

**Minutes of the Meeting
of the Glenorchy City Council
held at the Council Chambers
on Monday, 25 July 2022 at 6.00pm**



Present (in Chambers): Alderman Bec Thomas (Mayor), Alderman Steven King (Deputy Mayor), Aldermen Melissa Carlton, Jan Dunsby, Sue Hickey, Simon Fraser, Gaye Richardson, Angela Ryan and Kelly Sims.

Present (by video link):

In attendance (in Chambers): Tony McMullen (General Manager), Jenny Richardson (Director Corporate Services), Emilio Reale (Director Infrastructure and Works), David Ronaldson (Executive Manager Stakeholder Engagement) and Michael Jacques (Senior Legal Counsel)

In attendance (by video link): Lyndal Byrne (Senior Strategic Planner), Paul Garnsey (Manager Development), Marina Campbell (Manager Community), Tracey Ehrlich (Manager People and Governance) and Marian Maclachlan (Executive Assistant to the General Manager),

Leave of Absence: Alderman Peter Bull

Workshops held since last Council Meeting

Date: Monday, 4 July 2022

Purpose: To discuss:

- 2022/23 KPIs for Annual Plan
- Waste Management Futures Review and feedback
- Review of State Planning Provisions
- Status update on review of Council services

Date: Monday, 11 July 2022

Purpose: To discuss:

- End of Year GM's Performance assessment report 2021-2022

Date: Monday, 18 July 2022

Purpose: To discuss:

- Update of anti-social behaviour
- Bus Priority Measures State Growth consultation process
- Draft Caretaker Policy

The meeting was live streamed on Council's website, Facebook page and YouTube channel. The peak number of viewers watching the live stream 31 viewers.

The Chair acknowledged and paid respect to the Tasmanian Aboriginal Community as the original and traditional owners and continuing custodians of the land and their elders, past, present and emerging.

The Chair opened the meeting at 6.02pm.

The Chair read a statement noting that the meeting would be recorded and live streamed to members of the public, and about work health and safety at the Council meeting.

1. APOLOGIES

Alderman Peter Bull

2. CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES

Resolution:

RYAN/KING

That the minutes of the Council meeting held on Monday, 27 June 2022 be confirmed.

The motion was put.

FOR: Aldermen Ryan, Dunsby, King, Thomas, Richardson, Fraser, Carlton, Sims and Hickey

AGAINST:

The motion was CARRIED.

3. ANNOUNCEMENTS BY THE CHAIR

None.

4. PECUNIARY INTEREST NOTIFICATION

The Chair asked if any Aldermen had, or were likely to have, a pecuniary interest or a conflict of interest in any items on the Agenda.

No interests were declared.

5. RESPONSE TO PREVIOUS PUBLIC QUESTIONS TAKEN ON NOTICE

Questions on notice – Michael Hangan (received 23 June 2022)

During the July 2021 by-election for Mayor and Councillor, candidates received a letter from the Planning Compliance Officer, outlining the requirements for elections signs under the Tasmanian Planning Scheme – Glenorchy (TPS) which had superseded the Glenorchy Interim Planning Scheme 2015 (GIPS).

The letter stated that election signs are subject to the provision of C1.0 Signs Code of the Tasmanian Planning Scheme – Glenorchy and unless exempt under certain circumstances, they may require approval. The definition of an election sign under C1.3 of the Codes is as follow:

Election Sign – Means an impermanent sign identifying candidates or promoting a political party for local, state or federal government elections.

For any election sign to be exempt it must:

- (a) Not encroach on any road or other public land;
- (b) Have a maximum area of 1.5m²;
- (c) Not be erected more than 8 weeks before the polling date; and
- (d) Be removed within 7 days after the polling date.

Furthermore, the following standards in C1.6.1 of the Code applies:

- (e) Must not be within 2m of the front boundary; and
- (f) One 1 sign per frontage

I understand that this matter is relating to the planning scheme, but the following questions affect all potential candidates at the upcoming Council Elections for

Glenorchy City Council. It was noted that compliance to this code was not followed at the recent Federal Government Election.

Q1. Will the Glenorchy City Council Planning Compliance Office be enforcing the above requirements of the Tasmanian Planning Scheme – Glenorchy at the upcoming Council Election for Glenorchy City Council?

A. Development Compliance Officers investigate all planning related complaints. Any enforcement action undertaken will have due regard to the severity of the offence and the resources available at the time.

Q2. Will the Glenorchy City Council be issuing infringement notices for failure to comply with the Tasmanian Planning Scheme – Glenorchy?

A. Compliance matters are investigated and assessed on the degree of non-compliance with the Tasmanian Planning Scheme – Glenorchy, Land Use Planning and Approvals Act 1993 and Councils Enforcement Policy. Council ensures that the level of enforcement adopted (including an infringement notice) is proportionate to the severity of the offence and the consequences of the alleged breach. Each complaint is treated on a case-by-case basis.

Q3. If No to question 2 – Why?

A. Refer to Q2

Q4. What penalties apply for a breach of the code (1) Penalty points and (2) dollar amount?

A. Penalties amounts are set by the *Penalty Units and Other Penalties Act 1987*. The current value of a penalty unit is \$181.00. The penalty for a breach of the planning scheme for an individual is 15 penalty units (\$2,715.00) whilst a company is 75 penalty units (\$13,575.00).

Q5. Can it please be explained why C1.6.1 (e) has been included as part of the TPS – Glenorchy, as this will not allow any candidate to display signs within residential or commercial areas across the municipality – is this a way of trying to eliminate the display of election signs?

A. It has been identified that a reference to C1.6.1(e) & (f) in previous correspondence to by-election candidates was an administrative error.

Election signs are subject to the provisions of C1.0 Signs Code of the Tasmanian Planning Scheme – Glenorchy unless specifically exempted. For any election sign to be exempted it must:

- not encroach on any road or other public land
- have a maximum area of 1.5m²
- not be erected more than 8 weeks before the polling date; and
- be removed within 7 days after the polling date.

If an election sign cannot meet an exemption, standard C1.6.1 of the Code applies.

6. PUBLIC QUESTION TIME (15 MINUTES)

Questions on notice – Bill Dodd, Claremont (received 28 June 2022)

Regularly attending Council meetings is the least ratepayers should be able to expect from their elected Aldermen, especially when many Council employees are experiencing job insecurity and increased workloads.

Q1. In the interest of accountability, will Council please publish a record of attendance of Aldermen for all Council meetings since the previous election??

A:

COUNCIL MEETINGS				
ALDERMEN	2018-2019 (16 held)	2019-2020 (15 held)	2020-2021 (15 held)	2021-2022 (13 held)
Ald. Kristie Johnston (Mayor) ^	16	14	10^	N/A
Ald Matt Stevenson^ (Deputy Mayor	16	6^	N/A	N/A
Ald Bec Thomas^ (Deputy Mayor - 20/01/20) (Mayor - 21/07/22)	14	15	14	12
Ald Steven King (Deputy Mayor – 30/08/22)	15	15	14	13
Ald Peter Bull	12	14	13	12
Ald Melissa Carlton	15	11	14	10
Ald Jan Dunsby	16	15	15	13
Ald Simon Fraser	15	13	15	11
Ald Gaye Richardson	14	14	14	12
Ald Angela Ryan^	N/A	7	11	10
Ald Kelly Sims	12	13	9	8
Ald Sue Hickey ^	N/A	N/A	N/A	12

- ^ Ald Stevenson resigned from Council effective 31 December 2019
- ^ Ald Thomas was elected Deputy Mayor following the resignation of Ald Stevenson
- ^ Ald Ryan was elected as an Alderman on 20 January 2020
- ^ Ald Carlton was granted a leave of absence for three (3) consecutive meetings in 2019/2020
- ^ Ald Johnston was granted a leave of absence for two (2) consecutive meetings. Ald Johnston resigned as Mayor and Alderman effective from 14 May 2021 and was not a member of Council for the final 3 meetings of the year as a result.
- ^ Ald Thomas was elected as Mayor on 21 July 2021
- ^ Ald Hickey was elected as an Alderman 21 July 2021
 - ^ Ald King was elected Deputy Mayor on 30 August 2021 following the election of Bec Thomas as Mayor.

GPA MEETINGS				
ALDERMEN	2018-2019 (11 held)	2019-2020 (13 held)	2020-2021 (12 held)	2021-2022 (12 held)
Ald. Kristie Johnston (Mayor) ^	10	13	9	N/A
Ald Matt Stevenson^ (Deputy Mayor	8	3	N/A	N/A
Ald Bec Thomas^ (Deputy Mayor - 20/01/20) (Mayor - 21/07/22)	10	11	12	12
Ald Steven King (Deputy Mayor – 30/08/22)	1 (Proxy)	1 (Proxy)	1 (Proxy)	8 ^
Ald Jan Dunsby	11	12	11	9
Ald Kelly Sims	9	8	7	6
Ald Angela Ryan^	N/A	4	11	10
Ald Simon Fraser	1 (proxy)	4 (proxy)	1 (proxy)	2 (proxy)
Ald Gaye Richardson	2 (proxy)	N/A	1 (proxy)	4 (proxy)
Ald Melissa Carlton	1 (proxy)	N/A	N/A	1 (proxy)

- ^ Ald Stevenson held a position on the committee until resigning from Council. Last meeting attended on 16 December 2019.
- ^ Ald Ryan commenced on the committee on 16 March 2020.
- ^ Ald Johnston was granted a leave of absence for two (2) consecutive meetings. Ald Johnston resigned as Mayor and Alderman effective from 14 May 2021 and was not a member of Council for the final 3 meetings of the year as a result.
- ^ Ald Thomas was elected as Mayor on 21 July 2021

- ^ Ald King was elected Deputy Mayor on 30 August 2021 following the election of Bec Thomas as Mayor and became a member of the Glenorchy Authority Planning committee. Therefore, was a proxy for the first 4 meetings (not required) and then a member for the next 8 meetings.

COUNCIL WORKSHOPS				
ALDERMEN	2018-2019 (27 held)	2019-2020 (41 held)	2020-2021 Full attendance record not available	2021-2022 (33 held)
Ald. Kristie Johnston (Mayor) ^	27	41		N/A
Ald Matt Stevenson^ (Deputy Mayor	26	16^		N/A
Ald Bec Thomas^ (Deputy Mayor - 20/01/20) (Mayor - 21/07/22)	25	38		31
Ald Steven King (Deputy Mayor – 30/08/22)	23	41		33
Ald Peter Bull	23	36		28
Ald Melissa Carlton	22	31		28
Ald Jan Dunsby	25	40		33
Ald Simon Fraser	27	36		32
Ald Gaye Richardson	24	39		32
Ald Kelly Sims	12	19		1
Ald Angela Ryan^	N/A			20
Ald Sue Hickey ^	N/A	N/A	N/A	28^

- ^ Ald Stevenson attended 16 out of 22 workshops before leaving Council.
- ^ Ald Carlton was granted a leave of absence in 2019/2020 for a period in which 5 workshops were held.
- ^ Ald Ryan was elected as an Alderman on 20 January 2020 (first meeting attended January 2020)
- ^ Ald Hickey was elected as an Alderman on 21 July 2021 (first workshop attended was 26 July 2021)

Q2. Will Council review the standard whereby an Alderman can attend less than 50% of meetings without vacating their seat?

- A. The provisions related to a requirement to attend meetings is set out in Schedule 5 of the *Local Government Act 1993 (the Act)*, where if a councillor is absent without leave for three (3) consecutive ordinary meetings, then they are removed (the office of councillor becomes vacant). Council does not have the

power to make standards over and above the requirements of the *Local Government Act 1993*.

Please note Council workshops and GPA meetings do not constitute an 'ordinary meeting' under the Act.

Questions on notice – A Gordon, Glenorchy (received 30 June 2022)

I am concerned to see aldermen making public statements in social and traditional media which may bring the council into disrepute. The Glenorchy community does not need a repeat of the previous dysfunctional council. I note that the Glenorchy City Council Aldermanic Code of Conduct states: PART 3 - Use of Office - Item 1. The actions of a councillor must not bring the Council or the office of councillor into disrepute. PART 8 - Representation - Item 6. A councillor must show respect when expressing personal views publicly.

Q1: How are aldermen made aware of their responsibilities under the Aldermanic Code of Conduct?

- A. When an Alderman is appointed to the role, they are presented with a comprehensive induction package including Council's Code of Conduct and Statement of Expectations which each Alderman signs.

The Statement of Expectations for Aldermen is available on Council's website;

<https://www.gcc.tas.gov.au/council/elected-members/code-of-conduct/>

Q2: When was this last done?

- A: The current Council was briefed and then each individual signed Council's Statement of Expectations on 16 April 2018. During the current Council term Ald Ryan and Ald Hickey have joined, they too have been briefed and signed the Statement of Expectations on 19 August 2021.

Shane Alderton, Austins Ferry

I have a number of questions I would like to ask in relation to Item 10 Capital report

Q1: The report advises the Montrose Bay Foreshore Skatepark will cost more than what was originally budgeted, as the geotechnical assessment has uncovered a number of issues. This is not the first time this has occurred and as there are a number of other projects in the pipeline, why aren't these projects having a geotechnical assessment done on them prior to them coming to Council for approval and grants are procured?

- A: [Mayor] Yes, we are now conducting geotechnical assessments on projects beforehand and we have done geotechnical assessments on North Chigwell oval

and Giblins Reserve before we have commenced work on these two projects. We are aware we need to do geotechnical assessment in the early stages of our projects and have factored that into our projects.

Q: Will Council now be conducting geotechnical assessments on every project before going ahead with it?

A: [Mayor] We will undertake to do geotechnical testing when the need has been identified to do so, based on our analysis of the previous use of site and understanding what the soil is like in that area

[General Manager] We undertake a range of projects within Council, some of those won't require geotechnical assessment, as an example we are undertaking a major core technology review which won't require a geotechnical assessment, we also undertake a range of more routine capital road projects that also won't require an assessment. It is the major construction projects that we need to focus on and we have built these assessments into projects as a standard approach. Project managers will look at appropriate assessments on a case-by-case basis.

Q: Has a geotechnical assessment been conducted on Tolosa Dam?

A: [General Manager] Tolosa Dam is a TasWater project, which Council is co-contributing funding towards, the Director has been involved in the preliminary works.

[Director Infrastructure and Works] A geotechnical report has been conducted on Tolosa Park Dam. The dam wall has had a number of investigations to understand the profile of the dam, it is a clay filled core dam, that is impacted earth. We are aware of what is inside the dam, some of the material will be used to line the water features, when they deconstruct the dam wall. The surrounding areas have also geotechnical assessed and all the soil that is going to be brought in from other sites will either be observed to be fresh soil taken out of the ground or will be tested before it is allowed into the site.

Q. These questions relate to the Giblins Street reserve project. It is noted in the Capital works report that work is expected to commence later on this year or in early 2023 noting contractor availability is challenging – can you please elaborate on contract availability and what financial ramifications that has for Council? The more projects are delayed, the more costs blow out.

A. [Mayor] We enter into a tender process with contractors prior to projects commencing, so we can understand what a project is going to cost Council and to ensure we have budget to cover the cost. We understand there can be variations, however, by entering into a tender contract it is one way in which we aim to have some control over it, as the contract provides us with a set price.

The construction industry is facing issues in getting contractors to undertake work, as well as the shortage in supply of materials and Council is not immune to those challenges, we are experiencing the same sort of delays and difficulties

as everyone else is.

Q. Does Council's contract tendering process have framework within it to only award contracts to successful tenderers that can guarantee contractors or guarantee workers to commence the contracts on time?

A. [Mayor] I don't know the specific details around the contracts, but it is typical within construction contracts that there would be clauses which would deal with time frames and penalty clauses associated with delays.

Q. Who bears the cost, if costs blow out due to the non-availability of contractors?

A. [Mayor] Depending on the specifics of the contract in relation to what we have agreed to, what the timelines are and the penalty clauses in place. But ultimately if there are variations in a contract to which Council has agreed to then Council has to pay.

Bill Dodd, Claremont

Q. I note that the staff are quite busy at the moment with the review of Council services. Will the General Manager, Tony McMullen commit to providing advice on the section of the Local Government Act that pertains to differential rates in October ahead of the November budget discussions?

With Claremont Hotel's decision to pursue a 4am extended opening hours for its poker machine venue. It underlines to me the urgency of Council using what levers Council has available to limit the harm of poker machines and limit the probability of poker machines through differential rating. I am keen to receive this advice in October ahead of November discussions.

A. [Mayor] I discussed this matter with the General Manager today in relation to the review of Council's capacity to charge differential rates to poker machine venues and we will certainly undertake further research into that capability. Mr Dodd has provided information to us in relation to some interstate Councils who have undertaken this kind of approach. So Council will be looking into that as well.

As discussed at the last meeting we do have to incorporate any decision around differential rating into the budget next year. Council will make sure we have the full information on the potential to have differential rates for the 2023/24 budget process.

I have a question around the role and responsibilities of councilors, based on data revealed around attendance at Council meetings and workshops. There has been some disagreement online about what the roles and expectations are of councilors. My understanding is that anyone can be a critic of Council from the outside, anyone can perform that role, but crucially the role of a councilor is to be inside Council by

attending Council meetings, participating in workshops and in the Glenorchy Planning Authority.

Q. My question to Alderman Sims, is whether you share that characterisation of your role as an alderman, and whether attendance and participation in these forums matter in your view?

A. [Alderman Sims] I absolutely do believe they matter. I did come in the first year or two with that sentiment in mind. I come from a background in training, facilitating, moderating and consulting. However, I found them non-productive and very group think. I was very disappointed in the outcome of those workshops.

I found by doing external research and attending mandatory meetings, actually brought better outcomes. I'd also like to put on public record that my father passed away this year. I looked after the family through that period, which took me away for a few months. Also, I have been unwell and diagnosed with an illness which I have now fully recovered from.

[Mayor at 6.29pm] I have just received notification that our live stream did drop out for a short period of time at approximately 6.25pm, but it is now back on Youtube and Facebook, therefore, we will continue with the meeting.

Michael Hangan, West Moonah

Q. Just a follow up from my previous question, will Council be writing to those people that received the notice to say that clause E and F were included, to advise those people that there was a technical error on behalf of Council?

A. [Mayor] You are referring to correspondence that was sent out to candidates of the by-election last year, that suggested that some of the signage was illegal and in conflict with the planning scheme requirements and that there was notification to particular clauses which were incorrect.

Yes, we will write to those people who were affected. Thank you.

7. PETITIONS/DEPUTATIONS

None.

COMMUNITY

Community Goal: “Making Lives Better”

8. ACTIVITIES OF THE MAYOR

File Reference: Mayoral Announcements

Reporting Brief:

To receive an update on the recent activities undertaken by the Mayor.

Resolution:

KING/RICHARDSON

That Council:

RECEIVE the report about the activities of Mayor Thomas during the period from Monday, 20 June to Sunday, 17 July 2022.

The motion was put.

FOR: Aldermen Ryan, Dunsby, King, Thomas, Richardson, Fraser, Carlton, Sims and Hickey

AGAINST:

The motion was CARRIED.

ENVIRONMENT

Community Goal: “Valuing our Environment”

9. RESPONSE TO THE REVIEW OF THE STATE PLANNING PROVISIONS SCOPING PAPER

File Reference: Planning Scheme Review

Reporting Brief:

To seek Council’s endorsement of a submission to the State Planning Office on the State Planning Provisions Review Scoping paper.

Resolution:

RYAN/RICHARDSON

That Council:

1. ENDORSE the feedback provided in Attachments 2 and 3 to this report on the State Planning provisions Review – Scoping Paper - May 2022; and
2. APPROVE the submission of the feedback contained in Attachments 2 and 3 to this report to the State Planning Office.

Amendment:

CARLTON/HICKEY

That the motion be amended to add in a third recommendation in relation to the removal of the prohibition of electoral signage from the submission.

The amendment was put.

FOR: Aldermen Ryan, Dunsby, King, Thomas, Richardson, Fraser, Carlton, Sims and Hickey

AGAINST:

The amendment was CARRIED.

That Council:

1. ENDORSE the feedback provided in Attachments 2 and 3 to this report on the State Planning provisions Review – Scoping Paper - May 2022; and
2. APPROVE the submission of the feedback contained in Attachments 2 and 3 to this report to the State Planning Office.
3. EXCEPT that the proposed prohibition of electoral signage be removed from the submission.

GOVERNANCE

Community Goal: “Leading our Community”

10. CAPITAL WORKS STATUS REPORT

File Reference: Capital Works

Reporting Brief

To provide a capital works status update report to Council for the two-month period ending 30 June 2022.

Resolution:

HICKEY/KING

That Council:

1. RECEIVE and NOTE the Capital Works Status Report for the period ending 30 June 2022.
2. APPROVE the withdrawal of the Economic Recovery Projects, Greenshoots and Zink Link from Council’s 2022/23 capital works programs, but retain Zink Link project for future consideration.
3. DIRECT the General Manager to bring a report to the 29 August Council meeting investigating the potential to substitute suitable capital projects to replace the Greenshoots and Zink Link projects under the State Government’s Local Government Loans program.

FOR: Aldermen Ryan, Dunsby, King, Thomas, Richardson, Fraser, Carlton, Sims and Hickey

AGAINST:

The motion was CARRIED.

11. MAKING OF PUBLIC SPACES AND INFRASTRUCTURE BY-LAW 2022

File Reference: Laws and Enforcement

Reporting Brief:

That Council:

- considers the submission made on the proposed By-law
- resolves to make a By-law under Section 161 of the *Local Government Act 1993*, on the attached terms, for the purpose of facilitating the use of public places and other infrastructure in the City of Glenorchy for commercial and cultural activities. The By-law will incorporate the intent of the amendments provided in the submission received; and
- give its authority to affix its common seal to the relevant documents.

Resolution:

DUNSBY/FRASER

That Council:

1. NOTE the initial consultation submissions and the proposed alterations made to the recommended By-law as set out in Attachments 1 and 3.
2. RESOLVE, under section 161 of the *Local Government Act 1993* that it makes the *Public Spaces and Infrastructure By-law* in the amended terms in Attachment 2, for the purpose of facilitating the use of public places and other infrastructure in the City of Glenorchy including for commercial and cultural activities.
3. AUTHORISE the affixing of the common seal to the relevant documents.

The motion was put.

FOR: Aldermen Ryan, Dunsby, King, Thomas, Richardson, Fraser,
Carlton, Sims and Hickey

AGAINST:

The motion was CARRIED.

12. UPDATED COUNCIL POLICIES

File Reference: Council Policies

Reporting Brief:

To present two policies to Council for adoption.

Resolution:

RYAN/RICHARDSON

That Council:

1. ADOPT the updated Dealing with Unreasonable Customer Conduct Policy in the form set out in Attachment 2,
2. ADOPT the Election Caretaker Period Policy in the form set out in Attachment 3,
3. AUTHORISE the General Manager to make any decisions necessary to be taken during the Election Caretaker Period regarding the future service model for Council's Childcare operations, including financial delegation, and
4. RESOLVE to change the Council meeting scheduled for 31 October 2022 to 24 October 2022.

The motion was put.

FOR: Aldermen Ryan, Dunsby, King, Thomas, Richardson, Fraser, Carlton, Sims and Hickey

AGAINST:

The motion was CARRIED.

13. AUDIT PANEL CHAIR'S ANNUAL REPORT 2021 TO 2022

File Reference: Audit Panel

Reporting Brief:

Council's Audit Panel Charter (clause 14.4) states that the Audit Panel, through the Chairperson, must report annually to Council on its overall operation and activities during the financial year. The purpose of this report is to table the Audit Panel Chair's Report for the 2021/2022 financial year.

Resolution:

RYAN/CARLTON

That Council:

1. RECEIVE and NOTE the Glenorchy City Council Audit Panel Chair's Report for the 2021/22 financial year, and
2. DIRECT the General Manager to provide a copy of the Audit Panel Chair's annual report to the Minister for Local Government.

The motion was put.

FOR: Aldermen Ryan, Dunsby, King, Thomas, Richardson, Fraser, Carlton, Sims and Hickey

AGAINST:

The motion was CARRIED.

14. PROCUREMENT AND CONTRACTS - MONTHLY REPORT

File Reference: Procurement

Reporting Brief:

To inform Council of exemptions that have been applied to procurements under Council's Code for Tenders and Contracts for the period 16 June to 13 July 2022 and provide an update on external legal expenditure in accordance with the Ministerial Directions.

Resolution:

DUNSBY/FRASER

That Council:

RECEIVE and NOTE the Procurement and Contracts Monthly Report for the period from 16 June to 13 July 2022.

The motion was put.

FOR: Aldermen Ryan, Dunsby, King, Thomas, Richardson, Fraser, Carlton, Sims and Hickey

AGAINST:

The motion was CARRIED.

15. NOTICES OF MOTIONS – QUESTIONS ON NOTICE / WITHOUT NOTICE

Question on notice – Simon Fraser – WW1 field gun (received 21 June 2022)

Q: The SSAA Tasmania Militaria Collectors Inc has secured a \$30,000 government grant to restore a WW1 Turkish field gun given to Glenorchy City as a war trophy. This gun was last housed at Cosgrove High Peace Garden and before that was outside the council chambers. Given this gun was received as a gift by Glenorchy City can the Council provide any information from past records on where in Palestine it was captured by Tas ALH? What colour was the original gun?

The club's preference is that the refurbished gun is housed/ displayed somewhere in Glenorchy. Can the council suggest an appropriate place for it?"

A. In 2000, Council's former Heritage Officer fielded enquiries relating to a German-made Krupp Gun that was at the Cosgrove High School and reputedly, formerly situated at the former Council Chambers. At that time there was no evidence uncovered that such a war trophy was gifted to Council. The Australian War Memorial (AWM) however, may hold records of WW1 war trophies and where they were allocated around the country.

It is suggested that the SSAA Tasmania Militaria Collectors approach the AWM to confirm the history of the field gun, the colour of the piece and assist with suggestions of appropriate location for the piece once the history of the gun is confirmed.

Question without notice – Alderman Fraser – WW1 Field Gun

Q. It appears Council doesn't have much information on hand in relation to the WW1 field gun and I would like to request if we could put out an appeal to the public for any information in relation to the WW1 Field gun?

A. [Mayor] Executive Manager Stakeholder Engagement could we please develop external communication around this issue, seeking further information from the public.

15.1. NOTION OF MOTION - ALD. KELLY SIMS - HOMELESSNESS CRISIS

File Reference: Housing Working Group

Reporting Brief:

To consider a notice of motion by Alderman Kelly Sims submitted in accordance with the requirements of Regulation 16(5) of the *Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015*.

Resolution:

FRASER/KING

That Council:

1. RECEIVE and NOTE the report prepared by Alderman Sims and the complexity of the housing and homelessness issue in the Greater Hobart community.
2. NOTE Council's support role in assisting government and non-government housing and social service providers to address homelessness through planning processes, land release, community development co-ordination and advocacy.
3. ENDORSE the work currently being undertaken by the Council's Housing Working Group.
4. NOTE the next Statement of Commitment on Housing Action Plan report will be provided to Council's September meeting.
5. RESOLVE to continue to build better public awareness around the housing crisis and homelessness.
6. RESOLVE to write to the relevant Federal politicians and the Prime Minister in advocacy of the issue once the new census data is available around this issue.
7. RESOLVE to continue to explore opportunities to participate in a pilot project funded by the State or Federal government that will help to progress more meaningful and long-term action to address the homelessness crisis in our city.

The motion was put.

FOR: Aldermen Ryan, Dunsby, King, Thomas, Richardson, Fraser, Carlton and Hickey

AGAINST: Alderman Sims

The motion was CARRIED.

Resolution:

KING/RYAN

That the meeting be closed to the public to allow discussion of matters that are described in Regulation 15 of the *Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015*.

The motion was put.

FOR: Aldermen Ryan, Dunsby, King, Thomas, Richardson, Fraser, Carlton, Sims and Hickey

AGAINST:

The motion was CARRIED.

The meeting was closed to members of the public and the live stream was terminated at 8.50 pm.

CLOSED TO MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC

Closed session commenced at 8.55pm.

16. CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES (CLOSED MEETING)

Resolution:

DUNSBY/RYAN

That the minutes of the Council meeting (closed meeting) held on Monday, 27 June 2022 be confirmed.

The motion was put.

FOR: Aldermen Ryan, Dunsby, King, Thomas, Richardson, Fraser, Carlton, Sims and Hickey

AGAINST:

The motion was CARRIED.

17. APPLICATIONS FOR LEAVE OF ABSENCE

ECONOMIC

Community Goal: “Open for Business”

15. REVIEW OF COUNCIL SERVICES STATUS UPDATE

This item is to be considered at a closed meeting of the Council by authority of the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015 Regulation 15(2)(a) (Personnel matters, including complaints against an employee of the Council and industrial relations matters).

GOVERNANCE

Community Goal: “Leading our Community”

19. GLENORCHY JOBS HUB GRANT 2022 TO 2025

This item is to be considered at a closed meeting of the Council by authority of the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015 Regulation 15(2)(c) (Commercial information of a confidential nature that, if disclosed, is likely to: prejudice the commercial position of the person who supplied it; confer a commercial advantage on a competitor of the Council; or reveal a trade secret) and (2)(d) (Contracts and tenders, for the supply and purchase of goods and services and their terms, conditions, approval and renewal).

21. NOTICES OF MOTIONS – QUESTIONS ON NOTICE / WITHOUT NOTICE (CLOSED)

Resolution:

KING/RYAN

That the meeting be moved back into open Council.

The motion was put.

FOR: Aldermen Ryan, Dunsby, King, Thomas, Richardson, Fraser, Carlton,
Sims and Hickey

AGAINST:

The motion was CARRIED.

The Chair closed the meeting at 10.17pm.

Confirmed,

CHAIR