

**Minutes of the Meeting
of the Glenorchy City Council
held at the Council Chambers
on Monday, 30 November 2020 at 6.00 p.m.**



NOTE: Due to social distancing restrictions around COVID-19 members of the public were not permitted to attend the meeting. The meeting was live-streamed on Council's Facebook page.

Present (in Chambers): Alderman Kristie Johnston (Mayor)

Present (by video link): Aldermen Bec Thomas (Deputy Mayor), Peter Bull, Melissa Carlton, Jan Dunsby, Simon Fraser, Gaye Richardson and Angela Ryan.

**In attendance
(in Chambers):**

**In attendance
(by video link):** Tony McMullen (General Manager), Jenny Richardson (Director Corporate Services), David Ronaldson (Director Community and Customer Service), Sam Fox (Director Strategy and Development), Ted Ross (Director Infrastructure and Works), Bryn Hannan (Executive Officer), Tina House (Chief Financial Officer), Lyndal Byrne (Strategic Planner), Tracey Ehrlich (Manager Corporate Governance), Alex Woodward (Manager Property, Environment and Waste), Frank Chen (Manager Infrastructure, Engineering and Design), Robbie Shafe (Manager Customer Services), Marina Campbell (Manager Community), Eleanor Downes (Coordinator Arts and Culture), (Marian Maclachlan (Executive Assistant to the General Manager)

Leave of Absence:

Workshops held since last Council Meeting

Date: Monday, 2 November 2020

Purpose: To discuss:

- Climate profile presentation
- Committee structure workshop

Date: Monday, 9 November 2020

Purpose: To discuss:

- Multicultural Hub discussion
- Council Chambers reconfiguration

Date: Monday, 16 November 2020

Purpose: To discuss:

- Budget and Annual Plan – workshop 1
- Art and Culture Strategy

Date: Monday, 23 November 2020

Purpose: To discuss:

- Moonah Taste of The World 2021
- Landfill extension project
- Northern Suburbs Transit Corridor briefing

The Chair opened the meeting at 6.03 pm.

The Chair acknowledged and paid respect to the Tasmanian Aboriginal Community as the original and traditional owners and continuing custodians of the land and their elders, past, present and emerging.

1. APOLOGIES

Alderman Steven King and Alderman Kelly Sims

2. CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES

Resolution:

DUNSBY/BULL

That the minutes of the Council meeting held on Monday, 26 October 2020 be confirmed.

The motion was put.

FOR: Aldermen Bull, Ryan, Dunsby, Thomas, Johnston, Fraser, Richardson, and Carlton

AGAINST:

The motion was CARRIED.

3. ANNOUNCEMENTS BY THE CHAIR

None.

4. PECUNIARY INTEREST NOTIFICATION

The Chair asked if any Aldermen had, or were likely to have, a pecuniary interest or a conflict of interest in any items on the Agenda.

No interests were declared.

5. RESPONSE TO PREVIOUS PUBLIC QUESTIONS TAKEN ON NOTICE

**Questions on notice – Robert Holderness-Roddam
(received 21 October 2020)**

Q1. How many housing subdivisions, including the quantity of individual lots, with primary access to Main Road in Austins Ferry and Granton, have been approved since 01 January 2010?

A: Since 1 January 2010 Council has approved one residential subdivision in Austins Ferry with primary access to Main Road. This subdivision, which is known as Whitestone Point, has a total of 213 lots. Within the same time period Council has also approved a residential subdivision of 9 lots in Granton with access to Main Road via Hestercombe Road and Presnell Street.

Q2: How many road accidents have been reported for Main Road, Austins Ferry, between St Virgil's Senior College (i.e. just north of Tavistock Road) since 01 January 2010? Details of precise location and date would be appreciated.

A: A list of road accidents, which have been reported to TasPolice, for Main Road, Austins Ferry, between St Virgil's Senior College and Granton, was provided to Mr Holderness-Roddam via email on 11 November 2020.

**Questions on Notice - Janiece Bryan, Montrose
(received 26 October 2020)**

Please refer to amended questions received from Mrs Bryan on 17 November 2020 under 'Public Question Time', below.

6. PUBLIC QUESTION TIME (15 MINUTES)

The Chair noted that due to members of the public not being able to attend the meeting due to the COVID-19 pandemic, public questions were instead able to be submitted in writing.

The following questions were received prior to the meeting:

**Questions on Notice - Janiece Bryan, Montrose (updated)
(received 17 November 2020)**

In 2014, Planning laws stipulated 204 parking spaces were required for the Glenorchy Health Centre (GHC) based on the size of the building, the number of staff and clients as well as the fact there are 51 consulting rooms. A relaxation of the laws was granted on the basis that there would be a total of 124 spaces in the vicinity of the Centre (Clause 10.8 and Clause 10.1 of the Planning Scheme). In 2014 the Glenorchy Health Centre Report stated that the Centre has provided 40 spaces and there were 40 (full-time equivalent) employees at the time. There was also a loss of 48 Public car spaces heavily used by businesses and their staff behind the Health Centre when the land was sold.

Council is now proposing to dispose of the entire car park being 65 previously allocated spaces identified to meet planning requirements. Why is Council failing to prioritise the best interests and safety of the GHC staff and clients, welfare of CBD businesses and the community who use these car spaces? Many clients attending the Centre are elderly, unwell and have mobility problems. Why isn't Council considering the impact this will have on the viability of the Health Centre to efficiently provide health services and the viability of established businesses to survive with the loss of 53 car spaces plus the 12 car spaces removed from the Main Road? The community is also impacted by the denial of suitable and safe access to the essential, heavily relied upon Taxi Service provided to the shopping public.

The significant loss of 65 car spaces will result in 59 remaining spaces instead of the legislated 204 spaces required to service the needs of the Health Centre. There will be a marked increase in traffic activity in the area with the inappropriate 26 Residential Apartments (16.4 metre height) built in the middle of the CBD Business and Service Zone.

Q1. Has a Traffic Impact Assessment of this proposal been completed by Council including the Road Safety consequences of this proposal?

A: A Traffic Impact Assessment has not been completed. At this stage Council is only engaging in a preliminary investigation and conversation with the community about the potential disposal of this land. It would be an inappropriate use of ratepayer funds to engage any consultants this early in the process.

Q2. Is the Council's Traffic Management Plan publicly available?

A: See answer to question 1, above. However, Council's Traffic Management Plan Policy and Traffic Calming Devices policy are available on our website <https://www.gcc.tas.gov.au/your-council/council-policies.aspx>

Q3. Why is this car park referred to as Mill Lane Car Park when it is more correctly called the Barry/Regina Car Park? This is misleading to the community, wrongly influences, compromises and calls the merit of the consultative process into question.

A: While the car park is located on the corner of Barry and Regina Street, its description is as described on its official property address and on its certificate of title, which is "Mill Lane, Glenorchy".

To ensure there is no confusion or suggested misleading information to the car park's location, the consultation documents and pages include a map, the title and folio references and also describe its location in all reports and content.

Q4. Where is the transparency, accountability and opportunity for community input into decision-making when these important proposals are workshopped and discussed in Closed Council? Do you agree that the community is extremely disadvantaged by this process?

A: Both the resolution made in closed Council, and the report that was considered by Council were published in the open Council agendas and minutes. While the item was moved to the closed part of the meeting, this was only to allow discussion of commercial in confidence information provided by the proponent under the original decision to dispose of a nearby but different parcel of land in support of its request to extend an exclusive dealing period (which Council declined to do).

As you were advised in by email on 5 October 2020, Council's resolution to commence investigations into the potential disposal and rezoning of the site is to be published in the open minutes. As part of that, a preliminary investigation process to determine whether there are any significant concerns about the potential disposal will now be undertaken. This step is not required but is part of Council's adopted process for disposing of any land, to ensure that the community is given multiple opportunities to provide feedback and comment.

Council has assembled a unique Community Engagement Plan for these types of investigations, which will be executed prior to advertising the investigation. This will include door knocking surrounding stakeholders, advertising the investigation into the potential disposal and providing instructions on how to provide feedback on this our website and Facebook page, offering hard copy forms of the survey and providing a contact, email and phone number for all

other enquiries. An online survey was also made available through Council's website and 'Let's Talk Glenorchy' community engagement platform

Council's intention is to collectively compile feedback received from the community on their thoughts around the investigation and summarise these results in a report to Council.

Q5. Could Council please explain their reasoning behind this proposal as there is growing concern in the community?

A: As part of the Regional Land Use Strategy and the Draft Greater Glenorchy Plan, an objective for the future of our CBD is to plan for the growth and opportunity for infill development that bring investment and grow the economy of Glenorchy.

This land has been identified as a potential site for future development, however no decision has been made. As a first step in our Land Disposal Process, we are consulting with the community and the feedback received through this process will assist Aldermen in their decision on whether to proceed with the a formal land disposal process (which can include, sale, exchange or lease) or to retain the land.

Q6. How is Council able to breach the legal requirement for these previously allocated Car Spaces to service the critical Glenorchy Health Centre caring for our community?

A: The Glenorchy Health Centre's Planning Permit requires that 40 clearly marked car parking spaces are provided at all times. The parking is provided on the Health Centre site. There is no other condition on the permit that requires any parking on the subject Mill Lane site.

Q7. Please refer Council Agenda 28 September 2020 Page 60 stating "Under the City of Glenorchy Community Plan 2015 -2040, the Community has prioritized transparent and accountable government".

Could Council please explain how it considers the 2-week computer survey 'Investigation into Potential Disposal of Mill Lane' meets that objective and satisfies the Community Consultation Strategy?

A. This is explained in the answer to question 4, above. Council has undertaken much more detailed investigations than simply an online survey, and would also undertake a further public consultation process as required under the Local Government Act if it were to decide to proceed further. This process goes above and beyond what is required under the Act.

Q8. Could you please explain the Council's future plan (Key 5- *Community Plan 2015 -2040*) to dispose of all Public Car Parking in this part of the CBD for inappropriate "Residential Infill" and further disadvantage the community, viability of city centre businesses and critical healthcare services delivery?

A. As noted above in question 5, as part of the Regional Land Use Strategy and the Draft Greater Glenorchy Plan an objective for the future of our CBD is to plan for the growth and opportunity for infill development that bring investment and grow the economy of Glenorchy. Council would assess any application for any development in the CBD to ensure that it is appropriate for its proposed location and meets all planning requirements.

Q9. What is the Council's plan for providing Taxis access for the community both drop-off and pick-up that was previously available in the front of Northgate? How many spaces will be available? Will they be close to the Main Entrance to accommodate the elderly and disabled with trolleys, walkers etc?

A. The CBD revitalisation work currently being carried out by Council will maintain the same number of taxi pick-up and drop-off points outside the Northgate Main Entrance. The new Taxi zone will be located near the National Bank Australia Glenorchy Branch on Main Road.

One additional Maxi-Taxi space has been added to Main Road adjacent to the south entrance on Main Road. This is constructed on a raised table, meaning that people with a disability will not need to transverse a kerb or crossing to access the area where the taxi parks.

Question on Notice – Eddy Steenbergen (received 7 November 2020)

My question relates to the manner in which Council moved an item to closed session at its September 2020 meeting. The recently published minutes of that meeting show a resolution "That the agenda be reordered so that item 12 (Investigation into the disposal of public land – Mill Lane (car park)) is dealt within the closed section of the meeting due to the commercial-in-confidence nature of certain information provided by the proponent." but in the audio recording of the meeting the Mayor asks for someone to move the motion (and I quote) to "Move item 12 to the closed session of council meeting" with no spoken reason.

The Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015 state that Minutes must accurately record any motion moved during the meeting.

Q. Can you please explain the difference between what was actually said and what is written in the minutes?

A: While the Mayor did not expressly state the reasons at the time (which was an accidental omission), regulation 15(5) of the *Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015* requires that if Council closes a part of the

meeting, the grounds for the closure are to be recorded in the minutes relating to the part of the meeting that is open to the public.

Those reasons, and the intention behind the motion being sought, were therefore recorded in the minutes to ensure that the requirement in regulation 15(5) was met and that there was a clear record of the reasons why the item was moved to into closed Council.

The minutes would have been incomplete and non-compliant (and arguably also inaccurate) had that intention not been recorded in the minutes.

Questions on notice – Leigh McAdam (received 26 October 2020)

I have some questions I wish to ask on behalf of the Glenorchy City Bowls Club

Q1. Why is the FOGO service not currently available to sporting clubs and other similar bodies?

A: Council provides kerbside services for residential dwellings and some businesses where their requirements are similar to those of residential dwellings. Council's kerbside service is not able to be tailored to most businesses and sporting clubs where the services they require are sometimes more frequent or event dependent. Most sporting clubs and businesses approach private waste service providers who can better deliver these types of services.

Council, as part of our FOGO disposal contract, is working to incentivise increasing the amount of organic waste diverted from landfill. As part of this, we are encouraging private contractors to provide FOGO type services to other businesses and sporting groups including supermarkets and hotels. Expansion of FOGO and recycling services will be further incentivised when the State Government introduce a waste levy on general waste.

We would encourage sporting groups and other similar bodies to approach private waste service providers requesting a FOGO service.

Q2. Is it expected that this service will be extended to such bodies at any stage and, if so, do you have any ideas on when this may happen?

A: Council does not propose to introduce a non-residential type FOGO service (for the reasons outlined above)

Q3. On a somewhat similar vein, our club currently has a weekly rubbish collection for which we pay. However, what we really need is a weekly recycling collection, but we are told that this is not available. Why is this? Would Council consider making this available to clubs such as ours? We would naturally be willing to pay a reasonable additional fee for such a service.

A: Council does not offer recycling services to businesses and sports clubs for the same reasons as are outlined in question 1 in relation to FOGO services, and is

not proposing to. The Glenorchy Bowls Club is encouraged to approach private waste service providers about recycling services.

Questions on Notice – Janine Foley, Chigwell (received 16 November 2020)

Ms. Foley submitted an extensive list of questions relating to various historical and other matters. The questions and supporting documentation provided by Ms Foley are included as **Attachment 1** to this agenda.

Given the volume and nature of the questions asked, it would be an inappropriate use of Council's resources to investigate and answer these questions. Answers to these questions will therefore not be provided.

Questions on notice – Kaye Smith (received 30 November 2020).

- Q1. Why, in the letters sent out to ratepayers, Council are not being fully up front with the ratepayer on their letter, when council knew that a building surveyor on an original application was (a) Deceased and (b) deregistered but unknown to the Ratepayer?**
- Q2. What recourse or alternative has a ratepayer been given when said Surveyor is no longer available and also would not have been practising through no fault of the ratepayer, particularly after already having paid the surveyor for a particular job?**
- Q3: Is Council aware that a building surveying company that 'took over' a deceased surveyors accounts are now charging a massive amount of money (abt \$700) to go back through their books to ascertain what work and what forms had or had not been presented to Council?**
- Q4: What contact has Council made with the State Government in regards to their files that have not been diligently followed through by council over a period of up to 20 years and therefore will be adding a huge extra burden to their ratepayers?**
- Q5: And if not, regarding Q4, why not?**
- Q6: Ratepayers have already paid Council for their permits at the time of their Applications, why then are Council requesting a now inflated extra amount?**
- Q7: Given the very short time frame of requesting payments from Ratepayers, i.e. 31 December why can't these payments be deferred a little longer bearing in mind the burden of costs to families at Christmas?**
- Q8: Given that a Ratepayer has already paid for surveying, are Council aware that the cost of a new Surveyor is at least another \$770?**

Q8: Have Council considered, in some instances where the, for instance, a single car garage and to all intents and purposes had been thought to be finalised and not of a large construction, having Council's own Building surveyor and or plumber look over a property and give advice as to whether a cost of hundreds of dollars is necessary to their ratepayers? And if not, why not?

Q9: Are Council aware of what other Councils are doing regarding help for their ratepayers in this matter? And if not why not?

Answers to the above questions will be provided in writing prior to the December Council meeting and published in the Agenda for that meeting.

7. PETITIONS/DEPUTATIONS

None.

COMMUNITY

Community Goal: “Making Lives Better”

8. ANNOUNCEMENTS BY THE MAYOR

File Reference: Mayoral Announcements

Reporting Brief:

To receive an update on the recent activities undertaken by the Mayor.

Resolution:

RICHARDSON/THOMAS

That Council:

RECEIVE the announcements about the activities of the Mayor during the period from Tuesday 20 October to Monday 23 November 2020.

The motion was put.

FOR: Aldermen Bull, Ryan, Dunsby, Thomas, Johnston, Fraser, Richardson, and Carlton

AGAINST:

The motion was CARRIED.

9. GLENORCHY CITY COUNCIL 2020 MUNICIPAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT PLAN

File Reference: Emergency Management

Reporting Brief:

This report presents Council's draft Municipal Emergency Management Plan for endorsement and submission to the State Emergency Management Controller, Commissioner Darren Hine, for approval.

Resolution:

FRASER/BULL

That Council:

1. ENDORSE the draft 2020 Glenorchy City Council Municipal Emergency Management Plan, and
2. DIRECT the General Manager to arrange submission of the draft 2020 Glenorchy City Council Municipal Emergency Management Plan to the State Emergency Management Controller, Commissioner Darren Hine, for approval.

The motion was put.

FOR: Aldermen Bull, Ryan, Dunsby, Thomas, Johnston, Fraser, Richardson, and Carlton

AGAINST:

The motion was CARRIED.

10. GLENORCHY - CITY OF ARTS 2040 STRATEGY

File Reference: Arts and Culture Strategy

Reporting Brief:

Seek Council's endorsement of the draft *Glenorchy – City of Arts 2040 Strategy* to Council for release for public comment during December 2020 and January 2021.

Resolution:

Resolution:

RICHARDSON/CARLTON

That Council:

1. APPROVE the release of the draft *Glenorchy – City of Arts 2040 Strategy* for public comment during December 2020 and early January 2021
2. NOTE that the final *Glenorchy – City of Arts 2040 Strategy* will be presented to Council for consideration and proposed adoption in February 2021.

The motion was put.

FOR: Aldermen Bull, Ryan, Dunsby, Thomas, Johnston, Fraser, Richardson, and Carlton

AGAINST:

The motion was CARRIED.

The Chairperson advised the meeting that pursuant to Regulation 25(1) of the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015, Council intended to act as a planning authority under the Land Use Planning and Approvals Act 1993 in respect of Agenda item 11.

ENVIRONMENT

Community Goal: “Valuing our Environment”

11. REVIEW OF REPRESENTATIONS ON DRAFT GLENORCHY LOCAL PROVISIONS SCHEDULE

File Reference: Tasmanian Planning Scheme

Reporting Brief:

For Council, acting in its capacity as a Planning Authority, to consider representations received during the recent exhibition of the Draft Glenorchy Local Provisions Schedule (**Draft Glenorchy LPS**) and to endorse two reports to the Tasmanian Planning Commission:

1. a report to the about those representations, recommended changes to the Draft Glenorchy LPS and the Planning Authority’s opinion of the compliance of the LPS with statutory criteria, and
2. a further report notifying changes that might be needed to the State Planning Provisions.

Resolution:

DUNSBY/RICHARDSON

That Council, acting as the Glenorchy Planning Authority (**GPA**):

1. RECEIVE and NOTE representations received as a result of statutory notification of the draft Glenorchy Local Provisions Schedule (**LPS**)
2. SUBMIT a report to the Tasmanian Planning Commission (**TPC**) pursuant to section 35F of the *Land Use Planning and Approvals Act 1993* (**the Act**) in the form of Attachment 1, providing:
 - (a) a copy of each representation made under section 35E(1) of the Act
 - (b) a statement of the GPA’s opinion as to the merit of each representation
 - (c) a statement noting that the GPA is satisfied that the draft LPS, including the changes in Attachment 1, meets the LPS criteria in section 34 of the Act, and
 - (d) the recommendations of the GPA in relation to the draft LPS

3. NOTIFY the TPC, pursuant to section 35G of the Act, that it is of the opinion that the content of the State Planning Provisions should be altered as set out in Attachment 3
4. DELEGATE under section 6 of the Act, to the General Manager, Coordinator Planning Services and Strategic Planner, the function and powers to modify the reports submitted under clause 2 in accordance with any directions from the TPC under section 35K of the Act
5. RESOLVE that the reasons for the GPA's decision are set out in the details and conclusions in Attachment 1 and Attachment 3

The motion was put.

FOR: Aldermen Bull, Ryan, Dunsby, Thomas, Johnston, Fraser, Richardson, and Carlton

AGAINST:

The motion was CARRIED.

GOVERNANCE

Community Goal: “Leading our Community”

12. APPROVAL OF ECONOMIC RECOVERY LOAN

File Reference: Borrowings

Reporting Brief:

To recommend that Council formally approves borrowings of \$5 million in the 2020-21 financial year to fund Council’s Economic Recovery Program, as foreshadowed in Council’s approved COVID-19 Community Assistance Package and 2020-21 budget estimates.

BULL/FRASER

That Council:

1. APPROVE borrowings of \$5 million under the Local Government Loan Program in accordance with section 78 of the *Local Government Act 1993*
2. AUTHORISE the General Manager to sign and seal the loan documents on behalf of Council, and
3. AUTHORISE the General Manager to appoint authorised representatives of Council.

The motion was put.

FOR: Aldermen Bull, Ryan, Dunsby, Thomas, Johnston, Fraser, Richardson, and Carlton

AGAINST:

The motion was CARRIED.

13. UPDATED COUNCIL POLICIES

File Reference: Council Policies

Reporting Brief:

To present two (2) updated policies to Council for adoption.

Resolution:

RICHARDSON/BULL

That Council:

1. ADOPT the updated Tree Management policy in the form of Attachment 1, as amended to include a four-year review date and
2. ADOPT the updated Flag policy in the form of Attachment 3, as amended to include a four-year review date.

The motion was put.

FOR: Aldermen Bull, Ryan, Dunsby, Thomas, Johnston, Fraser,
Richardson, and Carlton

AGAINST:

The motion was CARRIED.

14. DISPOSAL (LONG-TERM LEASE) OF PART OF COUNCIL LAND AT 210 TOLOSA STREET, GLENORCHY

File Reference:

Disposal of Council Land Public Consultation 2020

Reporting Brief:

To recommend that Council commences investigation into the disposal (long-term lease) of Council land at 210 Tolosa Road, Glenorchy (which is part of Tolosa Park currently accommodating the Sporting Shooters of Australia Association Mitchell Range) in accordance with its process for investigating potential land disposals which precedes the commencement of a formal land disposal under section 178 of the *Local Government Act 1993*.

Resolution:

BULL/DUNSBY

That Council:

1. APPROVE the commencement of initial investigations into the potential disposal (long-term lease) of the SSAA Mitchell Range (title references: 226221/1 and 172728/1) (**the Land**), including an informal community consultation in the first quarter of 2021 and
2. NOTE that a further report will be provided to Council summarising the results of the community consultation process and identifying any specific concerns about the disposal of the Land and (if recommended) seeking authorisation to proceed with a statutory disposal process.

The motion was put.

FOR: Aldermen Bull, Ryan, Dunsby, Thomas, Johnston, Fraser, Richardson, and Carlton

AGAINST:

The motion was CARRIED.

15. DISPOSAL (LONG-TERM LEASE) OF COUNCIL LAND AT 16 AND 18 GROVE ROAD, GLENORCHY (KGV SOCCER FACILITY)

File Reference: Disposal of Public Land Consultation 2020

Reporting Brief:

To present the results of the public consultation and notification process carried out under section 178 of the *Local Government Act 1993* and recommend that Council resolves to lease a portion of public land at 16 Grove Road, Glenorchy to the Glenorchy Knights Football Club for a period of 20 years, subject to the completion of a new amenities building on the site and the negotiation of appropriate lease terms.

Resolution:

RICHARDSON/FRASER

That Council:

1. RESOLVE under section 178 of the *Local Government Act 1993* to lease public land, being part of 16 Grove Road, Glenorchy (title references 218303/1, 216010/1, 54872/22, 54872/23) comprising the function area of the proposed new amenity building to the Glenorchy Knights Football Club (**GKFC**) for 20 years, and
2. AUTHORISE the General Manager to negotiate and finalise the terms of the lease to the GKFC.

The motion was put.

FOR: Aldermen Bull, Ryan, Dunsby, Thomas, Johnston, Fraser,
Richardson, and Carlton

AGAINST:

The motion was CARRIED.

16. ADOPTION OF 2021 COUNCIL AND GPA MEETING DATES

File Reference: Council Meetings

Reporting Brief:

To recommend that Council adopts Council and Glenorchy Planning Authority meeting dates and times for the 2021 calendar year.

Resolution:

BULL/DUNSBY

That Council:

1. ADOPT the meeting dates and times for Council and the Glenorchy Planning Authority for the 2021 calendar year that are set out in the Schedule in Attachment 1, and
2. NOTE that the General Manager will ensure that a public notice containing the times and places of the ordinary Council meetings and Glenorchy Planning Authority meetings is published in accordance with regulation 7(2) of the *Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015*.

The motion was put.

FOR: Aldermen Bull, Ryan, Dunsby, Thomas, Johnston, Fraser, Richardson, and Carlton

AGAINST:

The motion was CARRIED.

17. NEW BRIDGEWATER BRIDGE MAJOR PROJECT DECLARATION

File Reference: Bridgewater Bridge

Reporting Brief:

To update Council on the recommended declaration of the New Bridgewater Bridge as a Major Project under the *Land Use Planning and Approvals Act 1993 (the Act)*.

To seek a Council position on whether the New Bridgewater Bridge project meets the criteria to be declared a Major Project under the Act.

To seek Council's consent, as the owner of the land, to the New Bridgewater Bridge project being declared as a Major Project under the Act.

Resolution:

FRASER/BULL

That Council:

1. RECEIVE and NOTE the attached correspondence from the Tasmanian Minister for Planning and the Department of State Growth regarding the intention to submit a proposal for the new Bridgewater Bridge project, that includes land owned and/or administered by Council, to be declared a major project under the *Land Use Planning and Approvals Act 1993*
2. RESOLVE to provide landowner consent for the project to be declared as a Major Project with respect to the Council owned and/or administered land
3. RESOLVE to advise the Minister for Planning that Council makes no objection to the declaration of the new Bridgewater Bridge project as a Major Project.

The motion was put.

FOR: Aldermen Bull, Ryan, Dunsby, Thomas, Johnston, Fraser, Richardson, and Carlton

AGAINST:

The motion was CARRIED.

18. FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE REPORT TO 31 OCTOBER 2020

File Reference: Corporate and Financial Reporting

Reporting Brief:

To provide the monthly Financial Performance Report to Council for the period ending 31 October 2020.

Resolution:

DUNSBY/RICHARDSON

That Council:

RECEIVE and NOTE the Financial Performance Report for the year-to-date ending 31 October 2020 in the form of Attachment 1.

The motion was put.

FOR: Aldermen Bull, Ryan, Dunsby, Thomas, Johnston, Fraser, Richardson, and Carlton

AGAINST:

The motion was CARRIED.

19. PROCUREMENT AND CONTRACTS - MONTHLY REPORT

File Reference: Procurement

Reporting Brief:

To inform Council of exemptions that have been applied to procurements under Council's Code for Tenders and Contracts for the period 14 October to 16 November 2020 and provide updates on other relevant procurement matters.

Resolution:

BULL/FRASER

That Council:

RECEIVE and NOTE the Procurement and Contracts Monthly Report for the period from 14 October 2020 to 16 November 2020.

The motion was put.

FOR: Aldermen Bull, Ryan, Dunsby, Thomas, Johnston, Fraser, Richardson, and Carlton

AGAINST:

The motion was CARRIED.

20. NOTICES OF MOTIONS – QUESTIONS ON NOTICE / WITHOUT NOTICE

Question without notice - Alderman Dunsby. Annual General Meeting

Q: What arrangements are in place for this year's Annual General Meeting?

A: (General Manager) We have been given leave to defer AGM because we are waiting on results from Tasmanian Audit Office. We are not in a position to schedule a meeting until we have those statements. It is looking likely that it will be some time in the new year.

Question without notice - Alderman Richardson. Building and plumbing permits.

Q: Regarding outstanding building and plumbing permits and the State Government's changes, could we please have a feasibility report for having reminders issued to property owners about the pending expiry of building permits if they are not closed off to avoid the expense and inconvenience property owners are experiencing at the moment?

A. (Director Strategy and Development) This is something that we are looking at doing. Previously, permits did not expire but this changed with the changes made to the Act by the Tasmania Government. Permits now expire after two years. In our new process we will advise permit holders that their permits are expiring and when they are due to expire. This is something that we didn't have to do previously but now do, and we can certainly provide more information to you about how we will be approaching this in the future.

Question without notice - Alderman Fraser. Recycling

Q. At a previous meeting I asked a question on notice about recycling and where it ends up and how confident we can be that our recycling simply isn't going to landfill. As part of that response, it was noted that there was to be a document would be published on Council's website that provides more information. When can we expect this document to be published?

A. (Director Infrastructure and Works) The document itself is still being finalised, however we have also been waiting for Council's new website to launch and had intended to put that information on there. This will happen shortly.

Please note:

The following Question without Notice was asked in closed Council, after the question was answered, a resolution was unanimously carried to publish it in the open minutes.

Question without notice - Alderman Richardson. Derwent Entertainment Centre

Q. Can we please have an update on the proceeds from the Derwent Entertainment Centre (DEC) sale?

A. (General Manager) The money (approx. \$6.9 million) has gone into our bank account. The remaining \$1.1m we need to claim back through the Australian Taxation Office (ATO) and I understand that this process is in play.

(Chief Financial Officer) The General Manager is correct. The other \$1.1m is effectively capital gains tax. Because we don't lodge tax returns we need to work through with the Australian Taxation Office to claim this back. We are well down the path in this process.

The \$6.9m we have received has been deposited into an interest bearing term deposit account (although the best rate we could receive was very low). The final figures will be included in the report for the December Council meeting.

It should also be noted that the sale will show as a loss on paper in the reports (approx. \$4.5m). This is an 'on-paper' loss only. Over the time that we have held the DEC assets they have been revalued each year. This may not represent the final market value of the property. We purchased the DEC for \$1 in the 1990s and have sold it for \$8m.

Resolution:

BULL/CARLTON

That the meeting be closed to the public to allow discussion of matters that are described in Regulation 15 of the *Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015*.

The motion was put.

FOR: Aldermen Bull, Ryan, Dunsby, Thomas, Johnston, Fraser, Richardson, and Carlton

AGAINST:

The motion was CARRIED.

Meeting closed at 7.11pm

CLOSED TO MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC

The meeting resumed at 7.12pm

21. CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES (CLOSED MEETING)

Resolution:

DUNSBY/BULL

That the minutes of the Council meeting (closed meeting) held on Monday, 26 October 2020 be confirmed.

The motion was put.

FOR: Aldermen Bull, Ryan, Dunsby, Thomas, Johnston, Richardson, Fraser and Carlton

AGAINST:

The motion was CARRIED.

22. APPLICATIONS FOR LEAVE OF ABSENCE

None.

GOVERNANCE

Community Goal: “Leading our Community”

23. AUDIT PANEL – SELECTION OF AUDIT PANEL MEMBER

This item is to be considered at a closed meeting of the Council by authority of the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015 Regulation 15(2)(a) (Personnel matters, including complaints against an employee of the Council and industrial relations matters).

24. NOTICES OF MOTIONS – QUESTIONS ON NOTICE / WITHOUT NOTICE (CLOSED)

FRASER/BULL

That Council move back into open Council:

The motion was put.

FOR: Aldermen Bull, Ryan, Dunsby, Thomas, Johnston, Richardson, Fraser and Carlton

AGAINST:

The motion was CARRIED

The meeting closed at 7.24pm

Confirmed,

CHAIR