



MINUTES

Glenorchy City Council Meeting

held at the Council Chambers

on Monday, 29 June 2026

at 3:30 pm



Present (in Chambers):	Alderman Russell Yaxley (Deputy Mayor), Aldermen Shane Alderton, Josh Cockshutt, Steven King, Tim Marks, Peter Ridler, Stuart Slade, Justin Stringer and Councillor Molly Kendall.
Present (by video link):	None
In attendance (in Chambers):	Emilio Reale (Chief Executive Officer), Michael Sokulski (Acting Director Community and Corporate Services/Chief Financial Officer), Luke Chiu (Director Environmental Services), Patrick Marshall (Director Infrastructure and Development), Christine Lane (Manager Stakeholder and Executive), Tim Douglass (Coordinator Executive and Strategy), Ben Hughes (Acting Coordinator of Community Development), Warren Yates (Manager Community)
In attendance (by video link):	Mandy Henderson (Executive Officer to the Chief Executive Officer and Mayor)
Leave of Absence:	None

**Workshops held
since last Council
meeting:****Date:** Monday, 1 June 2026**Purpose:** Closed Workshop

- Site visit to Apex Park to view new track, rivulet and Goshawk sculpture
- Budget Review
- Glenorchy Pool Signage

Date: Tuesday, 9 June 2026**Purpose:** Closed Workshop

- Presentation by TasWaste South
- Consultation on Intercity Cycleway Connection Improvements by Department of State Growth

Date: Monday, 22 June 2026**Purpose:** Open Workshop

- Presentation by Ursula Taylor on the Derwent Estuary Program and current projects

1 APOLOGIES

Ald. Sue Hickey (Mayor)

2 CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES (OPEN ORDINARY AND SPECIAL MEETING)

Resolution:

Ald. Slade/Ald. Ridler

That the minutes of the Ordinary Council meeting held on Monday, 26 May 2026 be confirmed.

The motion was put.

FOR: Ald. Yaxley, Ald. Alderton, Ald. Cockshutt, Ald. King, Ald. Marks, Ald. Ridler, Ald. Slade, Ald. Stringer and Cr. Kendall

AGAINST: Nil

ABSTAINED: Nil

The motion was CARRIED.

Resolution:

Ald. Marks/Ald. Ridler

That the minutes of the Special Council meeting held on Monday, 15 June 2026 be confirmed.

The motion was put.

FOR: Ald. Yaxley, Ald. Alderton, Ald. Cockshutt, Ald. King, Ald. Marks, Ald. Ridler, Ald. Slade, Ald. Stringer and Cr. Kendall

AGAINST: Nil

ABSTAINED: Nil

The motion was CARRIED.

3 ANNOUNCEMENTS BY THE CHAIR

- I would like to take this opportunity to address the incident near the pool and concerns that have been raised.
- First and foremost, it is important to clarify the facts.
- There was no security breach at the pool, the fire was not within the pool site and was extinguished very quickly.
- The fire did not impact the pool infrastructure or the ongoing redevelopment works
- There has been no disruption to the renovation timeline because of this incident.
- I can assure community that there are security measures in place at the pool.
- The site is currently under the control of the appointed builder, not Council. Therefore, as is standard practice, the contractor is responsible for site management and security during construction, and they have appropriate security measures in place.
- Once the site is formally handed back to Council, we will implement our own security arrangements.
- For security reasons it is not appropriate to detail these measures in a public forum.
- I also want to address concerns about people sleeping rough in the area and suggestions that Council is doing nothing.
- I can assure you that Council takes a compassionate, coordinated and evidence-based approach to homelessness.
- Our Community Development team works actively with partner agencies including the Salvation Army Streets to Home Program and Tasmania Police to address this issue.
- We are aware of the individuals camping near the rivulet and have had ongoing engagement with these people with plans underway to support them to relocate safely.
- Arrangements are also in progress to clean up the site.
- It is important to acknowledge that many individuals experiencing homelessness face complex challenges, including significant mental health issues.
- These are not issues that can be resolved quickly or through enforcement alone. It is not simply a matter of just moving these people on, as has been suggested.
- Our approach prioritises safety, dignity and connection to appropriate support services.
- I want to assure the public that Council takes its responsibilities both in relation to the pool project and in supporting vulnerable members of our community very seriously.

- We will continue to work collaboratively, responsibly, and compassionately, while ensuring accurate information is shared with the community.

Pool Update:

- Now onto some more positive news about the pool
- Significant progress has been made across all areas of the project with the head contract nearing completion.
- The change room has been completed, with the final occupancy certification now issued.
- Works on the pool structure are significantly advanced and nearing completion with key elements including the accessible ramp tiling and handrails underway and structural repairs and surface preparation largely complete.
- The painting of the pool interior is now underway.
- In the plant room, critical infrastructure has been installed, including the new switchboard, ventilation, sewer systems and pumps, supporting the future operation of the facility.
- Across the broader site, grandstand and services works are complete or well advanced, alongside installation of water heating systems and solar infrastructure.
- The Changing Places facility has been delivered, with access works and parking improvements now in the advanced stages of construction
- The project remains on track for completion of the head contract works within this financial year, although minor works will continue into July including site clean-up and commissioning. Following that, Council will continue with final site works and landscaping to ensure the facility is ready to open at the start of the season on 1 October 2026

Youth Hub

- The weekly drop-in sessions have been a huge success with over 50 young people calling in between 3 and 5pm on a Thursday to enjoy some warm food, good company and fun stuff to do.
- The space is also being used by the Friendship Hub on Monday mornings where our older community members come together to catch up and connect.
- Local flexible learning providers such as BlendED, Indie School and St Francis Flexible Learning have also been utilising the Hub to give their students an alternative space to participate in education.
- From this Wednesday the drop-in sessions will be run each weekday from 3 – 5pm.

Public Art Project Update

- You may have seen on our Facebook page that the southern wall at Council Chambers building is now home to a striking new artwork.
- These vibrant mushrooms are one of several installations that are helping bring colour, creativity and local character to our public spaces.
- This new artwork is part of the collection being commissioned by Council that includes the Transport Museum shed on the cycleway, the Chamber wall facing the bus mall, Cooper Street and the revolving artwork on the kiosk at the front of Chambers.
- The Comms team have produced this video about the Cooper Street mural, which will be posted on our Facebook and You Tube channels.

B Kinder Day

- Last week we celebrated kindness, connection and community on B Kinder Day with a successful event that brought together younger and older members of the community.
- This event showcased the power of community connection in reducing social isolation and loneliness.
- One of the highlights of the event was school children interviewing our older participants about what it was like for them to be at school.
- Here is a video account of the day.

Salvation Army and TOMRA Cleanaway Event

- Today, the Salvation Army and TOMRA launched a new initiative to help turn containers into meals for Tasmanians in need.
- Up to 4 October, The Salvation Army will be raising funds across the state through Recycle Rewards to provide meals for struggling families, with 100,000 containers recycled equalling 1,000 meals.
- The launch took place at the Recycle Rewards return point at Glenorchy Central.
- This was followed by a community BBQ on the front lawns of Council.

Candidate Information Evening

- A reminder that Council and the Local Government Association of Tasmania will join forces this Wednesday 1 July at 5.30 pm at the Moonah Arts Centre to host a candidate information session for anyone thinking of running for Council in the October elections.
- This free session will cover what Council does, how decisions are made, what it means to be an elected member and what you need to know before nominating for the election.
- RSVPs are essential and can be done so via the advert on our Facebook page.

4 PECUNIARY INTEREST NOTIFICATION

The Chairperson asked if any Elected Members had or were likely to have a pecuniary interest in any items on the Agenda.

There were no declarations of pecuniary interest.

5 RESPONSE TO PREVIOUS PUBLIC QUESTIONS TAKEN ON NOTICE

None.

6 PUBLIC QUESTION TIME (15 MINUTES)

Please note:

The Council Meeting is a formal meeting of the Elected Members elected by the Glenorchy community. It is chaired by the Mayor. Public question time is an opportunity in the formal meeting for the public to ask questions of their elected Council representatives about the matters that affect ratepayers and citizens.

In accordance with regulation 36, 37 and 38 (Public question time) Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2025, Council will allocate 15 minutes during each Council Meeting to invite members of the public to ask questions relating to the activities of Council.

The following rules and procedures apply to Public Question Time:

1. questions must relate to the activities of Council
2. members of the public are to announce their name and residential address before asking a question (which will be recorded in the minutes)
3. questions are to be put succinctly and in the form of a question, not a comment
4. questions must not be inflammatory, abusive, defamatory, contain a personal attack or otherwise breach any rules of the meeting which have been explained by the Chairperson
5. the Chairperson may limit the number of questions asked by each member of the public in order to ensure that all members of the public wishing to ask questions are given the opportunity within the allocated time
6. the Chairperson will decide the order in which questions are to be asked and may rotate the order between different members of the public if individuals have more than one question to ask
7. the Chairperson may, in their absolute discretion:
 - a) refuse to answer a question if the Chairperson deems that it is inappropriate or does not comply with these rules or the rules of the Council meeting, or
 - b) take a question 'on notice', in which case the answer will be provided in writing prior the next Council meeting and included on the agenda for the next Council meeting
8. if a question is taken on notice, the Chairperson may request that the member of the public submit their question in writing and may refuse to provide a response if the question is not provided as requested, and
9. the 15 minutes allocated for Public Question Time may be extended at the discretion of the Chairperson at the conclusion of the time period. Council is to publish information relating to Public Question Time, including any additional rules and procedures, on Council's website.

Question without Notice - Mala Crew, Glenorchy

Q1: With regard to social and community housing, are Council prepared to build more four and five bedroom homes? Why aren't you considering when residents circumstances change (e.g., family members leave home), that they downsize and move to housing accommodation that suits their needs, are Council planning for that?

A1: [Deputy Mayor] It is state government's responsibility in terms of housing and social housing, we have got our commitment and our statement on housing, so we will do what we can do within that. I understand that many of the social houses being built are two and three bedrooms, it comes down to need. It is not trying to put out as many houses as we possibly can.

[CEO] Social housing and the policy that goes along with it on what sort of houses are being built really is with Homes Tasmania. Councils can advocate all types of housing for people who have needs for more bedrooms, because at the moment that are trying to catch up with one and two bedroom units, because they have got that empty-nester syndrome where people want to down size, but these people have go nowhere to go. These people do not need a big three bedroom house with a yard. They are trying to provide more in the two bedroom space and I know that they keep detailed statistics on what the demand is for each region. With regards to the planning scheme, Council can only assess development applications against the scheme, the planning process is really receiving a plan and to make sure it complies with the overlays and the setbacks that the scheme dictates. It is not planning for the types of houses in different suburbs. Whilst Councils don't get involved in providing houses, our involvement is in making underutilised land available for housing, we do not dictate what sort of housing goes on what land. Our advocacy can go to a level of saying that we want to see more two, four, five bedrooms if we know there is a need.

Q2: As a member of TasCOSS this has been addressed and although you may have Homes Tas and all these other bodies, they do not address the real problem. I have been at meetings when the budget announcement was made with the Premier in attendance and the big thing was housing. Can council actively advocate for people of Glenorchy that have a socioeconomic group that is made up of many mixed, blended or larger families?

A2: [CEO] They do track certain areas and needs, they do try and provide a mix for various family needs. There is a housing crisis and a lot of people are sleeping rough. This is not unique to Glenorchy.

Q3: I have been speaking with Mr Burrows regarding the hearing loop in this room, why hasn't a Council officer got back to him about this?

A3: [CEO] We were of the understanding that Mr Burrows was going to contact us. We will arrange a time for him to come in and test it. We will contact Mr Burrows about this to set up a mutually acceptable time.

Question without Notice - Janiece Bryan, Montrose

Q1: Can the renewal of the sponsorship policy on the agenda tonight please be deferred until there is a proper analysis of what is contained in the policy?

A1: [Deputy CEO] It is my understanding that this is an adjustment to the policy that is already in place. There are no large changes to it.

[CEO] The Sponsorship Policy has been in place for many years and 2022 was the last update. There have only been minor administrative amendments like updating the name of General Manager to CEO.

[Deputy CEO] If you have further questions relating to this, send them in and we can take them on notice.

Q2: Why have the costs of running the Glenorchy Memorial Pool escalated from \$300,000 reported for the 2022/23 year to the media announcement of \$700,000? Why did Council portray this in the mainstream media with photos of the pool and misleading the report, that this is the only reason for the 5.4% rate increase? Why does Council continue to blame the essential provision of a pool for their community as being a drain on ratepayers in the widespread media, when it isn't true? Why have ratepayers been misled when there is so much lack of transparency around other spending, such as the running costs for the Moonah Arts Centre and the huge unexplained \$9.2 million in other expenses in the budget estimates presented at the same time as the rate increases?

A2: [CEO] I do not believe that is correct. Our media release clearly stated it was not just about the pool. There were about four reasons why the rate increase was 5.4% and it included issues like fuel escalation, increased construction costs, increased inflation/CPI and the pool which has increased in depreciation, and the new contract for the operation of running the pool. It obviously is going to be higher than what it was in 2023. There were several factors, not just the pool. If a media outlet honed in on one reason, well that is not what Council intended as we were trying to be transparent in making sure that people got a balanced plan view of what our rate increase was.

[CEO] The ratepayer pays for the pool. It is the rates that we charge that pays for everything, all the services that we provide. With correcting the media record, the media do not see a story in that, we cannot control what they report on.

[Deputy Mayor] You could write a letter to the media to say that it has been incorrectly reported, that would hold some weight, they would probably report that.

Question without Notice - Leeanne Rose, Montrose

Q1: Can we get 24/7 security service and cameras around the fenceline of the Glenorchy War Memorial Pool for active fire and community?

A1: [Deputy Mayor] We are aware of where the fire was.

[CEO] Full possession of the site has legally been signed over to the builder so that they can be the principal contractor and manage all the activity on that site. They have their own security measures in place, which I will not go into for obvious reasons. When the site is handed back to council, we have security systems that are ready to be reactivated.

Q2: Will you to do a site inspection and risk assessment to deal with the homeless people living rough in the Glenorchy area?

A2: [Deputy Mayor] We are aware of what is happening there.

[CEO] We are aware of the people there, they are coming and going, they are not always there for long durations. We have started working with them to try and find them alternative places to live. It is a complex issue. They need to be willing to move into crisis housing. Salvation Army have also been working with these individuals. There are two people living there, even though they have multiple tents. The Salvation Army's Streets to Homes Program are working with them, together with Homes Tas, we are also working with Tas Police to help relocate them. Our after hours crews were called there when smoke was detected they did a visual site inspection and found two holes in the fence. These holes were fixed today. The cleanup of the sites has also been arranged for tomorrow.

7 PETITIONS/ADDRESSING COUNCIL MEETING

None.

COMMUNITY

Community Goal – Making Lives Better

8.1 ACTIVITIES OF THE MAYOR

Author: Mayor (Sue Hickey)
Qualified Person: Chief Executive Officer (Emilio Reale)
File Reference: Activities of the Mayor

Resolution:

Ald. King/Ald. Alderton

That Council:

1. RECEIVE the report about the activities of Mayor Hickey during the period from Monday, 11 May 2026 to Sunday, 14 June 2026.

The motion was put.

FOR: Ald. Yaxley, Ald. Alderton, Ald. Cockshutt, Ald. King, Ald. Marks, Ald. Ridler, Ald. Slade, Ald. Stringer and Cr. Kendall

AGAINST: Nil

ABSTAINED: Nil

The motion was CARRIED.

ECONOMIC

Community Goal – Open for Business

9.1 ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT PLAN - PROSPEROUS GLENORCHY 2031

Author: Manager Stakeholder and Executive (Christine Lane)
Qualified Person: Chief Executive Officer (Emilio Reale)
File Reference: Economic Development Plan - Prosperous Glenorchy 2031

Executive Summary

The draft Prosperous Glenorchy 2031 Plan (Plan) responds to Council's endorsed review of the 2020–25 Economic Development Strategy and supersedes that strategy, streamlining and retiring outdated actions to align Council's economic focus to current conditions.

The Plan provides a clear, practical roadmap to guide economic development to 2031, prioritising a targeted set of actions with strong accountability, measurable outcomes, and alignment across Council and with State and regional priorities. It is informed by evidence and extensive input from internal stakeholders, elected members, industry, and the community.

While recognising Glenorchy's growth, the Plan addresses structural challenges to ensure the benefits are more equitably shared. It focuses Council effort where it can have the greatest impact enabling investment, strengthening connections, and supporting sustainable growth, including jobs, housing supply, business confidence, precinct activation, and the visitor economy.

Built on three pillars - Place, Connections and Growth - the Plan adopts a coordinated, whole-of-Council approach. Five priority objectives underpin delivery, supported by a \$620 million development pipeline, clear governance, and disciplined prioritisation.

The Plan is operationalised through 36 defined actions with clear ownership, roles, timeframes, and resourcing. Delivery will be integrated into Council's planning and budget processes, with governance controls for new actions and a number of initiatives already underway.

The progress of each action will be actively monitored through defined reporting mechanisms, including quarterly tracking and annual public reporting against key performance indicators, ensuring transparency and accountability.

Resolution:

Ald. King/Cr. Kendall

That Council:

1. ENDORSE the draft Prosperous Glenorchy 2031 Economic Development Plan (Plan) as outlined in **Attachment 1** and NOTE that Council's future economic development activity will be guided by this Plan.
2. NOTE that the Plan responds to Council's endorsed review of the 2020-25 Economic Development Strategy and supersedes that strategy.
3. NOTE that the Plan is informed by evidence and extensive input from internal stakeholders, elected members, industry, and the community.
4. SUPPORT the implementation of the Action Plan over the 2026/27 – 2030/31 five-year period, with a focus on leading, enabling or partnering with external organisations to create prosperity for all in Glenorchy.
5. AUTHORISE the Chief Executive Officer to make minor administrative, editorial or grammatical amendments that do not materially alter the intent of the Plan.

The motion was put.

FOR: Ald. Yaxley, Ald. Alderton, Ald. Cockshutt, Ald. King, Ald. Marks, Ald. Ridler, Ald. Slade, Ald. Stringer and Cr. Kendall

AGAINST: Nil

ABSTAINED: Nil

The motion was CARRIED.

ENVIRONMENT

Community Goal – Valuing our Environment

10.1 POLICY UPDATE: MOBILE FOOD BUSINESSES ON COUNCIL PROPERTY

Author: Manager Property (Jerome McGee)
Governance Officer (Poorni Wanigasekara)

Qualified Person: Director Environmental Services (Luke Chiu)

File Reference: Policies by Directorate

Executive Summary

The Mobile Food Business Policy was last adopted by Council on 27 June 2022 and has reached the end of its four (4) year review cycle. In accordance with Council's Policy Framework, the Policy has been reviewed to ensure it remains current, clear, and fit for purpose.

The Policy provides direction on where mobile food businesses (food vans) may operate on Council owned land and outlines the requirements for operating at these locations. Pre-approved sites within Council parks and recreational areas are identified to support controlled and equitable use of public spaces.

The review has resulted in minor updates, including improved readability, clarification of existing provisions, and alignment with Council's current style guide. There are no substantive changes to the intent or application of the Policy.

The updated Mobile Food Business Policy is now presented for Council's consideration.

Resolution:

Cr. Kendall/Ald. Stringer

That Council:

1. ADOPT the revised Mobile Food Business Policy, as contained in **Attachment 2**.
2. NOTE the tracked changes to the Policy, as contained in **Attachment 1**.
3. AUTHORISE the Chief Executive Officer to approve additional, or alter existing, mobile food business locations subject to any planning permit requirements.

The motion was put.

FOR: Ald. Yaxley, Ald. Alderton, Ald. Cockshutt, Ald. King, Ald. Marks, Ald. Ridler, Ald. Slade, Ald. Stringer and Cr. Kendall

AGAINST: Nil

ABSTAINED: Nil

The motion was CARRIED.

GOVERNANCE

Community Goal – Leading our Community

11.1 POLICIES UPDATE: SPONSORSHIP

Author: Manager Community (Warren Yates)
Governance Officer (Poorni Wanigasekara)

Qualified Person: Director Community and Corporate Services (Tracey Ehrlich)

File Reference: Policies by Directorate

Executive Summary

All Council policies are subject to cyclical review. The standard review period is four (4) years following adoption; however, policies may be reviewed earlier where required, such as in response to legislative or operational changes.

This report presents the reviewed Sponsorship Policy recommended for Council adoption. No material changes have been made to the policy approved by Council at its meeting on 28 March 2022. Minor changes include changing references to the General Manager to Chief Executive Officer and formatting in accordance with Council's current style guide.

The policy has been updated in accordance with the review cycle and relevant organisational requirements, including alignment with current Council practices and governance expectations.

Resolution:

Ald. Alderton/Ald. Marks

That Council:

1. ADOPT the Sponsorship Policy in **Attachment 2.**

The motion was put.

FOR: Ald. Yaxley, Ald. Alderton, Ald. Cockshutt, Ald. King, Ald. Marks, Ald. Ridler, Ald. Slade, Ald. Stringer and Cr. Kendall

AGAINST: Nil

ABSTAINED: Nil

The motion was CARRIED.

11.2 POLICY UPDATE: ELECTION CARETAKER PERIOD

Author: Manager Stakeholder and Executive (Christine Lane)
Governance Officer (Poorni Wanigasekara)

Qualified Person: Chief Executive Officer (Emilio Reale)

File Reference: Policies by Directorate - Election Caretaker Period Policy

Executive Summary

The Election Caretaker Period Policy is due for renewal in line with the four-year review cycle. The policy has been reviewed by relevant Council officers, with minor updates made to improve clarity and consistency.

Whilst a formal caretaker period is currently not compulsory for Local Government elections, Glenorchy City Council maintains a policy to ensure compliance with the Local Government Act 1993 during General Elections.

The State Government is considering changes to legislation that include a caretaker period as part of the targeted review of the *Local Government Act 1993*. Once the amendments are made, this policy will no longer be required and will be rescinded.

Consultation was undertaken with all Managers and Coordinators, with feedback received and incorporated into the updated policy.

The policy supports appropriate governance during the leadup to the 2026 Local Government General Election and ensures Council avoids decisions or actions that could compromise neutrality or bind an incoming Council.

Adopting the updated policy will maintain compliance and reduce legal and reputational risk during the upcoming election period.

Resolution:

Ald. Cockshutt/Ald. Stringer

That Council:

1. NOTE and ADOPT the updated Election Caretaker Period Policy as outlined in **Attachment 2**.

The motion was put.

FOR: Ald. Yaxley, Ald. Alderton, Ald. Cockshutt, Ald. King, Ald. Marks, Ald. Ridler, Ald. Slade, Ald. Stringer and Cr. Kendall

AGAINST: Nil

ABSTAINED: Nil

The motion was CARRIED.

11.3 POLICY UPDATE: DEALING WITH UNREASONABLE CUSTOMER CONDUCT

Author: Chief Financial Officer (Michael Sokulski)
Governance Officer (Poorni Wanigasekara)

Qualified Person: Director Community and Corporate Services (Tracey Ehrlich)

File Reference: Policies by Directorate

Executive Summary

The Dealing with Unreasonable Customer Conduct Policy was last adopted by Council on 25 July 2022 and has reached the end of its four (4) year review cycle. In accordance with Council's Policy Framework, the Policy has been reviewed to ensure it remains current and fit for purpose.

While the majority of customer interactions are managed through Council's Customer Service Charter, this Policy provides a framework for managing a small number of customers who display unreasonable conduct that may adversely impact staff, service delivery, and Council resources.

The Policy continues to support a balanced approach, protecting staff and Council operations while ensuring that customers are not unreasonably prevented from accessing services to which they are entitled. The review confirms the Policy remains appropriate, with only minor updates made to reflect organisational and terminology changes, and alignment with Council's current style guide.

The updated Dealing with Unreasonable Customer Conduct Policy is presented for Council's consideration and adoption.

Resolution:

Ald. King/Ald. Marks

That Council:

1. ADOPT the revised Dealing with Unreasonable Customer Conduct Policy, as contained in **Attachment 2**.
2. NOTE the tracked changes to the Policy, as contained in **Attachment 1**.

The motion was put.

FOR: Ald. Yaxley, Ald. Alderton, Ald. Cockshutt, Ald. King, Ald. Marks, Ald. Ridler, Ald. Slade, Ald. Stringer and Cr. Kendall

AGAINST: Nil

ABSTAINED: Nil

The motion was CARRIED.

11.4 GLENORCHY PLANNING AUTHORITY DELEGATIONS

Author: Manager Development (Paul Garnsey)
Qualified Person: Director Infrastructure and Development (Patrick Marshall)
File Reference: Delegations

Executive Summary

This report reviews the current delegations to senior planning staff under section 57 of the *Land Use Planning and Approvals Act 1993* (LUPAA). The review responds to feedback from the Glenorchy Planning Authority (GPA) that, on occasion, meetings are required to determine applications that are minor in scale, low risk, or attract little community interest.

The review presents two options for consideration. Option 1 retains the existing structure but increases thresholds. Option 2 adopts a simpler, more contemporary approach by relying primarily on community interest (measured through representations) and development scale. Option 2 is the preferred approach because it better aligns decision-making with matters of genuine public interest, reduces unnecessary meetings, and improves efficiency while maintaining appropriate safeguards.

Resolution:

Ald. Marks/Cr. Kendall

Director of Infrastructure and Development noted an error in the report. The delegation, in section 4 noted in error that - The estimated cost of new development exceeds \$5M. This should say - The estimated cost of new development does not exceed \$5M.

That Council:

1. ENDORSE Option 2 as the revised delegation framework for the determination of discretionary applications under section 57 of the *Land Use Planning and Approvals Act 1993*; and
2. APPROVE amendments the Instrument of Delegation for the Coordinator Planning Services, Lead Statutory Planner and Lead Strategic Planner accordingly.

Proposed OPTION 2

		Conditions or restrictions
Section 57	The power to determine applications for discretionary permits.	Only in the following circumstances: 1. If the time in which the planning authority must grant or refuse a permit will expire prior to the next scheduled meeting of the Glenorchy Planning Authority, and the applicant has refused to grant an extension to that time period. 2. To refuse to grant a permit where the intended use or development is prohibited. 3. To grant a permit where five (5) or fewer opposing representations are received. 4. The estimated cost of new development does not exceed \$5M. 5. To determine a section 57 application where the Glenorchy Planning Authority considered the application but did not reach a decision due to a tied vote.

The motion was put.

FOR: Ald. Yaxley, Ald. Alderton, Ald. Cockshutt, Ald. King, Ald. Marks, Ald. Ridler, Ald. Slade, Ald. Stringer and Cr. Kendall

AGAINST: Nil

ABSTAINED: Nil

The motion was CARRIED.

11.5 PROCUREMENT EXEMPTIONS

Author: Legal Officer (Stella Edwards)

Qualified Person: Director Community and Corporate Services (Tracey Ehrlich)

File Reference: Procurement

Executive Summary

In accordance with section 333B of the *Local Government Act 1993*, Council has adopted a Code for Tenders and Contracts (the Code).

Under the Code, a report must be prepared for Council detailing any instances where the usual public tender or quotation has not been applied. Such procurement exemptions are to be reported at the earliest ordinary Council meeting following the execution of a contract or issue of a purchase order.

This report details an approved procurement exemption for the award of a contract to Integralis (ABN 50 314 570 910) for the provision of the ManageEngine software suite, which supports Council's critical ICT service desk operations.

The contract has been awarded for an initial term of three (3) years, with an option to extend for a further two (2) years. The total contract value is \$102,420.00 (excluding GST).

The exemption was approved by the Director Corporate and Community Services on 9 June 2026, on the basis that Council's requirements can be supplied only by this particular provider and that no reasonable alternative or substitute exists, due to interoperability and compatibility requirements with existing inter-reliant systems and platforms.

Resolution:

Ald. Stringer/Cr. Kendall

That Council:

1. RECEIVE and NOTE the approved exemption for the award of a contract to Integralis for the provision of the ManageEngine software suite for a further three (3) year term, with an option to extend for an additional two (2) years, at a total contract value of \$102,420.00 (excluding GST).

The motion was put.

FOR: Ald. Yaxley, Ald. Alderton, Ald. Cockshutt, Ald. King, Ald. Marks, Ald. Ridler, Ald. Slade, Ald. Stringer and Cr. Kendall

AGAINST: Nil

ABSTAINED: Nil

The motion was CARRIED.

11.6 FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE REPORT TO 31 MAY 2026

Author: Chief Financial Officer (Michael Sokulski)

Qualified Person: Director Community and Corporate Services (Tracey Ehrlich)

File Reference: Corporate and Financial Reporting

Executive Summary

This report provides an overview of Council's financial performance for the year to date ending 31 May 2026. The reported operational result is a favourable \$166,796 against budget.

The financial position can be influenced by several factors. This includes timing between the budget expectation and the actual occurrence of a financial transaction, as well as more permanent variations.

As this reporting period is the penultimate month of the 2025/26 financial year, the reported variations are considered to be manageable at the organisational level, therefore no budget variations are proposed. The operational revenue and expenditure position at 31 May 2026 is:

- Revenue is \$80.126 million, recording a \$104,429 unfavourable variation to budget.
- Expenditure is \$71.297 million, recording a \$271,225 favourable variation to budget.

In relation to non-operating items that primarily relate to assets, the variation to budget can vary in scale as it is difficult to budget in advance of the source event:

- Capital grant revenue is \$6.506 million against the annual \$5.966 million budget
- Non-monetary asset contributions are \$1.413 million against the annual \$3.675 million budget
- Gain or loss on disposal of assets / derecognition of assets is \$721,509 against the annual loss of \$1.375 million
- Assets written off is \$1.121 million against an annual budget of \$700,000
- There have been \$0 monetary contributions received to date

Disposal or writing off assets is not necessarily cash related but rather depends on the relationship between sale price (if applicable), book value, residual value and timing of the derecognition.

Year-to-date expenditure on the capital works program totals \$23.230 million compared to budget expectations of \$23.148 million.

Significant progress continues to be made on the Glenorchy War Memorial Pool upgrade, with approximately 94% of contracted physical works completed as at 15 June, representing 80% or \$5.204 million of the \$6.500 million budgeted expenditure. Works remain largely on track for completion of the head contract within this financial year.

Council will continue to undertake works after this time including landscaping, painting and entrance works in readiness for the pool to open at the start of the season on 1 October.

Further details on financial performance, capital works, and variance analysis are provided in **Attachment 1** to this report.

Resolution:

Ald. Stringer/Ald. Alderton

That Council:

1. RECEIVE and NOTE the attached report on Council's financial performance to 31 May 2026.

The motion was put.

FOR: Ald. Yaxley, Ald. Alderton, Ald. Cockshutt, Ald. King, Ald. Marks, Ald. Ridler, Ald. Slade, Ald. Stringer and Cr. Kendall

AGAINST: Nil

ABSTAINED: Nil

The motion was CARRIED.

11.7 LOCAL GOVERNMENT ASSOCIATION OF TASMANIA - 2026 BY-ELECTION

Author: Chief Executive Officer (Emilio Reale)
Qualified Person: Chief Executive Officer (Emilio Reale)
File Reference: LGAT General Management Committee

Executive Summary

The Tasmanian Electoral Commission has advised Glenorchy City Council that a vacancy has arisen on the Local Government Association of Tasmania General Management Committee following Mayor Paula Wriedt's resignation **Attachment 1**. The vacancy is for the position of General Management Committee Member representing the Southern District for councils with a population greater than 20,000.

Nominations are invited from Brighton Council, Clarence City Council, Glenorchy City Council and Kingborough Council. These councils are listed as the eligible Southern District councils in the relevant population category.

Each member council is entitled to nominate one elected Councillor of a member council for the position. The nomination form states that the nomination must be accompanied by a copy of the Council resolution that lawfully nominated the candidate.

Council has received three nominations from elected members seeking to be nominated as Glenorchy City Council's candidate for the by-election.

As only one nomination may be submitted by Council, a Council resolution is required to determine the nominee.

This report enables Council to consider the nominations and determine, by ballot, which elected member will be formally nominated. It also authorises the Chief Executive Officer to lodge the nomination with the Tasmanian Electoral Commission before the close of nominations.

If more than one valid nomination is received from multiple Councils, the Tasmanian Electoral Commission will conduct a postal ballot.

Resolution:

Ald. Slade/Ald. King

That Council:

1. NOTE the correspondence from the Tasmanian Electoral Commission, **Attachment 1** inviting nominations for the 2026 Local Government Association of Tasmania by-election for the position of General Management Committee Member, Southern District, for councils with a population greater than 20,000.
2. NOTE that Council has received nominations from the following elected members: Deputy Mayor Alderman Russell Yaxley, Alderman Shane Alderton and Alderman Tim Marks.
3. DETERMINE, by ballot, which nominated elected member will be Glenorchy City Council's candidate for election to the Local Government Association of Tasmania General Management Committee.
4. NOMINATE the successful candidate as determined by the ballot.
5. AUTHORISE the Chief Executive Officer, or delegate, to complete and lodge the nomination form and required supporting documentation with the Tasmanian Electoral Commission prior to the close of nominations.
6. NOTE that, if more than one valid nomination is received across the sector, the Tasmanian Electoral Commission will conduct a postal ballot in accordance with the advised timetable.

The motion was put.

FOR: Ald. Yaxley, Ald. Alderton, Ald. Cockshutt, Ald. King, Ald. Marks, Ald. Ridler, Ald. Slade, Ald. Stringer and Cr. Kendall

AGAINST: Nil

ABSTAINED: Nil

The motion was CARRIED.

The ballot determined that Ald. Marks was the successful candidate.

11.8 NOTICES OF MOTION - QUESTIONS ON NOTICE / WITHOUT NOTICE

Question without Notice - Ald. Alderton

Q1: How are we tracking from a financial point of view with the Glenorchy War Memorial Pool for repair? Are we on budget and do we look like completing the works on budget?

A1: [Director of Environmental Services] Yes it is on budget as reported in the financial report. Council approved a budget of up to \$7 million. It was a \$6.5 million budget with a half million dollar contingency. There are still bits and pieces to do with a few variations for additional works coming towards the end but is on track to fall between that \$6.5 million to \$7 million mark.

Resolution:

Ald. Slade/Ald. Ridler

That the meeting be closed to the public to allow discussion of matters that are described in Regulation 15 of the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2025.

The motion was put.

FOR: Ald. Yaxley, Ald. Alderton, Ald. Cockshutt, Ald. King, Ald. Marks, Ald. Ridler, Ald. Slade, Ald. Stringer and Cr. Kendall

AGAINST: Nil

ABSTAINED: Nil

The motion was CARRIED.

The meeting was closed to members of the public and the live stream was terminated at 5:08 pm.

The Chair adjourned the meeting for a 5-minute break and members of the public left the meeting.

The meeting be closed to the public to allow discussion of matters that are described in Regulation 17 of the *Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2025*.

The meeting was closed to members of the public and the live stream was terminated at 5:08 pm.

The Chair adjourned the meeting for a 5-minute recess.

12. CLOSED TO MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC

The closed session commenced at 5:15 pm.

12.1 CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES (CLOSED MEETING)

12.2 APPLICATIONS FOR LEAVE OF ABSENCE

12.3 AUDIT PANEL MEETING MINUTES

This item is to be considered at a Closed Meeting of Council by authority of the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2025, in accordance with the following reason(s):

17(2)(h) Information that is –

- (i) of a personal and confidential nature; or
- (i) information provided to the Council on the condition it is kept confidential

12.4 NOTICES OF MOTION - QUESTIONS ON NOTICE/ WITHOUT NOTICE

The meeting was moved from Closed back into Open Council.

The Open Council Meeting recommenced at 5:21 pm.