer

**AGAINST:** Cr. Kendall and Ald. Alderton

**ABSTAINED:** Nil

The substantive motion was CARRIED by absolute majority.

# ENVIRONMENT

## *Community Goal – Valuing our Environment*

### **10.1 POLICY UPDATE: ADVERTISING DEVICES ON COUNCIL PROPERTY**

File Reference: Policies by Directorate

#### **Executive Summary**

Council is asked to adopt the Advertising Devices on Council Property Policy detailed in **Attachment 2** following the completion of its scheduled four-year review cycle. The review identified no material changes to policy's purpose or application. Amendments are limited to minor wording refinements and formatting updates to improve clarity and ensure consistency with Council's current policy template.

The Policy maintains Council's existing approach to managing advertising on Council-owned or managed property, with advertising permitted only in limited circumstances and subject to protections for amenity, environmental values, and appropriate use of public land.

Adoption of the reviewed Policy confirms Council's ongoing approach to managing advertising on Council-owned or managed property.

#### **Resolution:**

Ald. Cockshutt/Ald. King

That Council:

1. APPROVE the updated Advertising Devices on Council Property Policy in the form of **Attachment 2**.

The motion was put.

**FOR:** Ald. Hickey, Ald. Yaxley, Ald. Alderton, Ald. Cockshutt, Ald. King, Ald. Marks, Ald. Slade, Ald. Stringer and Cr. Kendall

**AGAINST:** Ald. Ridler

**ABSTAINED:** Nil

The motion was CARRIED.

## 10.2 WASTE MANAGEMENT FEES AND CHARGES 2026/2027

File Reference: Waste Fees and Charges

### Executive Summary

The proposed Waste Management Fees and Charges for the 2026/27 financial year have been developed in alignment with Council's budget and statutory obligations.

The proposed changes reflect costs associated with waste collection, disposal, inflation, fuel surcharges, contract variations, and the State Government's waste levy. The State Government imposed waste levy will increase from \$45.84 to \$70.56 (54% increase) per tonne from 1 July 2026.

Key objectives of the revised fees and charges are to:

- Maintain reliable, cost-effective waste and kerbside collection services.
- Encourage waste separation and diversion from landfill.
- Recover service delivery costs under a user pays framework, including the application of fuel surcharges that are being charged to Council by contractor services.
- Apply the statewide waste levy in accordance with legislation.
- Promote safe disposal of regulated wastes and controlled wastes.

Council is proposing residential kerbside collection charges to increase by 5%. The State Government imposed waste levy and fuel surcharge that are charged to Council, collectively equates to an increase of 14.5% for garbage, 8.5% for recycling, and 10.5% for FOGO. The same increases are also proposed for eligible commercial kerbside services.

At the Jackson Street Waste Management Centre, most landfill disposal charges are proposed to increase by approximately 5%. There are higher targeted increases for tyres, timber and controlled waste to reflect handling and processing costs. The reduced domestic waste fees will continue to apply to Glenorchy residents and eligible community groups.

Overall, the proposed fees and charges balance affordability for residents with Council's need to recover costs and meet legislative requirements.

**Resolution:**

Ald. King/Cr. Kendall

Ald. King left the meeting at 4:41 pm.

Ald. King returned to the meeting at 4:45 pm.

**Recommendation**

That Council:

1. APPROVE the following kerbside Fees for 2026/2027 financial year as per **Table 1**.
2. APPROVE the following disposal charges for the Jackson Street Waste Management Centre for 2026/2027 financial year as per **Table 2**.
3. APPROVE the following volumetric backup charges for the Jackson Street Waste Management Centre for 2026/2027 financial year as per **Table 3**.
4. AUTHORISE the CEO to negotiate landfill fees with large commercial operators and other Councils, as required.

**Table 1:** Kerbside Fees 2026/2027

Service Type	2025/2026 Fees	Annual Increase %	State Government Waste Levy % (\$70.56)	Fuel Surcharge %	Total Increase Amount \$	2026/2027 Final Rounded Charge
<b>Standard Service</b>						
Residential Garbage -140L F/N	\$123.60	5%	6%	3.5%	\$18.40	<b>\$142</b>
Residential Recycling Services 140L/240L F/N	\$121.30	5%	N/A	3.5%	\$10.70	<b>\$132</b>
Residential FOGO 140L/240L F/N	\$93.80	5%	N/A	5.5%	\$10.20	<b>\$104</b>
Change bin from 140L to 240L	No Charge	-	-	-	-	<b>No Charge</b>
Residential Garbage - 240L F/N (increase in size from 140L)	\$217.15	5%	6%	3.5%	\$31.85	<b>\$249</b>
<b>Shared Services</b>						
Residential Garbage Shared Service	\$123.60	5%	6%	3.5%	\$18.40	<b>\$142</b>

Residential Recycling Shared Services	\$121.30	5%	N/A	3.5%	\$10.70	<b>\$132</b>
FOGO Shared Services	\$93.80	5%	N/A	5.5%	\$10.20	<b>\$104</b>
<b>Other Services</b>						
Commercial Garbage – 140L F/N	\$214.85	5%	6%	3.5%	\$32.15	<b>\$247</b>
Group Home Garbage – 140L W (W140LCG)	\$375.40	5%	6%	3.5%	\$54.60	<b>\$430</b>
Commercial Garbage – 240L F/N	\$368.45	5%	6%	3.5%	\$54.55	<b>\$423</b>
Group Home Garbage – 240L W (W240LCG)	\$639.90	5%	6%	3.5%	\$93.10	<b>\$733</b>
Commercial Recycling – 140L & 240L F/N	\$121.30	5%	N/A	3.5%	\$10.70	<b>\$132</b>
Group Home Recycling – 140L & 240L W (WLCR)	\$240.35	5%	N/A	3.5%	\$20.65	<b>\$261</b>
Commercial FOGO – 140L & 240L F/N (New Service)	\$93.80	5%	N/A	5.5%	\$10.20	<b>\$104</b>
Special Garbage – 140L F/N	\$247.20	5%	6%	3.5%	\$36.80	<b>\$284</b>
Special Garbage – 240L F/N	\$425.05	5%	6%	3.5%	\$61.95	<b>\$487</b>
Special Recycling – 140L & 240L F/N	\$240.35	5%	N/A	3.5%	\$20.65	<b>\$261</b>
Special FOGO – 140L & 240L F/N	\$242.55	5%	N/A	5.5%	\$26.45	<b>\$269</b>
Notes:						
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. W – weekly, F/N – fortnightly.</li> <li>2. All residential tenements may upgrade their garbage service type from a 140-litre service to a 240-litre service fortnightly by paying the relevant charge listed above. There is no minimum number of residents required to utilise this service. Upgrades are required to be applied for by the resident/ratepayer for the property.</li> <li>3. Weekly collections are for unit complexes only. However, all individual bin service residents have the option to upgrade to weekly collection by arrangement directly with Council's kerbside garbage collection provider, (Veolia).</li> <li>4. Special circumstance garbage, FOGO and recycling is a fortnightly service that manages a number of existing circumstances.</li> </ol>						

**Table 2:** Jackson Street Waste Management Centre 2026/2027

Waste Service Type	2025/2026 Current Gate Fee (Including GST & Levy)	2025/2026 Gate Fees Excluding Levy	Increase based on 5%	New State Government Waste Levy Applied (\$70.56) or \$ Proportioned	2026/2027 Proposed Final Charges Rounded (Including GST & Levy)
Minimum gate fee	<b>\$17</b>	\$16	\$0.80	\$3.00	<b>\$20.00</b>
Passenger tyres (15% increase)*	<b>\$14</b>	\$13	\$2.00*	\$1.00	<b>\$16.00</b>
Passenger tyres with Rims (15% increase)*	<b>\$24</b>	\$22.50	\$3.50*	\$2.00	<b>\$28.00</b>
Light truck / 4wd tyres (15% increase)*	<b>\$24</b>	\$22.50	\$3.50*	\$2.00	<b>\$28.00</b>
Light truck / 4wd tyres with Rims (15% increase)*	<b>\$47</b>	\$45	\$6.70*	\$3.00	<b>\$55.00</b>
Clean fill (conditions apply)	<b>\$82</b>	\$32	\$1.60	\$70.56	<b>\$105.00</b>
Brick / concrete / rubble	<b>\$143</b>	\$95.70	\$4.79	\$70.56	<b>\$172.00</b>
Timber & Wood Waste (25% increase)*	<b>\$130</b>	\$84	\$21.00*	\$70.56	<b>\$176.00</b>
Green waste / vegetation (domestic)	<b>\$141</b>	\$91.30	\$4.57	\$70.56	<b>\$167.00</b>
Green waste / vegetation (commercial)	<b>\$165</b>	\$115.50	\$5.78	\$70.56	<b>\$192.00</b>
General waste – domestic GCC residents* (cars and single axle & non branded tandem trailers), and community groups	<b>\$160</b>	\$112.20	\$5.61	\$70.56	<b>\$189.00</b>
General waste – Non GCC residents	<b>\$207</b>	\$159.50	\$7.98	\$70.56	<b>\$239.00</b>
Mattresses	<b>\$35</b>	\$35	\$1.75	\$2.00	<b>\$39.00</b>
Mixed waste – commercial / industrial / demolition / construction	<b>\$300</b>	\$256	\$12.80	\$70.56	<b>\$340.00</b>
Metal	<b>\$128</b>	\$78	\$3.90	\$70.56	<b>\$153</b>
Recycling	<b>No Charge</b>	No Charge		N/A	<b>No Charge</b>
Domestic quantity of double wrapped asbestos	<b>\$59 / boot load \$116 / small trailer load (levy N/A)</b>	\$59 / boot load \$116 / small trailer load (levy N/A)	\$2.95 & \$5.80	N/A	<b>\$62/ boot load \$122 / small trailer load</b>
Controlled waste / special burial – (Tas Police & State Health Only) 33% increase	<b>\$174 / special waste handling fee plus \$350 / tonne</b>	\$174 / special waste handling fee plus \$350 / tonne	\$9.00 handling fee plus \$117.44 /tonne	\$70.56	<b>\$183 / special waste handling fee plus \$538 per tonne</b>

\* A driver's licence or valid ID would need to be presented to the tollbooth operator to receive the discounted general waste fees.

Dual axle/tandem trailers will only be accepted as residential loads that do not exceed 1 tonne, load exceeding this limit will be charged at a non-residential fee.

**Table 3: Volumetric Backup Charges only**

Waste type (only when weighbridge is non-operational)	Charges 2025/2026 (including GST & rounded)	2026/2027 Proposed Charges (Inc GST & Levy)
Boot Load (up to a maximum of 0.25m <sup>3</sup> )	\$17	\$20
Green Waste Boot Load	\$17	\$20
Trucks GVM > 3 tonne to 7 tonnes	\$96	\$126
Trucks GVM > 7 tonne to 12 tonnes	\$217	\$253
Trucks GVM >12 tonne Single Axle	\$312	\$358
Trucks GVM >12 tonne Dual Axle	\$383	\$423
Dual axle trailers (behind trucks)	\$383	\$423
Skip/Bin up to 4m <sup>3</sup>	\$130	\$162
Skip/Bin > 4m <sup>3</sup> to 8m <sup>3</sup>	\$250	\$288
Skip/Bin > 8m <sup>3</sup> to 12m <sup>3</sup>	\$405	\$451
Skip/Bin > 12m <sup>3</sup> to 15m <sup>3</sup>	\$510	\$561
Skip/Bin > 15m <sup>3</sup> to 20m <sup>3</sup>	\$665	\$724
Skip/Bin > 20m <sup>3</sup> to 25m <sup>3</sup>	\$786	\$851
Skip/Bin > 25m <sup>3</sup> to 30m <sup>3</sup>	\$906	\$977
Skip/Bin > 30m <sup>3</sup>	\$1,148	\$1,231
Compactors < 7m <sup>3</sup>	\$306	\$347
Compactors > 7m <sup>3</sup> to 15m <sup>3</sup>	\$652	\$710
Compactors < 15m <sup>3</sup> half full	\$486	\$536
Compactors > 15m <sup>3</sup> full	\$1,098	\$1,178
Compactors > 15m <sup>3</sup> half full	\$693	\$753

The motion was put.

**FOR:** Ald. Hickey, Ald. Yaxley, Ald. Alderton, Ald. Cockshutt, Ald. King, Ald. Marks, Ald. Ridler, Ald. Slade, Ald. Stringer and Cr. Kendall

**AGAINST:** Nil

**ABSTAINED:** Nil

The motion was CARRIED.

## **10.3 TOLOSA PLAYSPACE RENEWAL ENGAGEMENT UPDATE (24 MAY 2026)**

File Reference: Planning for Play 2041

### **Executive Summary**

This report presents the outcomes of community engagement undertaken from 1 April to 30 April 2026 in relation to the proposed Tolosa Park Regional Playspace.

This project was identified through Council's adopted playspace strategy Planning for Play 2041 as part of Council's long term strategic approach to renew and improve its playspaces. The strategy specifically identified the opportunity to develop a destination playspace at the ever-popular Tolosa Park.

To inform final concept selection, Council's Landscape Architect developed two concept design options. Community engagement was undertaken via Council's Let's Talk Glenorchy online platform using the quick poll voting function to seek public preference between the two concepts. The engagement was supported through a coordinated communications campaign including Council Facebook promotion, a dedicated YouTube video, a full-page advertisement in the April 2026 Glenorchy Gazette and promotional material displayed within the Council Chambers foyer.

A total of 3,589 responses were received during the engagement period, demonstrating a very strong level of community interest in the project. The final results determined that Option 1 – Nature Play Inspired Playspace is the preferred concept with 1,804 votes (50.3%), compared with Option 2 – Traditional Play Inspired Playspace with 1,785 votes (49.7%), representing a margin of just 19 votes (0.6%).

Council has committed funding for the delivery of this project through the Property Disposals Reserve and has also secured Commonwealth and State Government grant funding contributions toward the project's accessible and inclusive play components.

Subject to Council resolving the community engagement outcomes, officers will proceed with detailed design refinement, procurement planning and delivery for the preferred concept, with construction commencing during the 2026/2027 financial year with completion anticipated by June 2027

**Resolution:**

Ald. Cockshutt/Ald. Yaxley

That Council:

1. RECEIVE and NOTE the outcomes of community engagement undertaken from 1 April to 30 April 2026 for the Tolosa Park Regional Playspace Renewal.
2. ENDORSE Option 1 – Nature Play Inspired Playspace as the preferred concept design as voted by the community.
3. NOTE that officers will proceed with detailed design refinement, procurement planning and delivery for the preferred concept.

The motion was put.

**FOR:** Ald. Hickey, Ald. Yaxley, Ald. Alderton, Ald. Cockshutt, Ald. King, Ald. Marks, Ald. Ridler, Ald. Slade, Ald. Stringer and Cr. Kendall

**AGAINST:** Nil

**ABSTAINED:** Nil

The motion was CARRIED.

# GOVERNANCE

## *Community Goal – Leading our Community*

### **11.1 POLICIES UPDATE: FOOTPATH TRADING, NATURE STRIP AND RETAINING WALL**

File Reference: Policies by Directorate

#### **Executive Summary**

This report recommends Council to approve the updated Footpath Trading Policy, Nature Strip Policy, and Retaining Wall Policy. These policies were first adopted in June 2022 and are due for review under Council's four-year policy cycle. A review has been completed and confirmed that all policies are still appropriate and working as intended.

No changes have been made to the policy content. The updates are limited to converting the documents into Council's current policy template and reflecting organisational changes, including the change in terminology from General Manager to Chief Executive Officer.

Council approval is required to formally adopt the policies and keep them current.

**Resolution:**

Ald. Cockshutt/Ald. Marks

Ald. King left the meeting at 4:58 pm.

Ald. King returned to the meeting at 5:00 pm.

That Council:

1. APPROVE the revised Footpath Trading Policy and Guidelines, as contained in **Attachment 2-3**.
2. APPROVE the revised Nature Strip Policy and Appendix 1, as contained in **Attachment 5-6**.
3. APPROVE the revised Retaining Wall Policy and Technical Guidelines, as contained in **Attachment 8**.

The motion was put.

**FOR:** Ald. Hickey, Ald. Yaxley, Ald. Alderton, Ald. Cockshutt, Ald. King, Ald. Marks, Ald. Ridler, Ald. Slade, Ald. Stringer and Cr. Kendall

**AGAINST:** Nil

**ABSTAINED:** Nil

The motion was CARRIED.

## 11.2 POLICIES UPDATE: PUBLIC ART

File Reference: Policies by Directorate

### Executive Summary

Council is recommended to rescind the Public Art Policy adopted in November 2021. There is no legal requirement for Council to have a Public Art Policy.

The Policy includes governance and operational arrangements that are no longer current, including a Public Art Oversight Group that no longer exists. The Policy also sits on its own and is not supported by a current Public Arts Strategy or implementation framework.

Council's commitment to community, place and culture is appropriately reflected through the Community Strategy, Asset Management Strategy and annual budget processes. For these reasons, it is proposed that the Policy be rescinded.

As part of the internal audit recommendations, Council is in the process of reviewing the Moonah Arts Centre business plan. Following finalisation, the need for any supporting policy will be assessed and, where required, will be brought forward to Council for consideration.

Ald. Ridler left the meeting at 5:12 pm, was not present for the discussion or vote and did not return for the remainder of the meeting.

### Resolution:

Ald. Stringer/Ald. Alderton

That Council:

1. RESCIND the Public Arts Policy, **Attachment 1**.

The motion was put.

**FOR:** Ald. Hickey, Ald. Yaxley, Ald. Alderton, Ald. Cockshutt, Ald. King, Ald. Marks, Ald. Slade and Ald. Stringer

**AGAINST:** Cr. Kendall

**ABSTAINED:** Nil

The motion was CARRIED.

## 11.3 COUNCIL FEES AND CHARGES 2026/27

File Reference: Corporate and Financial Reporting

### Executive Summary

To present the recommended Schedule of Fees and Charges (“Schedule”) for the 2026/27 financial year.

Council provides numerous services to the community, some of which can be described as fee-for-service. In these instances, Council is required to specifically set a fee or charge for that service pursuant to section 205 of the *Local Government Act 1993*.

The 2026/27 schedule contains 394 fees or charges across 23 service categories. Council has been focused on ensuring that fees and charges increase by the lowest amount possible.

The current economic challenges affecting local, national and international markets has resulted in some amounts increasing above the 5% general increase applied. This is particularly so for services that rely on petroleum products as part of service delivery or has a State Government imposed levy such as waste management, landfill and assets. Increases in petroleum products has also had an inflationary impact on prices of services more heavily reliant on transport and manufacturing.

Waste management and landfill fees are directly impacted by the stepped increase to the State Government imposed Waste Levy rising from \$45.84 this year to \$70.56 per tonne next year. When the Landfill Levy was introduced in 2022/23 the levy was \$20.00 per tonne.

For more than 50% of chargeable services the price increase was only between 4% to 6%. Approximately 14% of chargeable services had a price increase greater than 10%. Most of these were related to waste and landfill charges that were impacted by the significantly higher waste levy and petroleum costs.

Further details on the increase to each fee or charge are provided in **Attachment 1** to this report. The final published Schedule of Fees and Charges 2026/27 is provided in **Attachment 2**.

**Resolution:**

Cr. Kendall/Ald. King

That Council:

1. NOTE the variation to fees and charges for the 2026/27 financial year as detailed in **Attachment 1**
2. APPROVE the fees and charges for the 2026/27 financial year as detailed in **Attachment 2**
3. NOTE that fees and charges for Waste Management and Landfill are subject to approval as part of a separate report to this Council meeting.
4. AMEND the Schedule of Fees and Charges, if necessary, to reflect any changes to the recommended fees and charges for Waste Management and Landfill arising from Council's consideration of the separate report referred to in item 3.

The motion was put.

**FOR:** Ald. Hickey, Ald. Yaxley, Ald. Alderton, Ald. Cockshutt, Ald. King, Ald. Marks, Ald. Slade, Ald. Stringer and Cr. Kendall

**AGAINST:** Nil

**ABSTAINED:** Nil

The motion was CARRIED.

## 11.4 FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE REPORT TO 30 APRIL 2026

File Reference: Corporate and Financial Reporting

### Executive Summary

This report provides an overview of Council's financial performance for the year to date ending 30 April 2026. The reported operational result indicates a favourable position of \$58,000 or 0.50% better than budgeted.

The reported financial position can be influenced by several factors. This includes timing between the budget expectation and the actual occurrence of financial transaction, as well as more permanent variations.

This month the overall variance to budget is minimal and no revision of the budget is required. Revenue and expenditure continue to be well-controlled and monitored meaning no budget repair action is required as we move closer to the end of the financial year.

Operational revenue is \$78.254 million recording a minimal \$24,000 unfavourable variation to budget at 30 April 2026.

Operational expenditure is \$65.940 million recording a minimal \$82,000 favourable variation to budget at 30 April 2026.

Non-operating items are tracking within expected ranges. Capital grant revenue is ahead of budget at \$6.466 million, non-monetary asset contributions are \$1.413 million and there have been no monetary contributions received to date. Disposal of assets currently reflect an expense of \$723,000, noting that gains (revenue) and losses (expense) are not necessarily cash related but rather depend on the relationship between sale price, book value, and derecognition of assets. Capitalisation of assets created in the capital works program has resulted in \$1.113 million of the residual value of obsolete or replaced assets being written off to date.

Year-to-date expenditure on the capital works program totals \$19.677 million compared to budget expectations of \$19.513 million.

Significant progress continues to be made on the Glenorchy War Memorial Pool upgrade, with approximately 82% of physical works completed under the head contract, representing 70% of the budgeted expenditure completed as of 30 April 2026. Works remain on schedule for completion within this financial year, with \$4.529 million spent against a total project budget of \$6.500 million.

Further details on financial performance, capital works, and variance analysis are provided in **Attachment 1** to this report.

**Resolution:**

Ald. Cockshutt/Ald. Marks

That Council:

1. RECEIVE and NOTE the attached report on Council's financial performance to 30 April 2026.

The motion was put.

**FOR:** Ald. Hickey, Ald. Yaxley, Ald. Alderton, Ald. Cockshutt, Ald. King, Ald. Marks, Ald. Slade, Ald. Stringer and Cr. Kendall

**AGAINST:** Nil

**ABSTAINED:** Nil

The motion was CARRIED.

## 11.5 PROCUREMENT EXEMPTIONS

File Reference: Procurement

### Executive Summary

In accordance with section 333B of the *Local Government Act 1993*, Council has adopted a Code for Tenders and Contracts (the Code).

Under the Code, a report must be prepared for Council detailing any instances where the usual public tender or quotation has not been applied. Such procurement exemptions are to be reported at the earliest ordinary Council meeting following the execution of a contract or issue of a purchase order.

This report details two (2) approved procurement exemptions:

1. The continued engagement of Benchmark Estimating Software to provide project cost estimating software for a further period of three (3) years, at an estimated value of \$8,750 per year (excluding GST).
2. The continued engagement of Experian Australia Operations Pty Ltd to provide its e-tendering platform, TenderLink, for a further period of one (1) year. The total estimated value of the purchase is \$33,694 (excluding GST), comprising the further one-year term and a preceding three-year extension.

These exemptions were approved in accordance with the Code by the Director Infrastructure and Development on 7 May 2026, and by the Director Community and Corporate Services on 1 May 2026, respectively.

Approval was granted on the basis that the purchases are for additional supplies by the original service provider, or its authorised representative, which were not included in the initial procurement, and where a change of service provider cannot practicably be made.

On a particular note, a change cannot be made for technical reasons, including interchangeability or interoperability with existing software, services or installations, or because a change would cause significant disruption to business continuity or substantial duplication of costs for Council.

**Resolution:**

Cr. Kendall/Ald. Slade

That Council:

1. RECEIVE and NOTE the exemption for the supply of project cost estimating software from Benchmark Estimating Software for a further period of three (3) years, at an estimated value of \$8,750 per year (excluding GST).
2. RECEIVE and NOTE the exemption for the supply of the e-tendering platform, TenderLink, from Experian Australia Operations Pty Ltd for an estimated value of \$33,694 (excluding GST), comprising a further one-year term and a preceding three-year extension.

The motion was put.

**FOR:** Ald. Hickey, Ald. Yaxley, Ald. Alderton, Ald. Cockshutt, Ald. King, Ald. Marks, Ald. Slade, Ald. Stringer and Cr. Kendall

**AGAINST:** Nil

**ABSTAINED:** Nil

The motion was CARRIED.

## 11.6 NOTICES OF MOTION - QUESTIONS ON NOTICE / WITHOUT NOTICE

None.

### **Resolution:**

Ald. Alderton/Ald. Slade

That the meeting be closed to the public to allow discussion of matters that are described in Regulation 15 of the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2025.

The motion was put.

**FOR:** Ald. Hickey, Ald. Yaxley, Ald. Alderton, Ald. Cockshutt, Ald. King,  
Ald. Marks, Ald. Slade, Ald. Stringer and Cr. Kendall

**AGAINST:** Nil

**ABSTAINED:** Nil

The motion was CARRIED.

The meeting was closed to members of the public and the live stream was terminated at 5:20 pm.

The Chair adjourned the meeting for a short break and members of the public left the meeting.

## **12. CLOSED TO MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC**

### **12.1 CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES (CLOSED MEETING)**

### **12.2 APPLICATIONS FOR LEAVE OF ABSENCE**

### **12.3 NOTICES OF MOTION - QUESTIONS ON NOTICE/ WITHOUT NOTICE**

The meeting was moved from Closed back into Open Council.

The Open Council Meeting recommenced at 5:23 pm.

The Chair closed the meeting at 5:23 pm.

Confirmed:

**Chair**