

**Minutes of the Meeting
of the Glenorchy City Council
held at the Council Chambers
on Monday, 30 August 2021 at 6.00pm**



NOTE: Due to social distancing restrictions around COVID-19, numbers attending were limited to a maximum of 34 including aldermen, staff and the public. The meeting was live-streamed for members of the public on Council's Facebook page.

Present (in Chambers): Alderman Bec Thomas (Mayor), Peter Bull, Melissa Carlton, Jan Dunsby, Simon Fraser, Sue Hickey, Steven King, Gaye Richardson and Angela Ryan

Present (by video link): Alderman Kelly Sims

In attendance (in Chambers): Tony McMullen (General Manager), Jenny Richardson (Director Corporate Services), David Ronaldson (Director Community and Customer Services), Sam Fox (Director Strategy and Development, Emilio Reale (Director Infrastructure and Works), Bryn Hannan (Executive Officer), Marina Campbell (Manager Community), Jill Sleiters (Community Development Coordinator)

In attendance (by video link): Marian Maclachlan (Executive Assistant to the General Manager)

Leave of Absence:

Workshops held since last Council Meeting

Date: Monday, 2 August 2021

Purpose: To discuss:

- Response to Gaming Legislation
- LGAT GM Notice of Motion

Date: Monday, 16 August 2021

Purpose: To discuss:

- Community Strategy - feedback
- Special Committees Structure Review
- Values Project

Date: Monday, 23 August 2021

Purpose: To discuss:

- MetroPlan settlement strategy presentation
- Review of Policy

Six (6) members of the public attended the open part of the Council meeting.

The peak number of viewers watching the live stream of the meeting on Facebook was **46** viewers.

The Chair opened the meeting at 6.02 pm.

The meeting was opened with a prayer by Captain Jeff Milkins, Salvation Army.

The Chair acknowledged and paid respect to the Tasmanian Aboriginal Community as the original and traditional owners and continuing custodians of the land and their elders, past, present and emerging.

The Chair advised prior to the commencement of the meeting that the meeting would be recorded, as well as the meeting being live streamed to members of the public.

1. APOLOGIES

None.

2. CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES

Resolution:

KING/FRASER

That the minutes of the Council meeting held on Monday, 26 July 2021 be confirmed.

The motion was put.

FOR: Aldermen Bull, Dunsby, King, Thomas, Richardson, Fraser, Carlton, Sims and Hickey

AGAINST:

ABSTAINED: Alderman Ryan

The motion was CARRIED.

3. ANNOUNCEMENTS BY THE CHAIR

None.

4. PECUNIARY INTEREST NOTIFICATION

The Chair asked if any Aldermen had, or were likely to have, a pecuniary interest or a conflict of interest in any items on the Agenda.

The General Manager declared an interest in Item 25 (General Manager's Performance Review 2020/21 and Performance Management Framework 2021/22 – 2024/25).

5. RESPONSE TO PREVIOUS PUBLIC QUESTIONS TAKEN ON NOTICE

None.

6. PUBLIC QUESTION TIME (15 MINUTES)

Questions without notice - Shane Alderton, Main Road, Austins Ferry

Q.1. Can Council provide the total cost of the CBD Development which has occurred outside Northgate?

A: Question will be taken on notice as final costs have not been finalised as yet.

Q2. Can the community be provided with a detailed breakdown of costs of the CBD Development. Not every nut and bolt, but a breakdown of material and labour costs, that is the type of detail I would like.

A: The question was taken on notice.

Q3. How much was the final proceeds Council received from the sale of Wilkinsons Point and what is Council currently doing with that money?

A: [Mayor] Council received \$8M in proceeds from the sale. Council has put it in the Special Projects Reserve trust fund and it can only be spent in accordance with a decision of Council.

Q4: Item 10 on tonight's agenda is concerning and I would like to know if there was a workshop prior to Council's meeting tonight on the item.

A: [Mayor] There was not a specific workshop focusing on this item, however, we did have a brief discussion at the end of another workshop to appraise Aldermen

of the situation and an indication of the options.

Q5: In relation to Item 10, why hasn't Council considered reducing the scope at both playgrounds?

A: [Mayor] Council officers did have discussions with the successful tenderer, about reducing the scope of the playspaces at both destinations to fit within the budget, however, the estimate was so far over the budget we have to spend, it would reduce them down to a very small playground and would not provide a regional destination playground which is what Council is expected to provide for our community.

[Director Infrastructure and Works] The option being put forward tonight was considered, but reducing the scope so significantly would remove the intent of it being a regional destination play space, all accessibility, all abilities adventure playground. There is a play space on Montrose already, so we would be duplicating something like that but on a smaller scale on both reserves.

Q6: I wonder why given the importance of the decision in Item 10, why it has not been recommended to take this decision back to the community for further consultation given the importance of the decision. I understand that there is not enough money to build both playspaces and think it is responsible decision, but why has it not gone back to the community to see how they want the money spent?

A: [Mayor] We have done significant community consultation over the past 12 to 18-months on what our community want to see in the playspaces. Some of the feedback received from the community during the consultation related to concerns over the traffic implications of the destination playground at Montrose given it is on the Brooker Highway and the crossover on the highway.

You would have read in the report that this formed part of the recommendation that is before us tonight and we feel we have a good sense from the community what their preference is from them. The original funding received from the Federal government was a grant that Mr Wilkie secured came through a drive by the Goodwood Community Centre who had been seeking playspace funding specifically for Giblin Reserve. Is there anything further you would like to add Director?

[Director Infrastructure and Works] The community consultation was based on the two play spaces, the traffic concerns about Montrose Bay came out loud and clear from the community who had a number of concerns around this issue, and it was the Goodwood Community which initiated this grant with Mr Wilkie. Giblins Reserve will also be an all-accessible, all abilities playspace, whereas Montrose Bay wouldn't be as accessible but Montrose Bay will receive further development through the inclusion of the skatepark which is still going ahead in that area.

[Mayor] We do really want to get on with this project, our community deserve this play space as soon possible. We don't want to delay and costs escalate further due to COVID because of demand.

Q7: Why has Council not included in their recommendation in Item 10 to use the funds received from the sale of Wilkinsons Point and the DEC to complete both projects as we are replacing a community asset with two new community assets?

A. [Mayor] Yes, you are correct it does not form part of the options or recommendation that Council is being asked to consider in tonight's meeting.

Part of the rationale for the recommendation in the report is that we have been developing a play space strategy which includes an audit to provide us with direction and guidance on the supply and demand of play spaces within our municipality. The draft has been out for public consultation which certainly indicates one regional destination playground is sufficient to service our municipality and it would be better if we chose to invest in smaller scale local area playgrounds, rather than doing a second regional destination play space.

Questions without notice - Jan Bryan, England Avenue, Montrose

Q1: In relation to the Council Statement of Commitment on Gambling (Agenda Item 13), could you please explain why it is endangering children and young people by facilitating sport betting organisations at sport and recreation venues. Ignoring the extensive evidence that this is more harmful to young people than machines, with its promotion within 60-seconds followed up with them on their mobile phones?

Q2: Why has Council completely failed to mention this in the attached submission this most evasive and harmful form of gambling and there is a lot of evidence that children are being groomed in growing numbers to become addictive gamblers every day by these organisations?

Q3: Why is Council facilitating these partnerships to endanger our children and young people, when other States and overseas countries are struggling to prevent this wide-spread harm.

A: [Mayor] To answer your question briefly but as they are quite complex questions, I will also take them on notice to provide a more comprehensive response.

However, I will say Council is most definitely not endangering the lives of children or facilitating any type of gambling across any of the facilities that we own or lease, that is certainly not what is intended in our Statement of Commitment on Gambling. Nor are we inferring that in the submission that we are putting to the Tasmanian Government on the implementation of the State Government gambling policy. I am not sure if you have an specific example of where you think Council is facilitating gambling?

[Ms Bryan] With the NBL in partnership with SportsBet and Ladbroke's, there will be the promotion of sports betting, at this venue. The training for this sport for young people will be within this venue.

I am concerned about the lease at KGV oval that there will be a space that will be possibly be a sports bar, which will also sell alcohol in the presence of a training facility, a community based facility specific for young people as well as sport betting facilities. These are the major ones I am referring to.

Questions without notice - Eddy Steenbergen, Rosetta

Q1: Why did Council decided to buy 7 Peltro Street?

A: [Mayor] 7 Peltro Street was seen to be a strategic acquisition of land that aligns with the goals which are set out in our Greater Glenorchy Plan. It is adjacent to the transit corridor and for that reason we thought it would be strategic for Council to purchase the land as detailed in the rationale which was provided to Council in the meeting report.

Q2: People are concerned about the Glenorchy War Memorial and its future and I wondered the Council had any plans for it?

A: [Mayor] It is certainly on our agenda and we recognise that we need to start planning for its future. It has come through in the planning of the draft Sports and Recreation strategy which we are developing as an area of need that we need to do further work on.

In relation to the history, I will need to take that part of the question on notice.

Q3: In relation to the submission of the Tasmanian Gaming policy, why did Council feel the need to consult members of parliament in putting together their submission?

A: Meg Webb who is a strong advocate for gambling reform, always reaches out to a range of stakeholders when the opportunity for consultation arises. On this occasion Meg Webb, as did former Mayor Kristie Johnston contacted us to provide their views on the implementation of the policy and to see if Council was making a submission.

Q4: So, the initiative came from them?

A: No, we were always going to make a submission and gained input from them.

7. PETITIONS/DEPUTATIONS

None.

COMMUNITY

Community Goal: "Making Lives Better"

8. ANNOUNCEMENTS BY THE MAYOR

File Reference: Mayoral Announcements

Reporting Brief:

To receive an update on the recent activities undertaken by the Mayor.

Resolution:

RYAN/DUNSBY

That Council:

RECEIVE the announcements about the activities of Mayor Thomas during the period from Tuesday, 20 July to Monday, 23 August 2021.

The motion was put.

FOR: Aldermen Bull, Ryan, Dunsby, King, Thomas, Richardson, Fraser, Carlton, Sims and Hickey

AGAINST:

The motion was CARRIED.

9. ELECTION OF DEPUTY MAYOR

File Reference: Council Elections

Reporting Brief:

To elect a new Deputy Mayor following election of former Deputy Mayor Bec Thomas to the position of Mayor.

A ballot was conducted in accordance with the process set out in the *Local Government (General) Regulations 2015* and the *COVID-19 Disease Emergency (Miscellaneous Provisions Act) 2020 (COVID Act)*. Because some Aldermen were attending the meeting online, the ballot was conducted electronically, as authorised notices issued under section 17 and 18 of the COVID Act.

Council's Executive Officer advised the General Manager that the outcome of the final round of voting in the ballot was:

Alderman King:	5 Votes
Alderman Fraser:	4 Votes
Abstained:	1 Vote

Declaration:

Having confirmed that external legal advice had confirmed that a simple majority had been obtained, the General Manager declared Alderman King to be elected to the office of Deputy Mayor of Glenorchy City Council.

FRASER/BULL

That Council:

NOTE the election of Alderman Steven King as Deputy Mayor.

The motion was put.

FOR: Aldermen Bull, Ryan, Dunsby, King, Thomas, Richardson, Fraser, Carlton, Sims and Hickey

AGAINST:

The motion was CARRIED.

10. APPROVAL TO VARY THE SCOPE OF THE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT GRANT PLAYSPACE (MONTROSE BAY FORESHORE AND GIBLINS RESERVE) PROJECTS

File Reference: Playspaces

Reporting Brief:

To recommend Council varies the originally proposed construction of two regionally significant Playspaces in Glenorchy at Montrose Bay Foreshore Park and Giblins Reserve and instead proceed only with the construction of the Giblins Reserve Playspace.

Resolution:

DUNSBY/SIMS

That Council:

1. NOTE the report on the proposed Montrose Foreshore and Giblin's Reserve play spaces
2. AUTHORISE the General Manager (or delegate) to write to the Australian Government seeking advice on the ability to vary the Commonwealth Funding Agreement, and
3. FORMULATE a strategy to consult with the community on the future of the proposed destination playgrounds.

Foreshadowed motion:

Alderman Carlton foreshadowed a motion in the form of the recommendation made in the officer's report.

The initial motion was put.

FOR: Aldermen Dunsby and Sims

AGAINST: Aldermen Bull, Ryan, King, Thomas, Richardson, Fraser, Carlton and Hickey

The initial motion was LOST.

The motion having been lost, the Chair called for a mover and seconder for the foreshadowed motion:

CARLTON/KING

That Council:

1. APPROVE in-principle the proposal to withdraw the proposed Montrose Foreshore Playspace from the scope of the existing Community Development grant and focus all existing committed funds on the construction of a single regional Playspace in Glenorchy at Giblins Reserve, subject to approval by the Australian Government
2. AUTHORISE the General Manager (or delegate) to write to the Australian Government seeking a variation to the Commonwealth Funding Agreement to accommodate the proposal in paragraph 1, above, and
3. AUTHORISE the General Manager to execute any document necessary to give effect to the proposed variation to the Commonwealth Funding Agreement on behalf of Council.
4. NOTE that in the event the Australian Government does not approve the variation sought, the General Manager will bring a further request back to Council.

The motion was put.

FOR: Aldermen Bull, Ryan, King, Thomas, Richardson, Fraser, Carlton and Hickey

AGAINST: Aldermen Dunsby and Sims

The foreshadowed motion was CARRIED.

11. COMMUNITY STRATEGY 2021-2030

File Reference: Community Strategy

Reporting Brief:

To present the outcomes of community engagement on the draft *Glenorchy City Council Community Strategy 2021 - 2030* and seek Council's endorsement of the final *Community Strategy 2021 – 2030*.

Resolution:

HICKEY/BULL

That Council:

1. RECONSIDER its position on the draft community strategy prior to adoption

Foreshadowed Motion:

Alderman Dunsby foreshadowed a motion in the form of the original recommendations made in the officer's report.

Having listened to debate on the motion, Alderman Hickey sought to withdraw her original motion. The seconder, Alderman Bull, consented to the withdrawal of the motion.

The Chair called for a mover and seconder for the foreshadowed motion.

DUNSBY/KING

That Council:

1. NOTE the community feedback received during recent exhibition of the draft Community Strategy 2021-2030
2. ADOPT the *Community Strategy 2021 – 2030* in the form of Attachment 1.

The motion was put.

FOR: Aldermen Bull, Ryan, Dunsby, King, Thomas, Richardson, Fraser, Carlton, Sims and Hickey

AGAINST:

The motion was CARRIED.

12. COMMITTEES AND COUNCIL GROUPS REVIEW: FORMATION OF REFERENCE AND WORKING GROUPS

File Reference: Committees

Reporting Brief:

To present the outcomes of the third phase of the Special Committee Structure review, to seek endorsement of the proposed new Reference Group and Working Group Terms of Reference and to rescind some existing Special Committees of Council.

Alderman Carlton left the meeting at 8.27pm

Resolution:

BULL/KING

That Council:

1. RESCIND the following section 24 Special Committees of Council:
 - (a) Glenorchy Access Advisory Committee
 - (b) Glenorchy Arts and Culture Advisory Committee
 - (c) Healthy Communities Advisory Committee
 - (d) Safer Communities Advisory Committee
 - (e) Glenorchy Citizen and Young Citizen of the Year Committee
 - (f) Glenorchy Carols Committee
2. ENDORSE the formation of the following Reference Groups (Core) in accordance with Council's Committees Policy, Reference and Working Group Toolkit and the proposed Terms of Reference for each, as set out in the Attachments:
 - (a) Access and Inclusion Reference Group ([Attachment 1](#))
 - (b) Healthy Communities Reference Group ([Attachment 2](#))
 - (c) Safer Communities Reference Group ([Attachment 3](#))
 - (d) Glenorchy Arts and Culture Reference Group ([Attachment 4](#))

3. ENDORSE the formation of the following Working Groups in accordance with Council's Committees Policy, Reference and Working Group Toolkit and the proposed Terms of Reference for each, as set out in the Attachments:
 - (a) Glenorchy Community Awards Working Group (Attachment 5), and
 - (b) Glenorchy Carols Working Group (Attachment 6).
4. NOTE the review of the Glenorchy Youth Task Force undertaken in accordance with Council's resolution made on 26 March 2019, and further note that the Glenorchy Youth Task Force is to remain as a task force.

The motion was put.

FOR: Aldermen Bull, Ryan, Dunsby, King, Thomas, Richardson, Fraser, Sims and Hickey

AGAINST:

The motion was CARRIED.

13. SUBMISSION TO FUTURE GAMING IN TASMANIA POLICY STAGE 2 PUBLIC CONSULTATION

File Reference: Gambling

Reporting Brief:

To present Council's submission to the Future of Gaming in Tasmania Stage 2 Public Consultation for noting.

Alderman Sims left the meeting at 8.28pm.

Alderman Carlton returned to the meeting at 8.29pm.

Resolution:

RICHARDSON/KING

That Council:

RECEIVE and NOTE the report on Council's submission to Future of Gaming in Tasmania Policy Stage 2 Public Consultation at Attachment 2.

The motion was put.

FOR: Aldermen Bull, Ryan, Dunsby, King, Thomas, Richardson, Fraser, Carlton and Hickey

AGAINST:

The motion was CARRIED.

14. SPECIAL COMMITTEES ANNUAL REPORT

File Reference: Special Committees

Reporting Brief:

To present the Annual Special Committees Report to Council in accordance with Council's Committees Policy and Committees Guide and Procedure.

Resolution:

DUNSBY/KING

That Council:

RECEIVE and NOTE the Special Committees Annual Report for the period 1 July 2020 to 30 June 2021 and the following annual reports of the Special Committees:

- (a) Glenorchy Access Advisory Committee
- (b) Glenorchy Arts and Culture Advisory Committee
- (c) Healthy Communities Advisory Committee
- (d) Safer Communities Advisory Committee
- (e) Glenorchy Citizen and Young Citizen of the Year Committee, and
- (f) Glenorchy Carols Committee.

The motion was put.

FOR: Aldermen Bull, Ryan, Dunsby, King, Thomas, Richardson, Fraser, Carlton and Hickey

AGAINST:

The motion was CARRIED.

ENVIRONMENT

Community Goal: “Valuing our Environment”

15. NOMINATION OF DEPUTY MEMBER OF WELLINGTON PARK MANAGEMENT TRUST

File Reference: Wellington Park Management Trust

Reporting Brief:

To advise Council of the nomination of Emilio Reale, Council’s Director Infrastructure and Works, as its Deputy Representative on the Wellington Park Management Trust.

Resolution:

RICHARDSON/RYAN

That Council:

NOTE the nomination of Council’s Director Infrastructure and Works, Emilio Reale, to the Deputy Member position on the Wellington Park Management Trust on 28 July 2021, pending the approval of the responsible Minister.

Alderman Sims returned to the meeting at 8.34 pm.

The motion was put.

FOR: Aldermen Bull, Ryan, Dunsby, King, Thomas, Richardson, Fraser, Carlton, Sims and Hickey

AGAINST:

The motion was CARRIED.

GOVERNANCE

Community Goal: “Leading our Community”

16. APPOINTMENT OF ALDERMEN TO COMMITTEES

File Reference: Committees

Reporting Brief:

To recommend that Council appoints Aldermen to fill the vacant committee positions created as a result of the resignation of former Mayor Kristie Johnston and the election of Bec Thomas as Mayor and as a result of Council’s establishment of a Targeted Reference Group for the upcoming review of the Dog Management Policy.

Resolution:

HICKEY/RYAN

That Council:

1. APPOINT Alderman Hickey to the Safer Communities Advisory Committee
2. APPOINT Mayor Thomas as the Aldermanic representative on the Dog Management Policy Review Targeted Reference Group
3. APPOINT Deputy Mayor King to the Glenorchy Planning Authority, and
4. APPOINT Alderman Dunsby to the General Manager’s Performance Review Committee.

The motion was put.

FOR: Aldermen Bull, Ryan, Dunsby, King, Thomas, Richardson, Fraser, Carlton, Sims and Hickey

AGAINST:

The motion was CARRIED.

17. TRAFFIC CALMING DEVICES POLICY

File Reference: Council Policies

Reporting Brief:

To present an updated Traffic Calming Devices policy to Council for adoption.

Resolution:

RYAN/FRASER

That Council:

ADOPT the updated Traffic Calming Devices Policy in the form of Attachment 2.

The motion was put.

FOR: Aldermen Bull, Ryan, Dunsby, King, Thomas, Richardson, Fraser, Carlton, Sims and Hickey

AGAINST:

The motion was CARRIED.

18. UPDATED SCHEDULE OF FEES AND CHARGES

File Reference: Finance

Reporting Brief:

To recommend that Council adopts an updated version of its 2021-22 Schedule of Fees and Charges to correct minor errors in relation to some plumbing fees.

Resolution:

FRASER/BULL

That Council:

ADOPT an updated version of the 2021-22 Schedule of Fees and Charges containing the amendments identified in Attachment 1 as incorporated in Attachment 2.

The motion was put.

FOR: Aldermen Bull, Ryan, Dunsby, King, Thomas, Richardson, Fraser, Carlton, Sims and Hickey

AGAINST:

The motion was CARRIED.

19. PROCUREMENT AND CONTRACTS - MONTHLY REPORT

File Reference: Procurement

Reporting Brief:

To inform Council of exemptions that have been applied to procurements under Council's Code for Tenders and Contracts for the period 14 July to 16 August 2021 and provide updates on other relevant procurement matters.

Resolution:

DUNSBY/BULL

That Council:

RECEIVE and NOTE the Procurement and Contracts Monthly Report for the period from 14 July to 16 August 2021.

The motion was put.

FOR: Aldermen Bull, Ryan, Dunsby, King, Thomas, Richardson, Fraser, Carlton, Sims and Hickey

AGAINST:

The motion was CARRIED.

20. FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE REPORT TO 31 JULY 2021

File Reference: Corporate and Financial Reporting

Reporting Brief:

To provide the monthly Financial Performance Report to Council for the period ending 31 July 2021.

Resolution:

FRASER/RICHARDSON

That Council:

RECEIVE and NOTE the Financial Performance Report for the year-to-date ending 31 July 2021 in the form of Attachment 1.

The motion was put.

FOR: Aldermen Bull, Ryan, Dunsby, King, Thomas, Richardson, Fraser, Carlton, Sims and Hickey

AGAINST:

The motion was CARRIED.

21. NOTICES OF MOTIONS – QUESTIONS ON NOTICE / WITHOUT NOTICE

Question without notice – Alderman Fraser

Q. COVID-19 Vaccinations – does the General Manager know how many Glenorchy City Council employees have been vaccinated at this point and what is the General Manager doing to encourage people to get vaccinated?

A: [General Manager] Our team in People and Culture are monitoring the vaccination status and we are keeping an list staff vaccinated and I can provide the Aldermen with how many staff have been vaccinated on the understanding it is voluntary for staff to inform us if they are vaccinated. Council does not have a position in terms of mandating employees be vaccinated.

Q: Are our employees free to be vaccinated?

A: [General Manager] Yes, Council does give staff time off to enable them to be vaccinated.

Question without notice – Alderman Sims

Q. In relation to the CBD Revitalisation process, why are we communicating to the community and local businesses that the project is running on schedule as predicted, when the project was originally developed, which I understand has evolved, and it was promised to be completed by the 2021 financial year?

I have been told by businesses that in May 2021 they were told that this was going to be pushed out to September. It's now November, so it has clearly blown out, why are we communicating to the public that the project is running on schedule?

A. [Director Community and Customer Services] In respect to the State Government funding of \$500,000 , the announcement was made in 2014, on the understanding it would be provided to the project in either the first or second stage of the budget.

I don't have the actual dates/timeline in front of me, but it was the position of Council for the project to run over four financial years and it commenced four years ago. The schedule provided for the project has remained consistent through that time and has been communicated consistently through that period.

The question is timely as we are a few weeks away from finishing the main road and the final part of the work will be to communicate back to the community that the project which was adopted by Council back in 2015, and the process of the three stages which have been rolled out over subsequent financial years.

- Q. As a follow-up question, the original timeline (which I have in front of me) has the project budget and delivery schedule. Originally we had said we would have finalised the project by the 2021 financial year. Businesses consistently had feedback that they had been provided verbal information about timelines but had not been given anything formal in writing.**

Did we provide any formal communication in writing to businesses around the Stages of the timeline?

- A.** [Director Community and Customer Services] Yes, during the commencement of the project a four-page document was provided to businesses in the area outlining the project, the stages of the project and how businesses if they wanted to seek assistance or if they were concerned with the progress of the project and how it may affect their business going forward.

I am happy to provide this information to you.

Question without notice – Alderman Fraser

- Q: Is the CBD project running on time and on budget?**

A: [Director Community and Customer Services] Yes.

Question without notice – Alderman Sims

- Q. Is the Agenda item from June 2020 that suggested the completion of stage 3C was expected to have been completed in June 2020/21 incorrect then?**

A: [Director Community and Customer Services] I will take the question on notice.

TENDER FOR PROCESSING OF CO-MINGLED RECYCLABLE MATERIALS AND CREATION OF A WASTE MANAGEMENT JOINT AUTHORITY

During consideration of Item 24 (Tender for processing of co-mingled recyclable materials and creation of a Waste Management Joint Authority), in the closed part of the Council meeting, Council resolved to include the following information in the minutes of the open Council meeting:

Council considered in closed Council a report on a tender process for co-mingled processing of recycling materials and authorised the General Manager to proceed with the procurement process.

Council also resolved, in principle to support the establishment of a Joint Authority with other Southern Tasmanian councils to progress waste related issues under s. 30 of the *Local Government Act 1993* subject to a future Council report to formalise establishment and Council's membership of such an authority.

Resolution:

BULL/CARLTON

That the meeting be closed to the public to allow discussion of matters that are described in Regulation 15 of the *Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015*.

The motion was put.

FOR: Aldermen Bull, Ryan, Dunsby, King, Thomas, Richardson, Fraser, Carlton, Sims and Hickey

AGAINST:

The motion was CARRIED.

The meeting was closed to the public and the live stream terminated at 9.02pm.

CLOSED TO MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC

The Chair adjourned the meeting for a short break at 9.02pm.

The meeting resumed at 9.14pm.

Resolution:

KING/DUNSBY

That the agenda be reordered so that item 26 (Notices of Motion/Questions on Notice and Without Notice) is dealt with before Item 25 (General Manager's Performance Review 2020/21 and Performance Management framework 2021/22 – 2024/25).

The motion was put.

FOR: Aldermen Bull, Ryan, Dunsby, King, Thomas, Richardson, Fraser, Carlton, Sims and Hickey

AGAINST:

The motion was CARRIED.

22. CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES (CLOSED MEETING)

Resolution:

DUNSBY/KING

That the minutes of the Council meeting (closed meeting) held on Monday, 26 July 2021 be confirmed.

The motion was put.

FOR: Aldermen Bull, Dunsby, King, Thomas, Richardson, Fraser, Carlton, Sims and Hickey

AGAINST:

ABSTAINED: Alderman Ryan

The motion was CARRIED.

23. APPLICATIONS FOR LEAVE OF ABSENCE

None.

ENVIRONMENT

Community Goal: “Valuing our Environment”

21. TENDER FOR PROCESSING OF CO-MINGLED RECYCLABLE MATERIALS AND CREATION OF A WASTE MANAGEMENT JOINT AUTHORITY

This item is to be considered at a closed meeting of the Council by authority of the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015 Regulation 15(2)(b) (Information that, if disclosed, is likely to confer a commercial advantage or impose a commercial disadvantage on a person with whom the Council is conducting, or proposes to conduct, business) and (2)(c) (Commercial information of a confidential nature that, if disclosed, is likely to: prejudice the commercial position of the person who supplied it; confer a commercial advantage on a competitor of the Council; or reveal a trade secret) and (2)(d) (Contracts and tenders, for the supply and purchase of goods and services and their terms, conditions, approval and renewal).

26. NOTICES OF MOTIONS – QUESTIONS ON NOTICE / WITHOUT NOTICE

GOVERNANCE

Community Goal: "Leading our Community"

25. GENERAL MANAGER'S PERFORMANCE REVIEW 2020/21 AND PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK 2021/22 - 2024/25

This item is to be considered at a closed meeting of the Council by authority of the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015 Regulation 15(2)(a) (Personnel matters, including complaints against an employee of the Council and industrial relations matters).

Resolution:

FRASER/RYAN

That the meeting be moved back into open Council.

The motion was put.

FOR: Aldermen Bull, Ryan, Dunsby, King, Thomas, Richardson, Fraser,
Carlton, Sims and Hickey

AGAINST:

The motion was CARRIED.

The Chair closed the meeting at 9.52 pm.

Confirmed,

CHAIR