

**Minutes of the Meeting
of the Glenorchy City Council
held at the Council Chambers
on Monday, 25 October 2021 at 6.00pm**



NOTE: Due to social distancing restrictions around COVID-19, numbers attending were limited to a maximum of 34 including aldermen, staff and the public. The meeting was live-streamed for members of the public on Council's Facebook page.

Present (in Chambers): Aldermen Bec Thomas (Mayor), Steven King (Deputy Mayor), Peter Bull, Jan Dunsby, Simon Fraser, Angela Ryan and Sue Hickey

Present (by video link):

In attendance (in Chambers): Tony McMullen (General Manager), Jenny Richardson (Director Corporate Services), David Ronaldson (Director Community and Customer Services), Sam Fox (Director Strategy and Development), Emilio Reale (Director Infrastructure and Works), and Georgi Wicks (Acting Executive Officer),

In attendance (by video link): Marina Campbell (Manager Community), Tina House (Chief Financial Officer), Tracey Ehrlich (Manager Corporate Governance) and Marian Maclachlan (Executive Assistant to the General Manager)

Leave of Absence:

Workshops held since last Council Meeting

Date: Monday, 4 October 2021

Purpose: To discuss:

- GM Performance Review framework

Date: Monday, 11 October 2021

Purpose: To discuss:

- Bushfire Mitigation
- Customer Service Strategy update
- Wellington Park Trust update
- Review workshop calendar / workshop process

Date: Monday, 18 October 2021

Purpose: To discuss:

- Postponed due to COVID lockdown

Three (3) members of the public attended the open part of the Council meeting.

The peak number of viewers watching the live stream of the meeting on Facebook was 24 viewers.

The Chair advised prior to the commencement of the meeting that the meeting would be recorded, as well as the meeting being live streamed to members of the public.

The meeting commenced at 6:05pm. Proceedings were live-streamed with audio and recorded from this time.

The meeting was opened with a prayer by Sherrin Jackman, Uniting Church Glenorchy.

The Chair acknowledged and paid respect to the Tasmanian Aboriginal Community as the original and traditional owners and continuing custodians of the land and their elders, past, present and emerging.

The Chair read a statement regarding Work Health and Safety at the Council meeting.

1. APOLOGIES

Aldermen Melissa Carlton, Gaye Richardson, and Kelly Sims.

2. CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES

Resolution:

RYAN/KING

That the minutes of the Council meeting held on Monday, 27 September 2021 be confirmed.

The motion was put.

FOR: Aldermen Bull, Ryan, Dunsby, King, Thomas, Fraser and Hickey

AGAINST:

The motion was CARRIED.

3. ANNOUNCEMENTS BY THE CHAIR

I am very pleased to announce that Glenorchy City Council has achieved national recognition, beating over one hundred entries from across the country to win two awards in the Economic Development Australia 2021 Awards for Excellence.

The Glenorchy Economic Recovery Program won the award in the Economic Recovery and Resilience category.

This program was established in response to the COVID-19 pandemic to address on-the-ground issues faced by businesses during the crisis, while addressing deeper foundational challenges to sustain and grow the economy of our City over time. The Glenorchy Economic Recovery Program includes immediate direct economic investments to facilitate recovery in highly impacted industry sectors, such as retail, arts and recreation and hospitality. Examples include Activity City and the Corridor of

Modern Art. The program also includes strategic investment in projects that aim to build economic resilience over the longer-term, such as the Greater Glenorchy Plan and the Marine and Innovation Masterplan. Working with key stakeholders, these projects will maximise the efficiency, sustainability and success of these important economic precincts in the area.

The Showcase Moonah Creative Hub won the Economic Development Diversity and Inclusion category.

Showcase Moonah enabled local business, innovators, and creatives to showcase Moonah with their own activities and events. Council leveraged the ideas and assets of locals to see the identity of the precinct come to life. The return on investment was extremely positive both financially and in terms of the outcomes sought. Participants were as diverse as the local community, including local businesses, multicultural communities, a youth social enterprise, artists, musicians, people living with disability, and not-for-profit groups. The facilitation of multiple projects in unison meant that inter-community collaboration was incentivised and enabled.

Congratulations and thank you to our Economic Development team and everyone involved in the award-winning initiatives. We are so very proud to have won these awards, demonstrating Glenorchy City Council, together with our local businesses and community organisations, is nationally leading the way in economic development, making Glenorchy a better place, every day.

NECA Excellence Awards for Lighting Design and Installation

Frontline Electrical, our CBD electrical and lighting contractor entered their work on the lighting for the CBD Project into the NECA (National Electrical and Communications Association) Excellence Awards for 2020/21.

I'm happy to announce that they won an award for 'Lighting Design and Installation'.

The key elements of this award are:

- The first dark sky compliant suburban lighting project in Tasmania
- A lighting system that will reduce maintenance and running costs
- A much more efficient system
- A far safer lighting environment.

4. PECUNIARY INTEREST NOTIFICATION

The Chair asked if any Aldermen had, or were likely to have, a pecuniary interest or a conflict of interest in any items on the Agenda.

The General Manager declared an interest in Item 22 (General Manager's Performance Management framework and KPI's 2021/22).

5. RESPONSE TO PREVIOUS PUBLIC QUESTIONS TAKEN ON NOTICE

Questions taken on notice – Shane Alderton, Austins Ferry
(30 August 2021 meeting)

Q1. Can Council provide the total cost of the CBD Development which has occurred outside Northgate?

A. The total budget committed was \$5.795M. Total monies spent across all sections of work from Terry/Peltro Street to Barry Street will be provided once all final invoices received. However, forecasted amounts and commitments to-date has the final, total cost of the CBD sitting at approximately \$5.44M - which would be approximately 6% under budget.

Q2. Can the community be provided with a detailed breakdown of costs of the CBD development. Not every nut and bolt, but a breakdown of material and labour costs, that is the type of detail I would like.

A. The following was provided in the business case for the CBD and has been the foundation for individual budgets going forward for each of the stages of work:

GLENORCHY CBD REVITALISATION PROJECT		
	AREA	COST
Terry Street to Barry St	Preliminaries	\$709,282.50
	Site Preparation	\$300,000.00
	Roads, Footpaths and Paved Areas	\$1,378,417.50
	Landscaping and Improvements	\$642,925.00
	Site Electrical Services	\$848,125.00
	External Services Generally	\$251,250.00
	Public Art/Interpretation/Wayfinding	\$175,000.00
	Special provisions	\$120,000.00
	Contingencies	\$670,000.00
	Fees	\$200,000.00
	<i>Sub total</i>	\$5,295,000.00
Additional Funds	State Govt funding	\$500,000.00
	Total	\$5,795,000.00

**Questions taken on notice – Janiece Bryan
(30 August 2021 meeting)**

In relation to the Council's Statement of Commitment on Gambling - Agenda Item - GCC meeting 30 August 2021:

Q1. Could you please explain why the Council is ignoring the endangerment and harming of our children and young people by facilitating partnerships with sports betting organisations (e.g. Sportsbet and Ladbrokes) at community-based sport and recreation venues - meanwhile ignoring the extensive evidence that this is more harmful to young people than gaming machines with the promotion of a 60-second sign up on their mobile phones?

A. Council does not facilitate partnerships with any sports betting associations. In dealing with community, Council's leasing and licensing arrangements are directly with community-based sport and recreation clubs.

Q2. Why have you completely failed to mention this in your attached submission – in that with this most invasive and harmful form of gambling? There is alarming evidence that children as young as 12 are being groomed in growing numbers to become addicted gamblers everyday by these organisations.

A. In 2018 the State Government announced its policy for the future of the Tasmanian gaming market, providing an overview of how the Tasmanian gaming industry will be restructured when the Deed of Agreement with the Federal Group ends in 2023.

In July and August this year, the Government conducted their stage two consultation process which focussed on the implementation of the *Future Gaming in Tasmania Policy* through the intended legislative amendments with matters specific to the Policy itself being out of scope. As such, there was no opportunity for Council to include in its submission anything unrelated to the Policy.

Q3. Why is the Council facilitating these partnerships to endanger and harm our children and young people when other states and oversea countries are struggling to prevent this widespread harm?

A: Please see answer to question one. Council has no jurisdiction over advertising for sports betting companies.

**Questions taken on notice – Robert Holderness-Roddam
(submitted 21 September 2021)**

Q1. What are the criteria for pedestrian refuges on Main Road, Austins Ferry?

A: Pedestrian refuges are installed within our road network where there is a demonstrated need and where the road environment is suitable. This considers sight lines, traffic, speed environment, driveways and parking. Most pedestrian refuges are funded under the State Government Vulnerable Road User Program. The likelihood of crashes occurring based on exposure rates (i.e. traffic volume data, crash data, survey data) is taken into consideration when determining the placement of pedestrian refuges, along with the impact on adjoining properties.

The design of a pedestrian refuges is required to be 9.5m long and 2m wide (desirable) to 1.5m wide (minimum), with kerb ramps on the footpath approximately 4m long. A minimum road width of 14.5m is required consisting of a 2m wide parking bay, 1.5m wide bicycle lane, 3m wide traffic lane and 1.5m wide pedestrian refuge. Turning movements in and out of driveways and intersections needs to be assessed along with service locations and sight lines at kerb ramps and refuge.

Council previously obtained 'Black Spot Funding' in 2009 to install a pedestrian refuge island on Main Road between Wakehurst Road and Merley Road. Due to negative feedback from the community, Council did not proceed with the installation. There is now a bike lane along Main Road which makes installing a pedestrian island more difficult as there would be a substantial amount of parking lost. There have been no significant crashes recorded in the last five 5 years.

Q2. Further to response from [a council officer], 20 August 2019, I was informed that:

(a) the walking track between the Poimena Reserve car park/BBQ area and the rivulet would be upgraded/repared in 2020; and

(b) the military dog reserve on Main Road, Berridale would be having a finger post sign installed in coming months.

A. (a) In planning the allocation of the tracks and trails budget in 2020-2021 the walking track at Poimena reserve was tabled for a potential project. However, following community consultation, the entire budget was required to upgrade the Lutana Woodlands paths which included the replacement of bitumen paths to concrete and a realignment of gravel pathways. Poimena reserve walking track upgrades will be investigated for future funding, however, were deemed a lower priority at this point in time.

A. (b) Council has recently implemented a new signage style guide. The design for this sign is being updated to reflect the new signage style guide.

Q. What are the updated schedules for these, given COVID?

A. The walking track is now reliant on being approved in future funding cycles depending on other competing priorities that Council will have to consider. The signage should be completed in the last quarter of this financial year.

6. PUBLIC QUESTION TIME (15 MINUTES)

James Bryan, Montrose

Q: In the 2019/20 annual report it is stated a \$5 million loan has been accessed from the State Government included in the list of projects that this loan will assist with, it states 'works which will support strategic investment in tourism, marine and defence, retail and hospitality precincts in the City.

Does this \$5M loan include the proposed initiatives in the Prince of Wales Bay area and Berriedale Peninsula, in particular the public reserve once referred to as Cameron park, if not what additional loans from the State Government have been negotiated and what is the total amount?

What works will be completed with these loans in the interest of transparency and accountability, what are the requirements in reporting to rate payers about these loans? what is the repayment schedule? What are the consequences if repayments cannot be made? How does the Glenorchy City Council plan to fund the repayment of the debt that these loans have created? Reduce services to rate payers or increased rates?

A: The questions were taken on notice

Eddy Steenberg, Rosetta

I'll preface my question by saying that I am a frequent and regular user of Metro Tasmania bus services, and look forward to a day when I and others aren't forced to own our own vehicle in order to live a full and active life in Glenorchy.

So I naturally want better public transport services in Glenorchy.

In the current Glenorchy Economic Development Strategy there is a medium-term action to "advocate for improved public transport". And in the progress report received by Council in March this year, the status of that action was "commenced" and delivery was to be via the Hobart City Deal.

My questions:

Q1a. What has Council actually done to advocate for improvement in public transport?

A. [Mayor] The question was taken on notice.

Q1b. The meeting with CEO and Chair of Metro Tasmania in September, was the purpose of that meeting to advocate or for some other purpose?

A. [Mayor] The purpose of that meeting was for me to meet the CEO as a relatively new Mayor, to establish that relationship and to hear a little bit about Metro's activities and priorities, more of a 'meet and greet', rather than any formal agenda.

Q1c. Are you planning any further meetings to advocate for Glenorchy?

A. [Mayor] I don't have any further meetings planned in my calendar at this point in time, but yes you are correct it is in our Economic Development Plan, certainly public transport is an initiative that is encapsulated within our Greater Glenorchy strategy, so yes we do need to undertake further advocacy and consultation with relevant parties in relation to this matter.

Q1d. Can you tell me what Glenorchy has in fact received from the Hobart City Deal?

A. [Mayor] The question was taken on notice.

Q2. The Cityscape project which was initially set to take half of the \$5 million dollar loan, I noticed it has been downscaled just a little – could you please give us a potted history of that project, what it was initially about and why it has changed?

A: [General Manager] There are two-parts to the Cityscape project, the first part involved exploring opportunities to enhance the public space areas within the building itself, work was done consulting stakeholders and a design was arrived at, a decision was made not to proceed with those works at this stage. That was money that was saved out of the \$5 million interest free loan which won't be progressing.

The second stage is work to be done on a masterplan for the broader Council precinct including Council Chambers, the Library and Health Centre, that work has been awarded to consultants and is underway.

Alderman Simon Fraser exited the room at 6.20pm

Alderman Simon Fraser returned at 6.23pm

7. PETITIONS/DEPUTATIONS

None.

COMMUNITY

Community Goal: "Making Lives Better"

8. ANNOUNCEMENTS BY THE MAYOR

File Reference: Mayoral Announcements

Reporting Brief:

To receive an update on the recent activities undertaken by the Mayor.

Resolution:

KING/DUNSBY

That Council:

RECEIVE the announcements about the activities of Mayor Thomas during the period from Tuesday, 21 September to Monday, 18 October 2021.

The motion was put.

FOR: Aldermen Bull, Ryan, Dunsby, King, Thomas, Fraser and Hickey

AGAINST:

The motion was CARRIED.

9. ANNUAL CUSTOMER SERVICE REPORT 2020-21

File Reference:

Reporting Brief:

To provide an annual Customer Service report to Council on the progress of the Customer Service Strategy 2020-2025, the level of service provided to the community, and the number and nature of complaints received against Council for the 2020-21 financial year, as required under section 339F(5) of the *Local Government Act 1993 (the Act)*.

Resolution:

KING/FRASER

That Council:

RECEIVE and NOTE the attached Annual Customer Service Report 2020/21.

The motion was put.

FOR: Aldermen Bull, Ryan, Dunsby, King, Thomas, Fraser and Hickey

AGAINST:

The motion was CARRIED.

ECONOMIC

Community Goal: “Open for Business”

10. MARINE AND INNOVATION MASTERPLAN

File Reference: Marine and Innovation Cluster

Reporting Brief:

To recommend that Council adopts the Marine and Innovation Masterplan (Attachment 1) to strengthen and protect the Prince of Wales Bay Marine and Innovation Precinct’s economic viability.

Resolution:

KING/FRASER

That Council:

ENDORSE the Marine and Innovation Masterplan to strengthen and protect the Prince of Wales Bay Precinct’s economic viability, in the form of Attachment 1.

The motion was put.

FOR: Aldermen Bull, Ryan, Dunsby, King, Thomas, Fraser and Hickey

AGAINST:

The motion was CARRIED.

11. DIGITISATION OF COUNCIL RECORDS

File Reference: Digitisation Contract 0830

Reporting Brief:

To seek Council's approval for an additional project (Digitisation of Council Records) in the 2021-22 Capital Budget.

Resolution:

BULL/DUNSBY

That Council:

APPROVE the increase to the 2021-22 Capital budget of \$350,000 to undertake the digitisation of Council records.

Alderman Fraser left the meeting at 6.39pm.

The motion was put.

FOR: Aldermen Bull, Ryan, Dunsby, King, Thomas, and Hickey

AGAINST:

The motion was CARRIED.

GOVERNANCE

Community Goal: “Leading our Community”

12. QUARTERLY REPORT - QUARTER 1 2021/22

File Reference: Corporate Reporting

Reporting Brief:

To provide Council with the Quarterly Report for the first quarter of the 2022 financial year (quarter ending 30 September 2021).

Resolution:

KING/BULL

That Council:

RECEIVE and NOTE Council’s Quarterly Report and Quarterly Annual Plan Progress Report for the quarter ending 30 September 2021.

Alderman Fraser returned to the meeting at 6.41pm.

The motion was put.

FOR: Aldermen Bull, Ryan, Dunsby, King, Thomas, Fraser and Hickey

AGAINST:

The motion was CARRIED.

13. DELEGATION FOR A NON-METERED LIGHTING ELECTRICITY SUPPLY CONTRACT

File Reference: Procurement

Reporting Brief:

To request a Council delegation for the General Manager to award and sign the contract for an electricity retailer to supply Council's unmetered street lighting.

Resolution:

DUNSBY/KING

That Council:

APPROVE a delegation to the General Manager to enter into and sign a contract for the supply of electricity for Council's unmetered street lighting arising from the 2021 LGAT tender process.

The motion was put.

FOR: Aldermen Bull, Ryan, Dunsby, King, Thomas, Fraser and Hickey

AGAINST:

The motion was CARRIED.

14. UPDATED COUNCIL POLICY

File Reference: Council Policies

Reporting Brief:

To present one updated policy to Council for adoption.

Resolution:

RYAN/FRASER

That Council:

ADOPT the updated Legal Services Policy in the form of Attachment 2.

The motion was put.

FOR: Aldermen Bull, Ryan, Dunsby, King, Thomas, Fraser and Hickey

AGAINST:

The motion was CARRIED.

15. PROCUREMENT AND CONTRACTS - MONTHLY REPORT

File Reference: Procurement

Reporting Brief:

To inform Council of exemptions that have been applied to procurements under Council's Code for Tenders and Contracts for the period 15 September to 13 October 2021 and provide an update on external legal expenditure in accordance with the Ministerial Directions.

Resolution:

FRASER/DUNSBY

That Council:

RECEIVE and NOTE the Procurement and Contracts Monthly Report for the period from 15 September 2021 to 13 October 2021.

The motion was put.

FOR: Aldermen Bull, Ryan, Dunsby, King, Thomas, Fraser and Hickey

AGAINST:

The motion was CARRIED.

16. NOTICES OF MOTIONS – QUESTIONS ON NOTICE / WITHOUT NOTICE

Questions without notice - Alderman Fraser – City mowing schedules

Q: Residents expect the city to look tidy and it can be easily done. Long and overgrown grass makes the city look untidy. How are the areas mowed in the city prioritized, are they mapped? Are the areas being serviced on a roster? What can be done to keep up with mowing of areas a little bit better than what we currently are?

A: [Director Infrastructure and Works]: At this time of the year the grass does grow quite rapidly and especially at present with the amount of rain we have been experiencing. We do have our lawn mowing on schedules, prioritising reserves, playgrounds and nature strips in the city streets, but we are always lagging behind when we hit a growth season.

We have just entered into a contract with a contractor to do vegetation control for reserves, parklands and creek embankments where we can use mechanical vegetation control, as well as spraying. Plus all of our team is out working, as well as some labour hire contractors that we have put on at the moment to try and keep up with it. It is something we experience every year, that we run behind for a little while and then we manage to catch up and the city is tidy again.

Q: How accurate is the mapping for the areas to be mowed? I am aware within my street, the nature strip only appears to be mowed once a person rings up and complains about it, rather than it being on a regular schedule.

A: [Director Infrastructure and Works]: Generally, they are all mapped out on schedules, but I will take it on notice and see what is going on in your area.

Questions without notice - Alderman Hickey – Glenorchy CBD litter

Q: A downfall in the major shopping route is the litter and debris welcoming our visitors. Could a report be offered to look at a cost-benefit analysis for a street sweeping machines to operate in the main street? If there is a cost-benefit, is there some way of approaching the government for funding as I think they are about \$50,000 but of course then there would also be running costs on top of this.

A: [General Manager] We are addressing the concerns around cigarette butts and the number of them littering the landscaped garden beds, as well as general public spaces. We have put together an action plan with a range of things around that and we know cigarette butts are a problematic issue. Our outdoor crews do work day in, day out on picking up rubbish around the Council area but unfortunately it is hard to keep up with the litter. We are putting in cigarette butt bins in designated areas and we will continue to work on addressing the

issue of littering and ways to reduce it. On a side note, we have noticed the police have been patrolling the area and the number of patrols have increased.

[Director Infrastructure and Works]: In answer to your query on the street sweeping machine, Council did have a smaller street sweeping machine, specifically designed for footpaths but it wasn't as efficient as another piece of machinery. An assessment was carried out when the existing street sweeper needed to be replaced, and it was determined a trailer mounted machine which goes along the street picking up litter is more efficient as it also picks up leaf litter and cleans leaf litter out of stormwater pits. I will check on the schedule on how often it goes out into the CBD and gardens. The street sweeper does do a run every second day through Main Road Moonah, Glenorchy and Claremont, but it does kerb and gutter, not actual paths.

Q: Perhaps we can look at the footpaths. That is my main concern.

A: [Director Infrastructure and Works]: The smaller sweeper machine on footpaths had trouble getting around pedestrians and street signs and was not ergonomically set up for operators.

Q: Was it an old one?

A: [Director Infrastructure and Works]: It was either 2011 or 2013, but when it was time to be replaced we didn't get a new one, as it was deemed not to be effective or as efficient and unable to meet requirements, therefore, instead it was replaced with a trailer mounted vacuum unit.

Q: Could I please be provided with further information on the existing trailer mounted vacuum unit and on a potential small sweeper for footpaths, with a cost-benefit analysis conducted on operating each of these machines?

A: [Director Infrastructure and Works]: It would be an operational cost to increase frequency and to add another sweep sweeper, but we can gather that information and present it to Council for consideration. At this stage, the trailer mounted vacuum unit is not signed.

Q: Do we have the legal right to fine people for littering?

A: (Director Community and Customer Service] Yes, we do have the ability to issue fines through Environmental Health Officers (EHOs).

A: [Director Strategy and Development] It is a matter of where do we put our resources. We have been talking a lot about smoking, smoking bans and butt bins. Our EHO's also do immunisation and environmental health checks. The issue is how much staff time would we allocate to it and what is going to create behavioural change? Is it by issuing a fine or are there other things we should be doing in this space.

Questions without notice - Alderman Fraser – Work from home arrangements

Q: Noted Council's aforementioned report for budget to digitize records, which will save space, such as the Terry Street building which I understand we lease from Salvation Army. In the same vein, has council done an analysis of what percentage of Council employees could work from home or are willing to, to reduce the physical footprint of space and reduce council's overhead costs?

A: [General Manager] We are certainly observed the phenomena and we are in a situation where we have recently extended the lease with Salvation Army. We have also been looking at the practicality of decanting that many people into Chambers building. We don't have the answer as yet, but we continue to monitor and look at this situation, as we are aligned to the idea.

Q: I imagine there are a large number of employees who could work from home quite productively and has it been examined at all?

A: [General Manager] We do have a number of staff working from home now, considerably more than we did prior to COVID. COVID has certainly enabled us to put the technology in place to enable employees to work from home but in terms of an overall Council assessment this has not been done, but we can conduct an assessment and report back to Council on this matter.

[Director Strategy and Development] Director Jenny Richardson has looked at the potential of decanting employees from Terry Street and moving them into Chambers. Part of this is to determine who wants to work from home and who can work from home and thinking about desk sharing (hot desk). While not part of the CityScape project, we do have many employees working from home, at least two-days a week. However, we do need to map it out and consider the implications and benefits.

Question without notice - Alderman King – Glenorchy Jobs Hub

Q: How is the Jobs Hub going?

A: [Director Strategy and Development] It is going very well. We have nearly 200 participants registered with Jobs Hub, we have five job champions. We have a number of job pledges – five signed and another six in the works. The feedback from job-seekers has been fantastic. People have been very impressed and we have some training programs coming up. It has certainly got some traction. People who are having trouble getting through the door for interview due to CV or resumes and helping them get their confidence up to apply for jobs. Plus, we have had a number of placements (a bit like work experience or trial), which has resulted in people being offered a job.

Looking at the KPI's today, tracking for December we have nearly met all of our KPIs and are on track to meet them. We don't have good data on actual job placements from the monthly report from STEPS employment, but we expect that this would be part of a ramp up period.

Question without notice - Alderman Bull – Smoking ban

Q: Why can't we ban smoking?

A: [Director Community and Customer Services] My understanding through the Act, if we declare an area is non-smoking, we would need to police the area and this would mean putting in place resources to enforce the ban. If we don't enforce the ban, then Council is liable and can be fined.

Looking at what our colleagues have done at Clarence and Hobart, Hobart has put in a non-smoking area around the hospital and they employed (at that time) an enforcement officer who issued infringement notices with approximately 300 infringement notices given out in the first 12-months. Only a handful of these were paid, however the process, whilst proactive, was not successful. Hobart has now stepped back to an educational process to try and change behaviour.

We have cigarette butt bins now in place and now we will aim to change behaviour to direct people to smoke in designated areas and use the butt bins.

Question without notice - Alderman King – Smoking in Tolosa Park

Q: When the State Government advised that Tolosa Park to be declared a non-smoking area, who was responsible for enforcing that?

A: [Director Community and Customer Service] My understanding it is the responsibility of the authority who declares the area to be non-smoking to be responsible for the enforcement.

Question without notice - Alderman Hickey – Smoking regulations

Q: Given our responsibility for a clean and healthy city, maybe we should lean on the State Government to provide enforcement in areas (for example, when people congregate outside restaurants to smoke). Can we please work on alternative solutions to the problems of smoking and alcohol consumption within the City, especially around the Council Chambers and gardens?

A: [Mayor] Council is working on a number of initiatives to declare areas of the City to be smoke-free and provide designated smoking areas. It a complex issue to regulate and to enforce. There are a whole range of complex reasons why people smoke. We have to remember smoking is an addiction and it is difficult to quit, but it is also no reason why you should litter your butts.

[Director Community and Customer Service] We have recently placed a number of signs banning the drinking of alcohol. With the placement of the signs, police now have the power to enforce this and have been over the past two to three weeks. So that change has occurred and is providing some positive outcomes.

Resolution:

KING/FRASER

That the meeting be closed to the public to allow discussion of matters that are described in Regulation 15 of the *Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015*.

The motion was put.

FOR: Aldermen Bull, Ryan, Dunsby, King, Thomas, Fraser and Hickey

AGAINST:

The motion was CARRIED.

The meeting was closed to the public (and the live-stream terminated) at 7.24pm

CLOSED TO MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC

The meeting resumed at 7.33pm

17. CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES (CLOSED MEETING)

Resolution:

BULL/DUNSBY

That the minutes of the Council meeting (closed meeting) held on Monday, 27 September 2021 be confirmed.

The motion was put.

FOR: Aldermen Bull, Ryan, Dunsby, King, Thomas, Fraser and Hickey

AGAINST:

The motion was CARRIED.

18. APPLICATIONS FOR LEAVE OF ABSENCE

None.

ECONOMIC

Community Goal: “Open for Business”

19. LEASE NEGOTIATIONS FOR 671 MAIN ROAD BERRIEDALE AND 1 ALCORSO DRIVE BERRIEDALE

This item is to be considered at a closed meeting of the Council by authority of the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015 Regulation 15(2)(b) (Information that, if disclosed, is likely to confer a commercial advantage or impose a commercial disadvantage on a person with whom the Council is conducting, or proposes to conduct, business) and (2)(f) (Proposals for the Council to acquire land or an interest in land or for the disposal of land).

GOVERNANCE

Community Goal: “Leading our Community”

20. AUDIT PANEL MINUTES

This item is to be considered at a closed meeting of the Council by authority of the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015 Regulation 15(2)(g) (Information of a personal and confidential nature or information provided to the Council on the condition it is kept confidential).

21. NOTICES OF MOTION – QUESTIONS ON NOTICE / WITHOUT NOTICE (CLOSED)

None.

The General Manager and all staff left the meeting at 8.14pm.

22. GENERAL MANAGER'S PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK AND KPI'S 2021/22

This item is to be considered at a closed meeting of the Council by authority of the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015 Regulation 15(2)(a) (Personnel matters, including complaints against an employee of the Council and industrial relations matters).

Resolution:

FRASER/DUNSBY

That the meeting be moved back into open Council.

The motion was put.

FOR: Aldermen Bull, Ryan, Dunsby, King, Thomas, Fraser and Hickey

AGAINST:

The motion was CARRIED.

The Chair closed the meeting at 8.21pm.

Confirmed,

CHAIR