

**Minutes of the Meeting  
of the Glenorchy City Council  
held at the Council Chambers  
on Monday, 26 October 2020 at 6.00 p.m.**



**NOTE:** Due to social distancing restrictions around COVID-19 members of the public were not permitted to attend the meeting. The meeting was live-streamed on Council's Facebook page.

**Present (in Chambers):** Alderman Kristie Johnston (Mayor)

**Present (by video link):** Aldermen Bec Thomas (Deputy Mayor), Peter Bull, Melissa Carlton, Jan Dunsby, Simon Fraser, Steven King, Angela Ryan and Kelly Sims.

**In attendance (in Chambers):** Tony McMullen (General Manager), Bryn Hannan (Executive Officer)

**In attendance (by video link):** Jenny Richardson (Director Corporate Services), David Ronaldson (Director Community and Customer Service), Sam Fox (Director Strategy and Development), Ted Ross (Director Infrastructure and Works), Tina House (Chief Financial Officer), Emma Holman (Manager ICT), Tracey Ehrlich (Manager Corporate Governance), Erin McGoldrick (Manager City Strategy and Economic Development), Alex Woodward (Manager Property, Environment and Waste), Jennifer Lawley (Senior Advisor Precinct Design), Adam Muyt (Acting Environment Coordinator), Marian Maclachlan (Executive Assistant to the General Manager)

**Leave of Absence:**

**Workshops held since last Council Meeting**

**Date:** Monday, 28 September 2020

**Purpose:** To discuss:

- Berriedale Peninsula Masterplan
- Sports and Recreation strategy

**Date:** Monday, 5 October 2020

**Purpose:** To discuss:

- Annual Risk Appetite review

**Date:** Monday, 12 October 2020

**Purpose:** To discuss:

- Glenorchy Jobs Hub
- KGV Cricket
- Online engagement platform
- Aldermen Expenses policy

**Date:** Monday, 19 October 2020

**Purpose:** To discuss:

- Greater Glenorchy Plan: Draft Precinct plans
- Bridgewater Bridge presentation by State Growth
- Arts and Culture strategy

The Chair opened the meeting at 6:04 pm.

The Chair acknowledged and paid respect to the Tasmanian Aboriginal Community as the original and traditional owners and continuing custodians of the land and their elders, past, present and emerging.

---

## **1. APOLOGIES**

---

Alderman Richardson.

---

## 2. CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES

---

### **Resolution:**

THOMAS/DUNSBY

That the minutes of the Council meeting held on Monday, 28 September 2020 be confirmed.

The motion was put.

**FOR:** Aldermen Bull, Ryan, Dunsby, King, Thomas, Johnston, Fraser, Carlton and Sims

### **AGAINST:**

The motion was CARRIED.

---

## 3. ANNOUNCEMENTS BY THE CHAIR

---

None.

---

## 4. PECUNIARY INTEREST NOTIFICATION

---

The Chair asked if any Aldermen had, or were likely to have, a pecuniary interest or a conflict of interest in any items on the Agenda.

No interests were declared.

---

## **5. RESPONSE TO PREVIOUS PUBLIC QUESTIONS TAKEN ON NOTICE**

---

**Questions on notice – Janiece Bryan, Montrose (received 28 September 2020)**

- Q1. Does the Council and Government have a management plan to protect workers and the community from air-borne contaminants when the ground is disturbed at Wilkinson's Point? The plan must include quality control and on-going monitoring of the volatilisation and leaching etc.**

The uncontrolled landfill and industrial site potentially contains contaminants which become air-borne. Just to mention a few, there is asbestos from buildings dumped there and cancer-causing PAH's (Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons), PFAS's (Poly-Fluoroalkyl Substances) and PCB's (Polychlorinated Biphenals). Deadly carcinogenic and flammable dust can travel long distances once becoming air-borne.

- Q2. Is there a plan to protect the Derwent Estuary and surrounding environment from the contaminants?**

- Q3. As a matter of legislated responsibility, will tenderers be advised of the high level of contamination on this site? There will be important risks, liabilities and action required by construction companies to protect all construction workers on this site. There are serious risks to human health and the environment which the Council and the Government are required to manage once the land is disturbed.**

- A:** As the site remains undisturbed at this stage, there is no current requirement for a plan to manage/protect the Derwent Estuary and surrounding environment from the contaminants. These contaminants are in place and do not pose an increased risk to the environment or health of humans in the area.

An environmental site assessment (Detailed Site Investigation) was completed for the site as part of a draft amendment to the Wilkinsons Point and Elwick Bay Specific Area Plan. Based on the findings of that Detailed Site Investigation, Wilkinsons Point is considered suitable for the current and proposed use, as the level of contamination does not present an unacceptable risk to human health or the environment, provided a Contamination Management Plan is developed and implemented to manage any future development earthworks.

The recommended remediation and/or management of the site contaminants would be quite specific to that site and may make up conditions of a Planning Permit.

---

## 6. PUBLIC QUESTION TIME (15 MINUTES)

---

The Chair noted that due to members of the public not being able to attend the meeting due to the COVID-19 pandemic, public questions were instead able to be submitted in writing.

The following questions were received prior to the meeting:

### **Questions on notice – Eddy Steenbergen (Received 2 October 2020)**

**At the September 2020 Open Council meeting, a motion was passed to move an agenda item relating to the disposal of the Mill Lane Car Park and negotiations with Marigold P/L to the Closed Session later in the evening.**

**Reg 15 of the *Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015* seem to imply that a reason must be given for a matter to be considered in closed session. This is supported by the fact that historically, every agenda item for a closed session is accompanied by a para similar to: "This item is to be considered at a closed meeting of the Council by authority of the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015 Regulation 15(2)(g) (Information of a personal and confidential nature or information provided to the Council on the condition it is kept confidential)."**

**I believe that deciding to discuss a matter "in secret" is a serious matter, not to be taken lightly. Hence the following questions. In asking for a mover for the motion the Mayor referred to "reordering" the agenda.**

#### **Q1. Is it true that referring to the motion as "reordering" is incorrect?**

A: It is correct practice to seek a motion to reorder the agenda. This is a requirement of regulation 8(4) of the Meeting Procedures regulations. In seeking a motion that the agenda be reordered, the Mayor stated that this was so that item 12 could be considered in the closed section of the Council meeting.

#### **Q2. Why did the "reordering" motion not include the reason(s) for the proposed action?**

A: The reasons for the agenda being reordered was to allow discussion of commercial in confidence information provided by the proponent in support of its request to extend the exclusive negotiation period. Although this wasn't expressly stated during the meeting (which appears to have been a simple oversight) this is not an express requirement, as long as the grounds for the closure are recorded in the open part of the meeting minutes (regulation 15(5)) which has been done.

Having said that, it is preferable for transparency if the reasons for moving an item to closed are explained at the time, and this has been noted for future reference.

**Q3. Does the absence of a reason make the "reordering" motion invalid? If not, why not?**

A: No, regulation 15 only requires that the reasons for the closure are recorded in the minutes of a meeting, which has been done.

**Q4. If the "reordering" motion was invalid, what is the status of the discussion of the item in Closed Session?**

A: The motion was valid and the item was validly discussed in closed Council. However, Council also resolved to make the resolution made in closed Council available to the public (see answer to question 5, below).

**Q5. I assume the Mayor did have reason(s) even if she did not mention them. Which clause(s) of Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015 Regulation 15(2) did she have in mind?**

A: the item was moved to closed Council to allow discussion of information that fell under regulations:

- 15(2)(b) (Information that, if disclosed, is likely to confer a commercial advantage or impose a commercial disadvantage on a person with whom the Council is conducting, or proposes to conduct, business)
- 15(2)(c) (Commercial information of a confidential nature that, if disclosed, is likely to: prejudice the commercial position of the person who supplied it; confer a commercial advantage on a competitor of the Council; or reveal a trade secret), and
- 15(2)(g) (Information of a personal and confidential nature or information provided to the Council on the condition it is kept confidential).

This was additional information provided by the applicant in support of its application for an extension of the exclusive dealing period with Council. This has been noted in the minutes of the meeting.

**Q6. I assume the Mill Lane Car Park agenda item was discussed in the closed session (I have no way of knowing). If so, what motion was finally moved in relation to the matter and what was the result of the vote on that motion?**

A: The resolution made in closed Council was as follows. In accordance with paragraph 5 of the resolution, it will also be published in the open part of the minutes of the meeting:

**Resolution:**

*KING/FRASER*

*That Council:*

1. *NOTE the request from Marigold Pty Ltd to extend the exclusive dealing period for a further 6 months until 31 March 2021, but decline that request*
2. *ENDORSE the commencement of investigations into the potential disposal and rezoning of Council owned land at Mill Lane, CT43661/1 and CT29803/5*
3. *AUTHORISE Council staff to undertake a community engagement process to identify any concerns about the potential disposal of the Land before commencing with the statutory processes for public land disposal and land rezoning, and*
4. *REQUIRE a further report to Council summarising the feedback received (and identifying any concerns) and seeking approval to proceed with the statutory processes for public land disposal and land rezoning for the Land.*
5. *MAKE available to the public the resolution in relation to the disposal of public land at Mill Lane (car park) for the consistent and transparent management of Council's disposal of public land activities.*

*The motion was put.*

**FOR:** *Aldermen Dunsby, King, Thomas, Johnston, Richardson, Fraser, Carlton and Sims*

**AGAINST:**

*The motion was CARRIED.*

**Q7. If I cannot be provided with the moved motion and result, why not?**

A: The motion and ultimate resolution is published above and in the meeting minutes.

**Question on notice – Robert Holderness-Roddam (Received 21 October 2020)**

- Q1. How many housing subdivisions, including the quantity of individual lots, with primary access to Main Road in Austins Ferry and Granton, have been approved since 01 January 2010?**
- Q2: How many road accidents have been reported for Main Road, Austins Ferry, between St Virgil's Senior College (i.e. just north of Tavistock Road) since 01 January 2010? Details of precise location and date would be appreciated.**

Answers to the above questions will be provided in writing prior to the next Council meeting.

**Question on notice – Janiece Bryan, Montrose (received 26 October 2020):  
Disposal of Public Land – Car Park (Mill Lane)**

In 2014 Planning laws stipulated 204 parking spaces were required for the Glenorchy Health Centre (GHC) based on the size of the building, the number of staff and clients as well as the fact there are 51 consulting rooms. A relaxation of the laws was granted on the basis that there would be a total of 124 spaces in the vicinity of the Centre (Clause 10.8 and Clause 10.1 of the Planning Scheme). In 2014 the Glenorchy Health Centre Report stated that the Centre has provided 40 spaces and there were 40 employees at the time.

Council is now proposing to dispose of the entire car park with 65 previously allocated parking spaces in the vicinity. Council is failing to prioritise the best interests and safety of the GHC staff and clients, welfare of CBD businesses and the community who use these car spaces. In addition, many clients attending the Centre are unwell and have mobility problems. This proposal will impact greatly on the viability of the Health Centre to efficiently provide services and the viability of established businesses to survive with the loss of 53 car spaces plus the 12 car spaces removed from the Main Road. The community is also impacted by the denial of suitable and safe access to the essential, heavily relied upon Taxi Service provided to the shopping public.

The significant loss of 65 car spaces will result in 59 remaining spaces instead of the legislated 204 spaces required to service the needs of the Health Centre. There will be a marked increase in traffic activity in the area with the inappropriate 26 Residential Apartments (16.4 metre height) built in the middle of the CBD Business and Service Zone.

- Q1. Has a Traffic Impact Assessment of this proposal been completed by Council including the Road Safety consequences of this proposal?**
- Q2. Is the Council's Traffic Management Plan publicly available?**
- Q3. Why is this car park referred to as Mill Lane Car Park when it is more correctly called the Barry/Regina Car Park? This is misleading to the community, wrongly influences, compromises and calls the merit of the consultative process into question.**

- Q4. Where is the transparency, accountability and opportunity for community input into decision-making when these important proposals are workshopped and discussed in Closed Council? Do you agree that the community is extremely disadvantaged by this process?**
- Q5. Could Council please explain their reasoning behind this proposal as there is growing concern in the community?**
- Q6. How is Council able to breach the legal requirement for these previously allocated Car Spaces to service the critical Glenorchy Health Centre caring for our community?**

Answers to the above questions will be provided in writing prior to the next Council meeting.

**Question on notice – Leigh McAdam, on behalf of the Glenorchy City Bowls Club (received 26 October 2020): FOGO and waste collection**

- Q1. Why is the FOGO service not currently available to sporting clubs and other similar bodies?**
- Q2. Is it expected that this service will be extended to such bodies at any stage and, if so, do you have any ideas on when this may happen?**
- Q3. On a somewhat similar vein, our club currently has a weekly rubbish collection for which we pay. However, what we really need is a weekly recycling collection, but we are told that this is not available. Why is this? Would Council consider making this available to clubs such as ours? We would naturally be willing to pay a reasonable additional fee for such a service.**

Answers to the above questions will be provided in writing prior to the next Council meeting.

---

## **7. PETITIONS/DEPUTATIONS**

---

A petition by Adam Christopher on behalf of the Hobart Wheelers/Dirt Devils Incorporated Cycling Club containing 120 signatures was tabled. The action sought by the petitioners was:

“Hobart Wheelers/Dirt Devils Incorporated and its members strongly supports that the Draft Glenorchy Mountain Bike Park Masterplan be adopted by Council as a formal plan.”

KING/RYAN

That the petition Hobart Wheelers/Dirt Devils Incorporated Cycling Club in support of the Glenorchy Mountain Bike Masterplan be tabled.

The motion was put.

**FOR:** Aldermen Bull, Ryan, Dunsby, King, Thomas, Johnston, Fraser, Carlton and Sims

**AGAINST:**

The motion was CARRIED

## COMMUNITY

### *Community Goal: "Making Lives Better"*

---

#### 8. ANNOUNCEMENTS BY THE MAYOR

---

File Reference: Mayoral Announcements

##### **Reporting Brief:**

To receive an update on the recent activities undertaken by the Mayor.

##### **Resolution:**

FRASER/KING

That Council:

RECEIVE the announcements about the activities of the Mayor during the period from Tuesday 22 September to Monday 19 October 2020.

The motion was put.

**FOR:** Aldermen Bull, Ryan, Dunsby, King, Thomas, Johnston, Fraser, Carlton and Sims

**AGAINST:**

The motion was CARRIED

## ECONOMIC

### *Community Goal: “Open for Business”*

---

#### 9. DRAFT GREATER GLENORCHY PLAN

---

File Reference: Greater Glenorchy Plan

##### **Reporting Brief:**

To provide the draft Greater Glenorchy Plan and seek Council’s approval for the draft Plan to be released for public exhibition and comment.

##### **Resolution:**

THOMAS/SIMS

That Council:

1. ENDORSE the release of the draft Greater Glenorchy Plan, in the form of Attachment 3, for public exhibition and comment for approximately four weeks, commencing in early November 2020, and
2. NOTE that the final Greater Glenorchy Plan will incorporate community feedback provided during public engagement and be presented to Council in early 2021.

The motion was put.

**FOR:** Aldermen Bull, Ryan, Dunsby, King, Thomas, Johnston, Fraser, Carlton and Sims

**AGAINST:**

The motion was CARRIED

---

## 10. DRAFT BERRIEDALE PENINSULA MASTERPLAN

---

File Reference: Berriedale Master Plan

### Reporting Brief:

To provide Council the draft masterplan for the Berriedale Peninsula and seek Council's approval for the draft masterplan to be released for public exhibition and comment.

### Resolution:

FRASER/SIMS

That Council:

1. ENDORSE the release of the draft Berriedale Peninsula Masterplan, in the form of Attachment 1, for public exhibition and comment for approximately four weeks, commencing in early November 2020, and
2. NOTE that the final Berriedale Peninsula Masterplan will incorporate community feedback provided during public engagement and will be presented to Council in late 2020.

The motion was put.

**FOR:** Aldermen Bull, Ryan, Dunsby, King, Thomas, Johnston, Fraser, Carlton and Sims

**AGAINST:**

The motion was CARRIED.

## ENVIRONMENT

### *Community Goal: “Valuing our Environment”*

---

#### 11. GLENORCHY MOUNTAIN BIKE PARK MASTERPLAN

---

File Reference: Glenorchy Mountain Bike Park Masterplan 2019/20

##### **Reporting Brief:**

To present to Council for adoption the final Masterplan (**Attachment 1 and 2**) for the Glenorchy Mountain Bike Park and note the community engagement process (**Attachment 6 and 7**).

##### **Resolution:**

CARLTON/SIMS

That Council:

1. ADOPT the Glenorchy Mountain Bike Masterplan, as contained in Attachment 1 to 5
2. NOTE the results of the community engagement process (Attachment 6 and 7) and that these reports be provided on Council’s website for the community to review
3. RESOLVE to develop a prospectus to seek investment in the project which will detail the likely economic and social benefits as well as identify potential ongoing funding opportunities to offset increase in costs.

The motion was put.

**FOR:** Aldermen Bull, Ryan, Dunsby, King, Thomas, Johnston, Fraser, Carlton and Sims

**AGAINST:**

The motion was CARRIED.

## GOVERNANCE

### *Community Goal: "Leading our Community"*

---

#### **12. DISPOSAL OF COUNCIL LAND AT 671 MAIN ROAD BERRIEDALE - EXTENSION OF EXCLUSIVE DEALING PERIOD**

---

File Reference: Disposal of Council Land

##### **Reporting Brief:**

To recommend that Council further extends an exclusive dealing period with MONA to exchange or purchase land situated at 671 Main Road, Berriedale.

##### **Resolution:**

DUNSBY/BULL

That Council:

1. NOTE that negotiations with MONA (Tas) Pty Ltd to purchase or lease the property at 671 Main Road, Berriedale, CT29803/5 (**the Land**) during the initial exclusive dealing period have been delayed due to an appeal; and
2. EXTEND the exclusive dealing period with MONA for a further twelve (12) months, to allow time for negotiations between Council and MONA in respect of the Land to be finalised and for a satisfactory outcome to be reached.
3. REQUIRE that any proposed agreement on the sale and/or lease of part of the land is brought back to Council for final approval.

The motion was put.

**FOR:** Aldermen Bull, Ryan, Dunsby, King, Thomas, Johnston, Fraser, Carlton and Sims

**AGAINST:**

The motion was CARRIED.

---

### 13. ANNUAL REVIEW OF COUNCIL'S RISK APPETITE

---

File Reference: Registers (Risk Management)

**Reporting Brief:**

To recommend that Council formally adopts its reviewed and amended Risk Appetite Statement.

**Resolution:**

DUNSBY/KING

That Council:

1. APPROVE the Risk Appetite Statement as contained in Attachment 1, and
2. NOTE that the Risk Appetite Statement will be made publicly available on Council's website.

The motion was put.

**FOR:** Aldermen Bull, Ryan, Dunsby, King, Thomas, Johnston, Fraser, Carlton and Sims

**AGAINST:**

The motion was CARRIED.

---

## 14. ICT SECURITY POLICY

---

File Reference: ICT Security

### Reporting Brief:

To recommend that Council adopts a new ICT Security Policy.

### Resolution:

RYAN/BULL

That Council: APPROVE and ADOPT the new ICT Security Policy in the form of Attachment 1.

The motion was put.

**FOR:** Aldermen Bull, Ryan, Dunsby, King, Thomas, Johnston, Fraser, Carlton and Sims

**AGAINST:**

The motion was CARRIED.

---

## 15. PROCUREMENT AND CONTRACTS - MONTHLY REPORT

---

File Reference: Procurement

### Reporting Brief:

To inform Council of exemptions that have been applied to procurements under Council's Code for Tenders and Contracts for the period 16 September to 14 October 2020 and to provide updates on other relevant procurement matters.

### Resolution:

FRASER/DUNSBY

That Council:

RECEIVE and NOTE the Procurement and Contracts Monthly Report for the period from 16 September to 14 October 2020.

The motion was put.

**FOR:** Aldermen Bull, Ryan, Dunsby, King, Thomas, Johnston, Fraser, Carlton and Sims

**AGAINST:**

The motion was CARRIED.

---

## 16. UPDATED COUNCIL POLICIES

---

File Reference: Council Policies

**Reporting Brief:**

To present two updated policies to Council for adoption and recommend one policy for rescission.

**Resolution:**

THOMAS/CARLTON

That Council:

1. ADOPT the updated and renamed Charges for Agendas, Minutes and By-Laws Policy in the form of Attachment 2
2. ADOPT the updated and renamed Aldermen Expenses Policy in the form of Attachment 4, and
3. RESCIND the Aldermen-Employee Relations Policy (Attachment 5).

The motion was put.

**FOR:** Aldermen Bull, Ryan, Dunsby, King, Thomas, Johnston, Fraser, Carlton and Sims

**AGAINST:**

The motion was CARRIED.

---

## 17. QUARTERLY REPORT - Q1 2020/21

---

File Reference: Corporate Reporting

**Reporting Brief:**

To provide Council with the Quarterly Report for the quarter ending 30 September 2020.

**Resolution:**

FRASER/RYAN

That Council:

RECEIVE and NOTE Council's amended Quarterly Report and Quarterly Annual Plan Progress Report for the quarter ending 30 September 2020.

The motion was put.

**FOR:** Aldermen Bull, Ryan, Dunsby, King, Thomas, Johnston, Fraser, Carlton and Sims

**AGAINST:**

The motion was CARRIED.

---

## 18. NOTICES OF MOTIONS – QUESTIONS ON NOTICE / WITHOUT NOTICE

---

### Question without notice – Alderman Fraser: Treatment of commingled recycling

**Q.** In relation to the kerbside recycling that is collected, what reassurance has been given from our recycling contactors about the providence of the recyclable material that has been collected from our city and where it ends up? Can we have confidence that this material is, in fact, being recycled and not being stockpiled or even, in some cases, put into landfill on the mainland?

**A.** (Director Infrastructure and Works) With the recent transition from SKM Recycling to Cleanaway as our recycling contractor, we've seen a dramatic improvement in separation of our recyclable waste and have much more confidence in that providence. If you look back a couple of years, a lot of our waste was being bundled and sent to China, and there were concerns about how it was processed once it was sent there. Today, we are seeing recycled materials – especially from the Southern Tasmanian recycling plant – being much more effectively separated, so instead of seeing commingled plastics and aluminum, we are instead seeing plastics being separated into different plastic streams and aluminum being separated from plastics.

I also recently received an email from Cleanaway explaining where the material that is collected is processed. We are more than happy to provide this information on Council's website so the community can have more confidence about where their recycling ends up.

### Resolution:

KING/CARLTON

That the meeting be closed to the public to allow discussion of matters that are described in Regulation 15 of the *Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015*.

The motion was put.

**FOR:** Aldermen Bull, Ryan, Dunsby, King, Thomas, Johnston, Fraser, Carlton and Sims

**AGAINST:**

The motion was CARRIED.

# CLOSED TO MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC

The meeting resumed at 6.59pm

---

## 19. CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES (CLOSED MEETING)

---

### **Resolution:**

THOMAS/RYAN

That the minutes of the Council meeting (closed meeting) held on Monday, 28 September 2020 be confirmed.

The motion was put.

**FOR:** Aldermen Bull, Ryan, Dunsby, King, Thomas, Johnston, Fraser, Carlton and Sims

**AGAINST:**

The motion was CARRIED.

---

## 20. APPLICATIONS FOR LEAVE OF ABSENCE

---

None.

## GOVERNANCE

### *Community Goal: “Leading our Community”*

---

#### **18. AUDIT PANEL MINUTES**

---

*This item is to be considered at a closed meeting of the Council by authority of the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015 Regulation 15(2)(g) (Information of a personal and confidential nature or information provided to the Council on the condition it is kept confidential).*

---

#### **22. CONTRACT NO. 0770 - MECHANICAL SERVICES MAINTENANCE**

---

*This item is to be considered at a closed meeting of the Council by authority of the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015 Regulation 15(2)(d) (Contracts and tenders, for the supply and purchase of goods and services and their terms, conditions, approval and renewal).*

## ENVIRONMENT

### *Community Goal: “Valuing our Environment”*

---

#### **23. EXEMPTION TO ENTER INTO AN AGREEMENT FOR THE PROVISION OF COMMINGLED PROCESSING**

---

*This item is to be considered at a closed meeting of the Council by authority of the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015 Regulation 15(2)(c) (Commercial information of a confidential nature that, if disclosed, is likely to: prejudice the commercial position of the person who supplied it; confer a commercial advantage on a competitor of the Council; or reveal a trade secret) and (2)(g) (Information of a personal and confidential nature or information provided to the Council on the condition it is kept confidential).*

---

## **24. NOTICES OF MOTIONS – QUESTIONS ON NOTICE / WITHOUT NOTICE (CLOSED)**

---

None.

FRASER/KING

That Council move back into open Council:

The motion was put.

**FOR:** Aldermen Dunsby, King, Thomas, Johnston, Richardson, Fraser, Carlton and Sims

**AGAINST:**

The motion was CARRIED

The meeting closed at 7.29 pm

Confirmed,

**CHAIR**

