

**Minutes of the Meeting
of the Glenorchy City Council
held at the Council Chambers
on Monday, 6 June 2016 at 3.00 p.m.**



Present: Aldermen K. Johnston (Mayor), H. Quick (Deputy Mayor), J. Branch-Allen, J. Dunsby, S. King, C. Lucas, H. Nielsen, D. Pearce, S.E. Slade and M. Stevenson.

In attendance: Mr. P. Brooks (General Manager), Ms. S. Iskandarli (Director Corporate Governance and General Counsel), Mr. E. Reale (Director City Services and Infrastructure), Mr. T. McMullen (Director Community, Economic Development and Business), Ms. K. Hudson (Manager Legal and Property), Mr. S. Scott (Manager Governance and Risk), Ms. D. Berry (Manager People and Safety), Mr. C. French (Manager Business and Finance), Mr. D. Ronaldson (Manager Community and Customer Service), Mr. P. Garnsey (Manager Environment and Development), Mr. R. Grierson (Manager Infrastructure, Engineering and Design), Mr. S. Mohamed (Manager Waste Services), Mr. M. Whitely (Finance Project Officer), Mr. F. Chen (Hydraulics Engineer), Mr. C. Grace (Asset Management Coordinator), Ms. E. McGoldrick (Coordinator City Strategy and Economic Development) Mrs. J. King (Mayoral and Executive Support Officer).

Workshops held since last Council Meeting **Date:** **Monday, 23 May 2016**

Purpose: To discuss:

- Debrief from Community Forum
- Federal Election Priority List
- TTMS – Strategy and Business Plan
- Targeted Review of Local Government Act
- Tasmanian Hotels Association – Poker Machines

The meeting was opened with a prayer by Father Lawrie Moate.

1. APOLOGIES

None.

2. CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES

Resolution:

PEARCE/SLADE

That the minutes of the Council Meeting held on Monday, 9 May 2016 be confirmed.

The Mayor suggested a typographical amendment, that the word “identity” at page 18 be replaced with the word “identify”.

The motion was put.

FOR: Aldermen Pearce, Branch-Allen, Dunsby, Slade, Quick, Johnston, Nielsen, Lucas, King and Stevenson.

AGAINST:

The motion was CARRIED.

3. ANNOUNCEMENTS BY THE CHAIR

None.

4. PECUNIARY INTEREST NOTIFICATION

The Chairperson asked if any Aldermen had or were likely to have a pecuniary interest in any items on the Agenda.

There were no pecuniary interests declared by the Aldermen.

5. RESPONSE TO PREVIOUS PUBLIC QUESTIONS TAKEN ON NOTICE

Mr. Burrows - Granton

Q. Why is the sound system not adequate?

A. Council are in the process of implementing a more up-to-date audio system that will improve the deficiencies under the existing system. It is anticipated that deficiencies in the Council Chambers acoustics and sound will be reduced with the new system.

Q. Why is it never tested for adequacy before each meeting?

A. As stated above, the new system should reduce the current known issues of the existing audio system.

Q. Why has a hearing aid system not been introduced?

A. This is not the case. Council for many years has had an adequate hearing induction system (signage around Council and in Chambers) and Alderman Pearce has verified as to its efficacy.

Q. Why have Council not kept within its own policies of dealing with older people or people with disabilities, specifically those with hearing and sign disability or mobility?

A. Council has a 2006 Access Action Plan that provides guidance for Council on these matters. Further, Council is undertaking the development of a new Disability Discrimination Act Action Plan. This will reflect objectives of the National Disability Strategy 2010-2020 and the Tasmanian Framework for Action. This context will allow the Council to be part of a collaborative piece of work across all levels of government to improve life experiences of people with disability.

Council continues to support the Glenorchy City Council Access Committee to meet on a bimonthly basis to provide advice to Council on matters relating to people with a disability.

Activities included:

- Providing advice on Council projects, strategies and development proposals.
- Assisting Council staff with assessing and prioritising access work identified by the community.
- Development of Disability Awareness Training package to be delivered to Glenorchy City Council employees.

Q. Why is the image of the agenda on the screen showing the items on the agenda not large enough to be read with ease by members of the public?

A. Council provides a range of options for members of the public to review the agenda items i.e. provision of hand held copies and the items displayed on a large flat screen. This matter has been referred to the GCC Access Committee for further consideration.

Q. Why on a regular basis are the front seats of the downstairs section completely occupied by Council staff, often for the majority of the meeting time, when their appearance could be signaled just prior to their needed contribution? At the very least this is disrespectful of ratepayers and at the worst a waste of ratepayer monies.

A. It is considered by management that it would be more disruptive to Council proceedings if staff were not in proximity to answer queries from Council. However, it is anticipated with the new audio system and the provision of wireless microphones for both upper and lower chambers this may lessen the need for Council staff to be in the front downstairs section to some extent.

Q. Why are staff contributing to the meeting not required to ever identify their names or position?

A. This is not the case and Council staff have been reminded to identify themselves through the Chair at Council meetings.

Q. Why do staff rarely use the microphone?

A. If Council staff are not in close proximity of the downstairs microphone (i.e. not sitting in the front row) it follows that it is difficult for staff to use the same without unnecessary disruption to Council proceedings. Notwithstanding, the provision of wireless microphones for both upper and lower chambers should adequately address this need.

Q. Why is guest Wi-Fi password not displayed for citizens attending meetings?

A. Council have welcomed this suggestion and are in the process of trialing a limited public wireless access for the duration of Council meetings.

Q. Why are no toilet facilities provided to citizens attending meetings?

A. This is not the case and the public attending Council meetings will be informed as to where the facilities are toilet located for their convenience.

- Q.** Why are drinks not supplied to citizens who are in attendance?
- A.** Water is provided for the public attending Council meetings.
- Q.** Why are GCC plated cars occupying so many parking spaces, one would have to question if an officer needs a vehicle for their duties, then it could well be expected that the vehicle is used on those duties more or less on a continuous basis?
- A.** A number of GCC plated vehicles utilise the designated Council vehicle parking bays at different times depending on Council staff needs throughout the course of a normal business day. As a number of these GCC plated vehicles are home garaged at night it is to be expected that towards the end of the business day the Council vehicle parking bays will be more fully occupied.
- Q.** Why are Citizens attending Council meeting not able to obtain some form of identification to leave in their car as many meetings do exceed 2 hours?
- A.** Not needed at moment as current practice is that car park is not policed on Monday Council meetings after 3.00 p.m.
- Q.** Why are Glenorchy Gazettes not delivered to all residences in the municipality? A question was asked at a recent Council meeting about non-delivery of Gazettes, as the Gazettes cost individual ratepayers approximately \$3 per year it should be of concern that no appropriate monitoring of delivery is undertaken.
- A.** The Glenorchy Gazette is independently owned. Council pays a monthly amount to allow two pages of Council information to be added to the document.

The Gazette takes the matter on non-delivery seriously and asks any issues in relation to this to be forward directly to Kylie Eastley at the Gazette to be dealt with.

Kylie Eastley
Advertising Sales Executive
Ph.: 6210 5200
Email: kylie.eastley@glenorchygazette.com.au

(This question will be forwarded as a matter of courtesy to the Gazette).

- Q.** What additional or alternative, possibly cheaper or more accessible alternatives have been considered?
- A.** Over the 2016/17 financial year Council is planning to develop a strategy document that will assist with assessing this issue - Marketing and Communications Strategy.

Q. Is publishing the gazette worth continuing? Please justify if no universal delivery.

A. The Glenorchy Gazette is independently owned. Council pays a monthly amount to allow two pages of Council information to be added to the document. This concern will need to be addressed directly with the Editor (editorial@glenorchygazette.com.au).

(This question will be forwarded as a matter of courtesy to the Gazette).

Q. Why has the policy of no citizen contribution to the gazette without the approval of the editor been adopted?

A. The Glenorchy Gazette is independently owned. This concern will need to be addressed directly with the Editor.

(This question will be forwarded as a matter of courtesy to the Gazette).

Q. The editor is effectively being paid by the Council thus has not been prepared to accept any article critical of Council or Aldermen.

A. This is not the case - the Glenorchy Gazette is independently owned. This concern will need to be addressed directly with the Editor.

(This question will be forwarded as a matter of courtesy to the Gazette).

Q. Will the Council allow a substantial space for uncensored citizen comment and debate (within the limits of liable)?

A. The Glenorchy Gazette is independently owned. This concern will need to be addressed directly with the Editor.

(This question will be forwarded as a matter of courtesy to the Gazette).

6. PUBLIC QUESTION TIME (15 MINUTES)

Mr. Martin Watkins, Claremont, queried as to whether the possible loss of income from TasWater freezing its distribution of dividends to Council was considered by Council in its draft 2016/17 Budget. In particular, would it affect rate increases?

The General Manager stated that the proposed freeze was still to be considered by Council and noted that even if it was acceptable to the majority of Councils, it was still based on the fact that the Federal and State Governments would provide the necessary funding. The General Manager also stated that it was unlikely to affect rate increases in the immediate future.

7. PETITIONS/DEPUTATIONS

None.

COMMUNITY

8. ANNOUNCEMENTS BY THE MAYOR

File Reference: Mayoral Announcements

Reporting Brief:

To receive the announcement of events by the Mayor.

Resolution:

QUICK/DUNSBY

That Council:

RECEIVES the announcements about the activities of the Mayor's office for the period 4 May to 31 May 2016.

The motion was put.

FOR: Aldermen Pearce, Branch-Allen, Dunsby, Slade, Quick, Johnston, Nielsen, Lucas, King and Stevenson.

AGAINST:

The motion was CARRIED.

ECONOMIC

9. 2016/17 BUDGET AND LONG TERM FINANCIAL PLAN ASSUMPTIONS AND PARAMETERS

File Reference: 2016/17 Budget

Reporting Brief:

To present the Assumptions and Parameters underpinning the development of the 2016/17 Budget and Long Term Financial Plan.

Resolution:

PEARCE/BRANCH-ALLEN

That Council:

ACCEPTS the information provided in the 2016/17 Budget and Long Term Financial Plan Assumptions and Parameters paper as attached.

The motion was put.

FOR: Aldermen Pearce, Branch-Allen, Dunsby, Slade, Quick, Nielsen, Lucas, King and Stevenson.

AGAINST: Alderman Johnston.

The motion was CARRIED.

10. GLENORCHY TO HOBART PUBLIC TRANSIT CORRIDOR PROJECT - PROGRESS REPORT

File Reference: HCC/GCC Joint Public Transit Corridor Working Group

Reporting Brief:

This report is to update Council on the Joint Glenorchy City Council/Hobart City Council consultancy to explore development opportunities associated with the potential public transit corridor.

Resolution:

BRANCH-ALLEN/QUICK

That Council:

RECEIVES and NOTES the Glenorchy to Hobart Public Transit Corridor Project – Progress Report.

The motion was put.

FOR: Aldermen Pearce, Branch-Allen, Dunsby, Slade, Quick, Johnston, Nielsen, Lucas, King and Stevenson.

AGAINST:

The motion was CARRIED.

GOVERNANCE

11. COUNCIL POLICY

File Reference: Council Policies

Reporting Brief:

This report is to seek Council's adoption of revised Council policies ('Stormwater Runoff Management', 'Tree Management' and 'Graffiti Management').

Resolution:

PEARCE/DUNSBY

That Council:

1. ADOPTS the 'Stormwater Runoff Management' policy, as attached, which defines the approach and measures adopted by Council to ensure that storm water runoff generated by new developments does not exacerbate flooding to the extent that properties downstream are adversely affected.
2. ADOPTS the 'Tree Management' policy, as attached, which provides direction in relation to how the Council will manage existing and new tree assets.
3. NOTES the 'Tree Management' guidelines, as attached, which complements the 'Tree Management' policy.
4. ADOPTS the 'Graffiti Management' policy, as attached, which provides direction in relation to how Council manages graffiti in the municipality.

AMENDMENT

STEVENSON/DUNSBY

Graffiti Management Policy has an amendment added that requires an annual report to Council each year.

The amendment was put.

FOR: Aldermen Pearce, Branch-Allen, Dunsby, Slade, Quick, Johnston, Nielsen, Lucas, King and Stevenson.

AGAINST:

The amendment was CARRIED.

The original motion with amendment was put.

FOR: Aldermen Pearce, Branch-Allen, Dunsby, Slade, Quick, Johnston, Nielsen, Lucas, King and Stevenson.

AGAINST:

The motion was CARRIED.

12. CORPORATE PERFORMANCE INDICATORS - APRIL 2016

File Reference: Traffic Lights

Reporting Brief:

To present the Corporate Performance Indicators as at the end of April 2016 for Aldermen's information.

Resolution:

BRANCH-ALLEN/PEARCE

That Council:

ACCEPTS the information provided by the Corporate Performance Indicators for April 2016.

The motion was put.

FOR: Aldermen Pearce, Branch-Allen, Dunsby, Slade, Quick, Johnston, Nielsen, Lucas, King and Stevenson.

AGAINST:

The motion was CARRIED.

13. END OF YEAR SUMMARY AND STATUS REPORT OF COUNCIL'S CAPITAL WORKS PROGRAM 2015/16

File Reference: Capital Works Program 2015/16

Reporting Brief:

To provide Council with a status report and update on the progress of the 2015/16 Capital Works Program. As we are approaching the end of financial year this report details the number of projects undertaken in the 2015/16 years and provides a summary of highlights for the year.

Resolution:

STEVENSON/KING

That Council:

RECEIVES and NOTES the end of year summary report on Capital Works progress.

The motion was put.

FOR: Aldermen Pearce, Branch-Allen, Dunsby, Slade, Quick, Johnston, Nielsen, Lucas, King and Stevenson.

AGAINST:

The motion was CARRIED.

14. AUDIT PANEL CHARTER - BIENNIAL REVIEW

File Reference: Audit Panel

Reporting Brief:

This report is to seek Council's adoption of revised Audit Panel Charter recently endorsed by the Audit Panel at its 20 April 2016 meeting.

Resolution:

BRANCH-ALLEN/LUCAS

That Council:

ADOPTS the revised Audit Panel charter as endorsed by the Audit Panel at its 20 April 2016 meeting.

The motion was put.

FOR: Aldermen Pearce, Branch-Allen, Dunsby, Slade, Quick, Johnston, Nielsen, Lucas, King and Stevenson.

AGAINST:

The motion was CARRIED.

15. ACTIONS LIST - UPDATE REPORT

File Reference: Actions Register - Council Meetings

Reporting Brief:

Actions List updated following 9 May 2016 Council meeting.

Resolution:

SLADE/KING

That Council:

NOTES the Actions Register, as updated following the 9 May 2016 Council meeting.

The motion was put.

FOR: Aldermen Pearce, Branch-Allen, Dunsby, Slade, Quick, Johnston, Nielsen, Lucas, King and Stevenson.

AGAINST:

The motion was CARRIED.

16. TASWATER REPORT

File Reference: TasWater

Reporting Brief:

This Report is to brief the Council about the external funding proposal made at the General Meeting of Owners' Representatives of TasWater on 12 May 2016. The Report recommends that Council considers TasWater's proposal to reduce projected distribution of dividends for a further seven (7) years in order that TasWater has the opportunity to persuade the State and Federal Governments to assist TasWater in the funding of \$1.8 billion investment. This investment is needed to upgrade the water and sewerage infrastructure.

Resolution:

STEVENSON/PEARCE

The Council:

1. AGREES to a TasWater moratorium on increases in distributions for a further seven years in the event that TasWater secures commitment from the State and Federal Governments to make grants totalling no less than \$400 million over a ten year period.
2. ACKNOWLEDGES that a freeze in distribution for a further seven years will forgo for Glenorchy City Council \$8.864 million in distribution over 10 years.
3. AUTHORISES the Mayor, or the Mayor's proxy as the Mayor determines, to vote at the Special Meeting of TasWater on 9 June 2016 on behalf of Glenorchy City Council.

The motion was put.

FOR: Alderman Stevenson.

AGAINST: Aldermen Pearce, Branch-Allen, Dunsby, Slade, Quick, Johnston, Nielsen, Lucas and King.

The motion was LOST.

Foreshadowed motion

BRANCH-ALLEN/SLADE

1. DOES NOT AGREE to a TasWater moratorium on increases in distributions for a further seven years in the event that TasWater secures commitment from the State and Federal Governments to make grants totalling no less than \$400 million over a ten year period.
2. ACKNOWLEDGES that a freeze in distribution for a further seven years will forgo for Glenorchy City Council \$8.864 million in distribution over 10 years.
3. AUTHORISES the Mayor, or the Mayor's proxy as the Mayor determines, to vote at the Special Meeting of TasWater on 9 June 2016 on behalf of Glenorchy City Council.

The motion was put.

FOR: Aldermen Pearce, Branch-Allen, Dunsby, Slade, Quick, Johnston, Nielsen, Lucas and King.

AGAINST: Alderman Stevenson.

The motion was CARRIED.

17. NOTICES OF MOTIONS – QUESTIONS ON NOTICE / WITHOUT NOTICE

A notice of motion under Regulation 16.

DUNSBY/STEVENSON

As the insurance company has recently indicated that the Directors and Officers liability insurance does not cover those legal costs incurred by aldermen in the matter of Branch-Allen v Mason and Easter, Council confirms that it has not and will not use ratepayers money to cover those same costs.

The motion was put.

FOR: Aldermen Dunsby, Johnston and Stevenson.

AGAINST: Aldermen Pearce, Branch-Allen, Slade, Quick, Nielsen, Lucas and King.

The motion was LOST.

A question without notice under Regulation 29.

ALDERMAN LUCAS

What is the time cost of the harassment of senior staff or senior management to answer the questions put to them before every Council Meeting?

The question has been taken on notice to be answered.

A notice of motion under Regulation 16.

QUICK/KING

For the next month that Council appoint Aldermen Dunsby and King as proxies to the Public Transport Corridor working group and the ballot papers be destroyed.

The motion was put.

FOR: Aldermen Pearce, Branch-Allen, Dunsby, Slade, Quick, Johnston, Nielsen, Lucas, King and Stevenson.

AGAINST:

The motion was CARRIED.

17.1. QUESTION ON NOTICE 3 - ALDERMAN K. JOHNSTON

File Reference: Question on Notice

Question on Notice:

To consider a Question on Notice by Alderman Kristie Johnston submitted in accordance with the requirements of Regulation 30 of the *Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015*.

Question on Notice:

Can the GM please provide information on industry standards and benchmarks for LTI and near miss reporting? Furthermore, can the GM please provide the number of near misses reported for the previous six months?

Answer:

Part 1.

In relation to industry standards and benchmarks for LTIs and near miss reporting there are no set parameters for Local Government (Councils) there is however data that relates to individual occupations that we employ at Council e.g.: child care workers, labourers, clerical, professionals etc. Information is available on the Work Safe Australia website and is attached for the information.

Source Work Safe Australia:

<http://www.safeworkaustralia.gov.au/sites/swa/statistics/pages/statistics>

Definition of lost-time injury

A lost-time injury is defined as an occurrence that resulted in a fatality, permanent disability or time lost from work of one day/shift or more. This definition comes from the Australian standard: Workplace Injury and Disease Recording Standard (Australian Standard 1885.1– 1990), published by Standards Australia.

The data Safe Work Australia has will only relate to 'significant' time where a worker has to take more than 5 days off from work as this is their calculated measure due to under-reporting of short-term work related incidents creating inaccurate data. Leading to the LTIR published by Safe Work are frequency rates involving one week or more.

Whereas the attached monthly statistics compiled for GCC are based on the “industry standard” of one full shift being lost.

Part 2

Definition of a Near Miss

Near misses - any unplanned incidents that occurred at the workplace which, although not resulting in any injury or disease, had the potential to do so.

Source Work Safe Australia:

http://www.safeworkaustralia.gov.au/sites/SWA/about/Publications/Documents/264/WorkplaceInjury_DiseaseRecordingStandard_Workplace_1990_PDF.pdf

Near Misses for the last six months are as follows and Health and Safety Scoreboard for 6 months are attached for the information. The attached documents were presented to Council every month as part of the Corporate Performance Indicators Report:

Month	GCC Near Misses Reported
April 2016	2
March 2016	2
February 2016	4
January 2016	1
December 2015	1
November 2015	1

17.2. QUESTION ON NOTICE 4 - ALDERMAN K. JOHNSTON

File Reference: Question on Notice

Question on Notice:

To consider a Question on Notice by Alderman Kristie Johnston submitted in accordance with the requirements of Regulation 30 of the *Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015*.

Question on Notice:

Can the GM please provide details on what positions remain vacant and if there have been any impacts at current service levels?

Answer:

The list below includes identified vacancies and does not include temporary positions.

Position Title	Directorate
Community & Planning Project Officers	CEDB
Children's Services Worker	CEDB
Children's Services Worker	CEDB
Director Community Economic Development & Business	CEDB
Revenue Coordinator	CEDB
Treasury Accountant	CEDB
Systems & Data Analysis	CEDB
Compliance Coordinator	CG
Financial Reporting Officer	CEDB
Project Accountant	CEDB
Education Officer	CG
Senior Internal Compliance Advisor	CG
Public Relations Officer	CG
Stormwater Facilities Technical Officer	CSI
Technical Officer Property Services	CG
Property Project Co-Ordinator	CG
Plumbing Surveyor	CSI
Planning Officer	CSI
Bushfire Management Co-Ordinator	CSI
Administrative Support Officer	CSI
Civil Construction Team Leader	CSI
Store Coordinator	CSI

Current service level impacts have been kept to a minimum through a variety of temporary or casual employment arrangements, backfilling or utilisation of contractor services.

17.3. QUESTION ON NOTICE 5 - ALDERMAN K. JOHNSTON

File Reference: Question on Notice

Question on Notice:

To consider a Question on Notice by Alderman Kristie Johnston submitted in accordance with the requirements of Regulation 30 of the *Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015*.

Question on Notice:

Could the GM please provide information about the difficulties in obtaining CCTV statistics and details of any discussions about the effectiveness and ongoing utilisation of the CCTV system?

Answer:

The Glenorchy CBD – Reducing Crime by Design project provided 16 fixed CCTV cameras and 4 mobile CCTV units to be used in graffiti ‘hot spot’ areas in the Glenorchy CBD.

The objectives of the project were:

1. Increase the detection, reporting and management of graffiti and decrease the number of graffiti incidents and related property crime in the Glenorchy LGA.
2. Educate the community on the effects of graffiti in the Glenorchy CBD.
3. Implement the ‘Glenorchy Community Safer Community Framework & Action Plan’ and the ‘Glenorchy Graffiti Management Plan’.

Attached are copies of the recent exchange of correspondence between Council and Tasmania Police in relation to the CCTV system. The Tasmania Police response is summarised as follows:

- It would be operationally problematic for Tasmania Police to provide information on the operation of the CCTV system.
- A report could be developed but it would need to be expurgated for legal reasons – to the extent that it would be anecdotal only.
- There is no baseline data to use for comparison purposes.

- There has been a decrease in the local crime rate, albeit a slight increase in public order incidents.
- CCTV provides a level of reassurance to the community and has a deterrent and displacement effect on offending.
- CCTV footage is not actively monitored and is used on an “as need” basis where an investigating officer believes it may assist an investigation.

17.4. QUESTION ON NOTICE 3 - ALDERMAN M. STEVENSON

File Reference: Question on Notice

Question on Notice:

To consider a Question on Notice by Alderman Matt Stevenson submitted in accordance with the requirements of Regulation 30 of the *Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015*.

Question on Notice:

In relation to the KGV precinct;

- a. What date did Council receive the Federal Government funds, totally \$8.7m, for KGV?
- b. When will the KGV building be complete?
- c. Have all the leases for the KGV building been executed, and if not why not?

Answer:

- a. The KGV Funding Agreement is dated 19 June 2012 and Council received the funding after signing the agreement but before 30 June 2012.
- b. Expected completion date is end of June 2016.
- c. GDFC - Agreement to Lease signed 30 October 2015. Lease Agreement sent to GDFC for their comments on 6 April 2016. Followed up on 19 May 2016 when GDFC has confirmed their comments are to come.

MRC - Comments on revised draft received 18 May 2016 and meeting to be held to finalise the final points in the Agreement.

Revive - Lease Agreement signed by Revive on 31 May 2016 and by Glenorchy City Council on 2 June 2016.

Resolution:

PEARCE/DUNSBY

That the meeting be closed to the public to allow discussion of matters that are described in Regulation 15 of the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015.

The motion was put.

FOR: Aldermen Pearce, Branch-Allen, Dunsby, Slade, Quick, Johnston, Nielsen, Lucas, King and Stevenson.

AGAINST:

The motion was CARRIED.

CLOSED TO MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC

18. APPLICATIONS FOR LEAVE OF ABSENCE

Alderman Nielsen left the meeting at 4.55 p.m.

GOVERNANCE

17. LGAT 2016 BY-ELECTION (SOUTHERN ELECTORAL DISTRICT)

This item is to be considered at a closed meeting of the Council by authority of the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015 Regulation 15(2)(g) (Information of a personal and confidential nature or information provided to the Council on the condition it is kept confidential)

20. CONFIRMED MINUTES OF AUDIT PANEL MEETING 24 FEBRUARY 2016

This item is to be considered at a closed meeting of the Council by authority of the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015 Regulation 15(2)(g) (Information of a personal and confidential nature or information provided to the Council on the condition it is kept confidential)

21. TASWATER QUARTERLY REGIONAL BRIEFING TO THE OWNERS' REPRESENTATIVES

This item is to be considered at a closed meeting of the Council by authority of the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015 Regulation 15(2)(g) (Information of a personal and confidential nature or information provided to the Council on the condition it is kept confidential)

22. ACTIONS LIST - UPDATE REPORT

This item is to be considered at a closed meeting of the Council by authority of the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015 Regulation 15(2)(g) (Information of a personal and confidential nature or information provided to the Council on the condition it is kept confidential)

23. NOTICES OF MOTIONS – QUESTIONS ON NOTICE / WITHOUT NOTICE (CLOSED)

5.09 p.m.

All staff except the General Manager and Director Corporate Governance and General Counsel left the meeting.

23.1. QUESTION ON NOTICE 1 - ALDERMAN K. JOHNSTON

This item is to be considered at a closed meeting of the Council by authority of the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015 Regulation 15(2)(g) (Information of a personal and confidential nature or information provided to the Council on the condition it is kept confidential)

Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015

Under Regulation 11, Council did not have a quorum at one point during the meeting held on Monday, 6 June 2016.

As a result of this under Regulations 11(6) and 13 the Chair adjourned the meeting at 5.33 p.m. until 4 July 2016 at 3.00 p.m.

RESUMPTION OF ADJOURNED MEETING OF 6 JUNE 2016 HELD AT THE COUNCIL CHAMBERS ON MONDAY, 4 JULY 2016 AT 3.00 P.M.

Regulation 13(3)

If a meeting is adjourned, the Chairperson is to ensure that any business of the meeting not then disposed of is given precedence at the resumption of the adjourned meeting.

1. APOLOGIES

Alderman S.E. Slade and Mr. P. Brooks (General Manager).

4. PECUNIARY INTEREST NOTIFICATION

The Chairperson asked if any Aldermen had or were likely to have a pecuniary interest in any items on the Agenda.

No declarations of pecuniary interest were declared.

PEARCE/LUCAS:

That the meeting be closed to the public to allow discussion of matters that are described in Regulation 15 of the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015.

The motion was put.

FOR: Aldermen Pearce, Branch-Allen, Dunsby, Quick, Johnston, Nielsen, Lucas, King and Stevenson.

AGAINST:

The motion was CARRIED.

3.08 p.m. All staff except the Acting General Manager and members of the public left the meeting.

CLOSED TO MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC

23.2 QUESTION ON NOTICE 2 - ALDERMAN K. JOHNSTON

This item is to be considered at a closed meeting of the Council by authority of the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015 Regulation 15(2)(g) (Information of a personal and confidential nature or information provided to the Council on the condition it is kept confidential)

23.3 QUESTION ON NOTICE 1 - ALDERMAN M. STEVENSON

This item is to be considered at a closed meeting of the Council by authority of the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015 Regulation 15(2)(g) (Information of a personal and confidential nature or information provided to the Council on the condition it is kept confidential)

23.4 QUESTION ON NOTICE 2 - ALDERMAN M. STEVENSON

This item is to be considered at a closed meeting of the Council by authority of the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015 Regulation 15(2)(g) (Information of a personal and confidential nature or information provided to the Council on the condition it is kept confidential)

23.5 QUESTION ON NOTICE 4 - ALDERMAN M. STEVENSON

This item is to be considered at a closed meeting of the Council by authority of the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015 Regulation 15(2)(g) (Information of a personal and confidential nature or information provided to the Council on the condition it is kept confidential)

Resolution:

KING/QUICK

That the meeting be re-opened to the public.

The motion was put.

FOR: Aldermen Pearce, Branch-Allen, Dunsby, Quick, Johnston, Nielsen, Lucas, King and Stevenson.

AGAINST:

The motion was CARRIED.

The meeting closed at 3.18 p.m.

Confirmed,

CHAIR